

1                   **MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION**  
2                   **BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES ADVISORY COMMITTEE**  
3

4   The following are minutes for the meeting of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities  
5   Advisory Committee of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)  
6   which was held May 15, 2017 at 5:00 p.m. in Commission Chambers at Dona Ana  
7   County Government Building, 845 Motel Blvd., Las Cruces, New Mexico.

8  
9   **MEMBERS PRESENT:**   George Pearson, Chair (City of Las Cruces Citizen Rep)  
10                           Dona Devine (Bicycle Community Citizen Rep)  
11                           Jolene Herrera (NMDOT)Jack Kirby (NMSU Staff Rep)  
12                           Jack Kirby (NMSU)  
13                           James Nunez (City of Las Cruces Staff Rep)  
14                           Samuel Paz (Dona Ana County Rep)  
15                           Lance Shepan (Town of Mesilla Staff Rep)  
16                           Jess Waller (Bicycle Com. Rep.)  
17

18   **MEMBERS ABSENT:**   Ashleigh Curry (Town of Mesilla Citizen Rep)  
19                           Andrew Bencomo (Pedestrian Community Rep)  
20                           Mark Leisher (DAