



METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004
PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155
<http://mesillavalleympo.org>

MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

SPECIAL MEETING AGENDA

The following is the agenda for a Special Meeting of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) Technical Advisory Committee meeting to be held on **August 30, 2018 at 4:00 p.m.** in the **City of Las Cruces Council Chambers, 700 N. Main**, Las Cruces, New Mexico. Meeting packets are available on the [Mesilla Valley MPO website](http://mesillavalleympo.org).

The Mesilla Valley MPO does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, color, ancestry, serious medical condition, national origin, age, or disability in the provision of services. The Mesilla Valley MPO will make reasonable accommodation for a qualified individual who wishes to attend this public meeting. Please notify the Mesilla Valley MPO at least 48 hours before the meeting by calling 528-3043 (voice) or 1-800-659-8331 (TTY) if accommodation is necessary. This document can be made available in alternative formats by calling the same numbers list above. *Este documento está disponible en español llamando al teléfono de la Organización de Planificación Metropolitana de Mesilla Valley: 528-3043 (Voz) o 1-800-659-8331 (TTY).*

1. **CALL TO ORDER** _____ **Chair**
2. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA** _____ **Chair**
3. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES** _____ **Chair**
 - 3.1. August 2, 2018 _____
4. **PUBLIC COMMENT** _____ **Chair**
5. **ACTION ITEMS** _____
 - 5.1. FY 2018-2023 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments ____ **MPO Staff**
6. **DISCUSSION ITEMS** _____
 - 6.1. MTP Amendment of Removal of Segments from Truck Route Map ____ **MPO Staff**
7. **COMMITTEE and STAFF COMMENTS** _____
 - 7.1. City of Las Cruces, Doña Ana County, Town of Mesilla, Las Cruces Public Schools, RoadRUNNER Transit, and SCRTD Project Updates _____ **Jurisdictional Staff**
 - 7.2. NMDOT Projects Update _____ **NMDOT Staff**
 - 7.3. MPO Staff Update _____ **MPO Staff**
8. **PUBLIC COMMENT** _____ **Chair**
9. **ADJOURNMENT** _____ **Chair**

1 **MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION**
2 **TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**
3

4 The following are minutes for the meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee of the
5 Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) which was held August 2,
6 2018 at 4:00 p.m. in the City of Las Cruces Council Chambers, 700 N. Main, Las
7 Cruces, New Mexico.
8

9 **MEMBERS PRESENT:** David Armijo (SCRTD)
10 Mike Bartholomew (CLC Transit) (arrived 4:09)
11 Michael Garza (DAC Flood Commission)
12 Soo Gyu Lee (CLC)
13 Jolene Herrera (NMDOT)
14 Harold Love (NMDOT)
15 Rene Molina (DAC Eng.)
16 Larry Shannon (Town of Mesilla)
17 Tony Trevino (CLC Public Works)
18

19 **MEMBERS ABSENT:** Sean Barham (LCPS)
20 Bill Childress (BLM)
21 Dale Harrell (NMSU)
22 Debbie Lujan (Town of Mesilla)
23 Luis Marmolejo (DAC Planning)
24 Hector Tarrazas, (CLC)
25 Jennifer Yoder (CLC)
26

27 **STAFF PRESENT:** Tom Murphy (MPO Staff)
28 Andrew Wray (MPO Staff)
29 Michael McAdams (MPO Staff)
30 Debra Fuller (MPO)
31

32 **OTHERS PRESENT:** Greg Shervanick
33 Ceci Vasconvellos
34 Craig White
35 Becky Baum, RC Creations, LLC, Recording Secretary
36

37 **1. CALL TO ORDER (4:00 PM)**
38

39 Love: Okay, we'll go ahead and get started. This is the August 2, 2018 meeting
40 of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization Technical
41 Advisory Committee. We'll start with a roll call starting to my far right:
42

43 Armijo: Good afternoon. David Armijo, SCRTD.
44

45 Shannon: Larry Shannon, Town of Mesilla.
46

1 Wray: Mr. Armijo will you please turn off your mic, only two mics can be active.
2 Thank you.

3
4 Trevino: Tony Trevino, City of Las Cruces Public Works.

5
6 Molina: Rene Molina, Dona Ana County Engineering.

7
8 Soo: Soo Gyu Lee, City of Las Cruces Public Works.

9
10 Garza: Michael Garza, Dona Ana County Flood Commission.

11
12 Herrera: Jolene Herrera, NMDOT planning.

13
14 Bartholomew: Mike Bartholomew, City of Las Cruces Roadrunner Transit.

15
16 Love: And I'm Harold Love with New Mexico DOT and Chairman of the
17 Technical Advisory Committee.

18
19 **2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

20
21 Love: Next item is approval of the agenda. We have a change, item 5.2 will be
22 deleted and so with that we are looking for approval of the agenda with
23 that correction.

24
25 Bartholomew: I move we approve the agenda as corrected.

26
27 Herrera: I second.

28
29 Love: All in favor.

30
31 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

32
33 Love: Motion passes.

34
35 **3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

36
37 **3.1 June 7, 2018**

38
39 Love: Next is the approval of minutes. Looking for a recommendation to
40 approve.

41
42 Garza: Motion to approve.

43
44 Bartholomew: Second.

45
46 Love: All in favor.

1
2 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

3
4 Love: Motion approved.

5
6 **4. PUBLIC COMMENT**

7
8 Love: Item number four, public comment. Seeing none.

9
10 **5. ACTION ITEMS**

11
12 **5.1 Mesilla Valley MPO Public Participation Plan**

13
14 Love: We will move on to item number five action items; 5.1, Mesilla Valley MPO
15 Public Participation Plan.

16
17 ANDREW WRAY GAVE HIS PRESENTATION.

18
19 Love: Any questions/comments?

20
21 Bartholomew: With this recommendation now is this going to be going then to the Policy
22 Committee this month, or would you wait until Title VI Plan was done?

23
24 Wray: Mr. Chair, Mr. Bartholomew. That is an excellent question. Because of
25 the delay with Title VI, we are going to push adoption of both documents
26 to the October Policy Committee meeting.

27
28 Bartholomew: Okay, so if we approve it now, are there still other vetting processes
29 before?

30
31 Wray: The public comment period for the document does not really closed until
32 the Policy Committee give a final yeah on it, so yes there is continual
33 vetting.

34
35 Bartholomew: Okay. I have to admit that I didn't get a chance to read through it all in
36 the new one, I know, but it does still include the language, because we
37 use the MPO as our PPP process as well and so when advertising is done
38 it has to state that it meets the FTA requirements.

39
40 Wray: I believe that is in there, but by all means check the document to make
41 sure that the language in there meets your criteria and if not we will get it
42 in there, but I believe Ms. Herrera is.

43
44 Bartholomew: Thank you. Okay and then the other question I have is you were talking
45 about the LEP populations, have you identified specifically how you might

1 reach out to them, I know we had to do that in our LEP plan for
2 Transit.

3

4 Wray: The MPO does not at this point have an official LEP plan per se, we have
5 policy statements, but I wouldn't categorize. They exist in kind of various
6 documents. I wouldn't categorize as an LEP plan, it is my expectation that
7 the MPO will have to develop such a plan sometime in the relatively near
8 future, but as of right now such a document does not exist in one coherent
9 form.

10

11 Bartholomew: So it for your Title VI requirements it's not required to be part of the Title
12 IV?

13

14 Wray: There is LEP language required in the Title VI plan which we will be
15 including in the draft that we will be presenting next month. But again, I
16 would not classify the various policy statements that we've got that
17 existing kind of across three different documents as one coherent plan.
18 I'm not sure if I'm answering your question well I am afraid.

19

20 Bartholomew: Basically our plan is basically like a chapter of the Title VI plan that we
21 have to submit, it's essentially a section of it. I just was just curious if that
22 was how you had to provide it for your final plan.

23

24 Wray: We have not received specific guidance yet. But as I said I'm expecting
25 that such guidance will be forthcoming.

26

27 Bartholomew: Okay. And just to comment like we had to put things like specially how
28 we would outreach to LEP populations, looking at getting information out
29 for example through the Diocese and things like that because they have
30 contact with individuals that may have, that was a big one to use those
31 kinds of resources in the community.

32

33 Wray: Thank you.

34

35 Bartholomew: That's all I had.

36

37 Herrera: Mr. Chair. I'd like to sort of answer one of the questions. So although the
38 MPO doesn't have an LEP plan, Andrew and I have discussed in the Title
39 VI Plan translating the complaint form into Spanish and then also the Title
40 VI Policy Statement itself into to Spanish and having that available. So
41 not the entire plan, but at least the Policy Statement. Andrew, the other
42 thing I think that you guys do a really good job at as far as outreach to the
43 maybe minority communities is through the Empowerment Congress, and
44 so maybe you can add some things about that because you're already
45 doing that and so I think that that speaks a little bit to outreach. And then
46 the other thing I will say is that the El Paso MPO has a limited English

1 Proficiency Plan and they have some very specific techniques in there that
2 they use and so maybe between now and then we can look at that see if
3 there's anything that can maybe be added or things that can translate over
4 to this MPO. That is all Mr. Chair.

5
6 Love: Any other comments? Go ahead.

7
8 Armijo: I have a question, just kind of refresh my memory, when was the last time
9 that we did an update on the MPO plan like this for public discussion?

10
11 Wray: Mr. Chair, Mr. Armijo. The current PPP that we are operating under as of
12 right now was in adopted in September 2013, so it's going to be 5 years.

13
14 Armijo: All right, so this is ultimately reflecting a lot of changes that have been in
15 the community for updates. Because I noticed there are some
16 inconsistency, I know that the El Paso MPO is doing up dates on their
17 2045 Plan. And a number of the public meetings I went to, there really
18 wasn't anyone available to do translation or other things, and some
19 meetings there were. So is that what you're ultimately hoping to do in the
20 public participation, have consistency built in?

21
22 Wray: We certainly do. We have historically had Spanish translator present, not
23 at all of our meetings, but at a number of meetings, especially in areas
24 where we know that there is a large portion of LEP persons in that area,
25 we have had a Spanish translator present with staff at those meetings.

26
27 Armijo: Okay. I haven't been on your MPO website in a while. I am trying to
28 remember, does it have a Spanish translation or any kind of translation on
29 the web?

30
31 Wray: There is not. Not to try to be giving excuses, but there is a certain cost
32 that is associated with that kind of service and as of yet we have not been
33 able to move in that direction I guess would be the best way to say it.

34
35 Armijo: We should get together. We do have that and we do have a process in
36 which we can actually see based on the hits, which ones it's going to.
37 That might be good to take a look at, maybe we can be your guinea pig for
38 that. I can get you together with my IT people on that and we might learn
39 from each other. Because I don't think we're perfect and not everything in
40 there is perfectly translated. So most of the infrastructure portions of it
41 allows you in the system to go from English to Spanish, but then is that the
42 only one that we should be doing? So I think this is a learning process
43 here. So happy to work with you on that.

44
45 Wray: Thank you.

1 Bartholomew: I had another comment to add onto David's. Even though it is not a
2 perfect translation process, know what the City of Las Cruces has done is
3 they've added that Google Translate Widget to their website. And while
4 Google translate you have to take it with a grain of salt sometimes, I would
5 say that the Spanish translations are getting much more sophisticated and
6 better with the Google Widget, tool, whatever you call it, but that you put
7 on. But I know the City of Las Cruces site has that, and so that will
8 actually translate the whole page.
9

10 Love: Any other comments or questions? Are we looking for a motion to
11 approve?
12

13 Wray: Yes Mr. Chair.
14

15 Bartholomew: I move that we approve the 2018 MPO Public Participation Plan.
16

17 Herrera: I second.
18

19 Love: All in favor?
20

21 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.
22

23 Love: Plan is approved.
24

25 **6. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

26

27 **6.1 MTP Amendment of Removal of Segments from Truck Route Map**

28

29 Love: Move on to item number six, discussion item, 6.1 MTP Amendment of
30 Removal of Segments from Truck Route maps. MPO Staff.
31

32 ANDREW WRAY GAVE HIS PRESENTATION.
33

34 Lee: You know the City of Las Cruces really would like to remove all the truck
35 routes in the Downtown area because as you know the Downtown
36 reconstruction does not allow big trucks easy to maneuver. That is the
37 main reason we would like to get rid of this route from our MPO map. And
38 then also it will cause a lot of unsafe situations, that's the main reason we
39 would like to get rid of from our MPO map. The Melendres one it's
40 actually a path through the residential area. Some of the area it's kind of
41 next to, the majority of the area is a residential area so it is not really
42 appropriate to using as a truck route. If you have any questions, I will
43 answer for you.
44

45 Shannon: Are any of these State roads?
46

1 Lee: It's all City streets.
2
3 Shannon: I'm sorry.
4
5 Lee: It is all City streets.
6
7 Shannon: Okay.
8
9 Love: Does the City plan on putting up appropriate signage once this gets
10 passed and approved?
11
12 Lee: Yes, we're planning to replace all the signs accordingly after it has been
13 approved.
14
15 Love: Any other comments or questions?
16
17 Bartholomew: I was just wondering Andrew if you could go back to the map a second
18 maybe. It was the highlighted streets that were designated as truck routes
19 you're eliminating is that?
20
21 Wray: Yes, these routes here.
22
23 Bartholomew: Oh the purple one. I see it.
24
25 Wray: Yes. Just sort of explain the map a little more.
26
27 Bartholomew: I just needed to digest that map.
28
29 Wray: The purple lines are the truck routes. The red lines here, these routes are
30 already restricted. And the ones that are under consideration are the ones
31 that sort of have the highlight around the line on the map.
32
33 Bartholomew: Thank you.
34
35 Love: Any other questions or comments?
36

37 **7. COMMITTEE AND STAFF COMMENTS**

38 39 **7.1 City of Las Cruces, Dona Ana County, Town of Mesilla, Las Cruces** 40 **Public Schools, RoadRUNNER Transit, SCRTD Project Updates**

41
42 Love: We'll move on to item number seven, committee comments, starting with
43 the City of Las Cruces
44
45 Trevino: We have no updates at this point.
46

1 Love: Dona Ana County
2
3 Molina: Yes, we've got two projects that I wanted to bring to attention on this
4 forum. Basically Dona Ana Road and Camino Real intersection, we're
5 looking at going out to bid soon after we get approval from DOT after
6 October 2018. And then Soledad Canyon we are going out to bid on that
7 one on October 2018 as well, moving into construction sometime in
8 January. Thank you.
9
10 Love: Town of Mesilla.
11
12 Shannon: Nothing to report.
13
14 Love: Let's see, Las Cruces Public Schools, not here. RoadRUNNER Transit.
15
16 Bartholomew: I think I pretty much did some of the updates the last time, but we did
17 submit under our build program for our Maintenance and Operations
18 Center which would be off of Westgate near where the joint utilities facility
19 is for the City. We're also going to be submitting a State of Good Repair
20 Grant for the same project just to try to double our chances to get the
21 funding, so it will be a similar project for that. We previously submitted
22 another Low No grant to get some additional electric buses. The City
23 Council did approve in May in their Fiscal Year 2019 budget to expand the
24 service hours of routes on weekdays; currently end at 7 p.m. and we'll be
25 going on weekdays until 7:30 p.m. We anticipate because it was only
26 funded 75% by the time we get everyone hired in October and trained and
27 everything it will probably closer to the beginning of the new calendar year
28 before we actually implement it.
29
30 Love: South Central RTD.
31
32 Armijo: Good afternoon. Monday we begin the believe it or not, fall service for the
33 kids, they're actually coming back to school next week. A lot of Charter
34 Schools and so we're making some adjustments. Included in that is we're
35 actually rolling out a new bus facility that we've acquired as a use facility in
36 Anthony and it is right across the street from our Transit Facility
37 (*inaudible*), so it'll make it a little bit easier to make connections. With the
38 majority of our routes kind of in the southern part of Dona Ana County will
39 be easier to respond to service in the field. So that will be a big thing for
40 us, so we'll actually roll out our buses out of there. We'll still keep two
41 routes and a couple of buses up here in Las Cruces that are maintenance
42 contracts with STS and so we'll see where that goes. Process is still going
43 forward to look at whether or not we might begin to run some service after
44 the first of the year in Sierra County. We are getting another bus in our
45 5310 Grant that we think we could use for that, so that's a little ways off
46 but we're not sure when Hatch service will start, but I guess we'll know

1 after the election and were next year's budget goes. But things are
2 looking pretty good for us. Ridership has continued to go up, we had a
3 record month last month, we just missed 3,000 rides for the month, still
4 running pretty much on five buses so that's a lot of people riding on those
5 little buses, but we are doing good. Thank you.

7.2 NMDOT Projects Update

9 Love: New Mexico DOT project update.

11 Herrera: Thank you Mr. Chair. We just have the one project going on right now on
12 Valley Drive. Currently the contractors are working on storm drain and
13 sewer lines, so underground utilities, and that is being coordinated with
14 the City. And we have about a year on this project, so there are monthly
15 project meetings at the NMDOT facility on Solano if you are interested and
16 I believe the MPO posts those or sends out our press releases. They're
17 normally on the third Thursday of the month but it's not 100% guaranteed
18 that they'll be that day. We like to give a least a couple weeks' notice
19 though, so that's posted ahead of time should you have any questions
20 during the construction.

22 Bartholomew: I just had a question. Have they let the one for University and I-25 yet?

24 Herrera: Great question. No, that project is still under development. We are almost
25 at PS&E, it actually goes up to Santa Fe for final checks later this month
26 and it's on schedule to let in October. So we're looking at construction
27 starting probably early 2019, January/February time frame.

7.3 MPO Staff Projects Update

31 Love: MPO staff updates.

33 Wray: Thank you Mr. Chair. I would like to remind everyone on the Committee
34 that there are ongoing open call for projects; the Transportation
35 Alternatives Program and the Recreational Trails Program. The deadline
36 for final submittal of all documents to the MPO is September 28th.
37 However, that being said, the project feasibility form is due to MPO staff
38 as soon as possible. We have meetings with NMDOT staff that we need
39 to arrange and this is a requirement in order for the application to even be
40 accepted by DOT at all. So a reminder to everyone on that, that time is
41 slipping away from us. Also, lastly MPO staff is very happy to say that we
42 now have a new Planning Technician to replace Mr. Loya. Her name is
43 Debra Fuller, I believe she has introduced herself to most of you, and staff
44 is very happy to have her and we look forward to working with her in the
45 future.

1 **8. PUBLIC COMMENT**

2
3 Love: Public comment? Seeing none.

4
5 **9. ADJOURNMENT (4:33 PM)**

6
7 Love: Looking for a motion to adjourn.

8
9 Bartholomew: I move we adjourn

10
11 Herrera: Second.

12
13 Love: All in favor.

14
15 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

16
17 Love: We are adjourned.

18
19
20
21
22
23 _____
Chairperson



METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004

PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155

<http://mesillavalleympo.org>

**MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
ACTION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF August 30, 2018**

AGENDA ITEM:

5.1 FY18-23 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments

ACTION REQUESTED:

Review and recommendation for approval to the MPO Policy Committee

SUPPORT INFORMATION:

Emails from Jolene Herrera, NMDOT

DISCUSSION:

On June 14, 2017, the MPO Policy Committee approved the 2018-2023 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

The following amendment(s) to the TIP have been requested:

CN	FY	Agency	Project & Termini	Scope	Change	Performance Measure Justification
LC00110	2017	NMDOT	El Camino Real at Doña Ana School Rd.	Intersection Realignment	Move Project to FY2019	The project has been identified as a safety issue by DAC and this project is to address the safety concerns.
LC00271	2023	NMDOT	US 70 MP 149.1 – 150.0	Bridge Replacement, Roadway Reconstruction, Pavement Preservation, ADA & Intersection	New Project \$11 Million	This project will address multimodal safety in the corridor by widening the bridge over the Outfall

				Improvements		Channel and upgrading ADA ramps at intersections.
LC00360	2019	NMDOT	I-25/Lohman Interchange	Landscaping Project	New Project \$1.95 Million (\$750K NM funds, \$1.2M CLC funds)	No impact on adopted safety targets
LC00270	2019	NMDOT	US 70 MP 149.1 – 150.0	Design Phase	Adding \$800K for Phase II design)	This project will address multimodal safety in the corridor by widening the bridge over the Outfall Channel and upgrading ADA ramps at intersections.

This amendment will not affect any other projects currently listed in the TIP.

From: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT <JoleneM.Herrera@state.nm.us>
Sent: Friday, August 10, 2018 11:04 AM
To: Andrew Wray
Cc: Thomas Murphy; Chavarria, Aaron, NMDOT
Subject: RE: TIP Amendments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Andrew,

Please see updates below regarding performance measures.

Thanks,

Jolene Herrera
Urban & Regional Planner
C. (575) 202-4698

From: Andrew Wray <awray@las-cruces.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 8, 2018 2:49 PM
To: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT <JoleneM.Herrera@state.nm.us>
Cc: Murphy, Tom <tmurphy@las-cruces.org>; Chavarria, Aaron, NMDOT <Aaron.Chavarria@state.nm.us>
Subject: RE: TIP Amendments

Good Afternoon Jolene,

Thank you very much for providing this information.

We do have two follow up requests we would like to make to NMDOT Staff for the purposes of gathering information to present to the BPAC later this month.

Is there any information NMDOT can give at this point about how LC00271 and LC00360 will support adopted performance targets or if they are applicable?

Also, LC00360 does not have a program year listed below.

Thank you.

Andrew Wray
Transportation Planner/Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization/Community Development
Direct: 575-528-3070 Main: 575-528-3043, awray@las-cruces.org



**METROPOLITAN
PLANNING ORGANIZATION**
LAS CRUCES DOÑA ANA MESILLA

From: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT [<mailto:JoleneM.Herrera@state.nm.us>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 11:02 AM
To: Andrew Wray <awray@las-cruces.org>
Cc: Tom Murphy <tmurphy@las-cruces.org>; Chavarria, Aaron, NMDOT <Aaron.Chavarria@state.nm.us>
Subject: TIP Amendments

Good morning Andrew,

Please see the below list of TIP Amendments for the upcoming August and September meetings. Please let me know if you have any questions.

- LC00110, El Camino Real at Dona Ana School Road, Intersection Realignment. Move project to FY2019 with \$478k in construction and \$47k in construction management for a total project cost of \$525,000 of HSIP funds. [This project has been identified as a safety issue by Dona Ana County and this project will realign the intersection to directly address safety concerns. This project has been awarded safety funds.](#)
- LC00271, New project: US 70 MP 149.1 – 150.0, Bridge Replacement, Roadway Reconstruction, Pavement Preservation, ADA & Intersection Improvements, \$11M construction FY2023. [This project will address multimodal safety in the corridor by widening the bridge over the outfall channel and upgrading ADA ramps at intersections. The project will also address pavement condition with a preservation project and bridge condition with the replacement project.](#)
- LC00360, New project: I-25/Lohman Interchange (I-25 MP 3 – 3.7), Landscaping. \$1.95M total (\$750K NM state funds, \$1.2M City of Las Cruces funds) FY2019. [This project will not directly be impacting the safety targets, as it is for landscaping at the interchange only, outside the roadway prism.](#)

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Jolene Herrera
Urban & Regional Planner
NM Department of Transportation
750 N. Solano Dr.
Las Cruces, NM 88001

C. (575) 202-4698

From: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT <JoleneM.Herrera@state.nm.us>
Sent: Friday, August 10, 2018 1:17 PM
To: Andrew Wray
Cc: Thomas Murphy; Chavarria, Aaron, NMDOT
Subject: RE: TIP Amendments

Hi Andrew,

We need to make an addition to the list below. Please add the following amendment:

LC00270, US 70 design phase, add \$800K in FY2019 for Phase II design (construction added under LC00271 project below). This project will not impact safety targets but the construction phase will, as mentioned below.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Jolene Herrera
Urban & Regional Planner
C. (575) 202-4698

From: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT
Sent: Friday, August 10, 2018 11:04 AM
To: 'Andrew Wray' <awray@las-cruces.org>
Cc: Murphy, Tom <tmurphy@las-cruces.org>; Chavarria, Aaron, NMDOT <Aaron.Chavarria@state.nm.us>
Subject: RE: TIP Amendments

Hi Andrew,

Please see updates below regarding performance measures.

Thanks,

Jolene Herrera
Urban & Regional Planner
C. (575) 202-4698

From: Andrew Wray <awray@las-cruces.org>
Sent: Wednesday, August 8, 2018 2:49 PM
To: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT <JoleneM.Herrera@state.nm.us>
Cc: Murphy, Tom <tmurphy@las-cruces.org>; Chavarria, Aaron, NMDOT <Aaron.Chavarria@state.nm.us>
Subject: RE: TIP Amendments

Good Afternoon Jolene,

Thank you very much for providing this information.

We do have two follow up requests we would like to make to NMDOT Staff for the purposes of gathering information to present to the BPAC later this month.

Is there any information NMDOT can give at this point about how LC00271 and LC00360 will support adopted performance targets or if they are applicable?

Also, LC00360 does not have a program year listed below.

Thank you.

Andrew Wray

Transportation Planner/Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization/Community Development

Direct: 575-528-3070 Main: 575-528-3043, awray@las-cruces.org



**METROPOLITAN
PLANNING ORGANIZATION**
LAS CRUCES DOÑA ANA MESILLA

From: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT [<mailto:JoleneM.Herrera@state.nm.us>]

Sent: Wednesday, August 08, 2018 11:02 AM

To: Andrew Wray <awray@las-cruces.org>

Cc: Tom Murphy <tmurphy@las-cruces.org>; Chavarria, Aaron, NMDOT <Aaron.Chavarria@state.nm.us>

Subject: TIP Amendments

Good morning Andrew,

Please see the below list of TIP Amendments for the upcoming August and September meetings. Please let me know if you have any questions.

- LC00110, El Camino Real at Dona Ana School Road, Intersection Realignment. Move project to FY2019 with \$478k in construction and \$47k in construction management for a total project cost of \$525,000 of HSIP funds. This project has been identified as a safety issue by Dona Ana County and this project will realign the intersection to directly address safety concerns. This project has been awarded safety funds.
- LC00271, New project: US 70 MP 149.1 – 150.0, Bridge Replacement, Roadway Reconstruction, Pavement Preservation, ADA & Intersection Improvements, \$11M construction FY2023. This project will address multimodal safety in the corridor by widening the bridge over the outfall channel and upgrading ADA ramps at intersections. The project will also address pavement condition with a preservation project and bridge condition with the replacement project.

- LC00360, New project: I-25/Lohman Interchange (I-25 MP 3 – 3.7), Landscaping. \$1.95M total (\$750K NM state funds, \$1.2M City of Las Cruces funds) FY2019. This project will not directly be impacting the safety targets, as it is for landscaping at the interchange only, outside the roadway prism.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Jolene Herrera
Urban & Regional Planner
NM Department of Transportation
750 N. Solano Dr.
Las Cruces, NM 88001
C. (575) 202-4698



METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004
PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155
<http://mesillavalleympo.org>

MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE DISCUSSION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF August 30, 2018

AGENDA ITEM:

6.1 Metropolitan Transportation Plan Amendment – Removal of Segments from the Truck Route Map

SUPPORT INFORMATION:

Emails from Hector Terrazas, City of Las Cruces.

DISCUSSION:

The City of Las Cruces has requested that the following segments be deleted from the Mesilla Valley MPO Truck Route Map:

Church St. – Picacho to Amador
Water St. – Picacho to Amador
Main St. – Picacho to Amador
Melendres – Main to Picacho

The City wishes for these segments to be removed to eliminate larger trucks from these facilities. Delivery trucks would still be accommodated. The City proposes that alternative routes would be Valley Drive or Solano.

This request requires an amendment to the currently adopted Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). This requires at least one public meeting and a 30-day public comment period before the amendment can be taken up for action by the MPO Policy Committee. The public comment period commenced at the August 2, 2018 meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC).

The Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Advisory Committee (BPAC) recommended approval of the amendment at their August 21 meeting.

This issue will be on the agenda for TAC action at the September 6, 2018 meeting and go before the Policy Committee at their October 10, 2018 meeting.

From: Hector Terrazas
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 9:47 AM
To: Tom Murphy
Cc: Andrew Wray
Subject: Truck Route Modifications

Tom,

With the downtown redevelopment to be more urban Traffic is requesting that Church, Water and Main (between Picacho and Amador) be removed from the truck route. Let me know if you have any questions, thanks.

Hector Terrazas, P.E.

Interim Traffic Operations Engineer/Public Works/Street and Traffic Operations
Direct:575-541-2508 Main:575-541-2595 hterrazas@las-cruces.org

From: Hector Terrazas
Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2018 1:35 PM
To: Tom Murphy
Cc: Andrew Wray; SooGyu Lee
Subject: RE: Truck Route Modifications
Attachments: TRuck Route.png

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Tom,

I will be available for any questions.

The streets (see attached) are owned and maintained by the City of Las Cruces.
At this point Traffic Engineering wants to remove the streets from the official truck route to eliminate the bigger size trucks.
Traffic will be looking at the appropriate max size truck that would be allowed in the downtown area to accommodate deliveries.
Traffic on NM478 would still have alternatives such as Valley drive and Solano.

Let me know if you have any more questions, thank you.

Hector Terrazas, P.E.

Interim Traffic Operations Engineer/Public Works/Street and Traffic Operations
Direct:575-541-2508 Main:575-541-2595 hterrazas@las-cruces.org



From: Tom Murphy
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 7:53 AM
To: Hector Terrazas <hterrazas@las-cruces.org>
Cc: Andrew Wray <awray@las-cruces.org>
Subject: RE: Truck Route Modifications

Hector,
We will place this on the August TAC if you like. Will you be available to answer any questions the committee may have?

Also, staff would like some information to provide in the packet. Can you address the following?

Are all road sections city owned/maintained? What about NM 478 designation?
Are trucks to be prohibited? If so, how are commercial activities to be handled in area?
If not, what does the changed designation accomplish?

Thanks.

From: Hector Terrazas
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 9:47 AM
To: Tom Murphy <tmurphy@las-cruces.org>
Cc: Andrew Wray <awray@las-cruces.org>
Subject: Truck Route Modifications

Tom,

With the downtown redevelopment to be more urban Traffic is requesting that Church, Water and Main (between Picacho and Amador) be removed from the truck route. Let me know if you have any questions, thanks.

Hector Terrazas, P.E.

Interim Traffic Operations Engineer/Public Works/Street and Traffic Operations
Direct:575-541-2508 Main:575-541-2595 hterrazas@las-cruces.org

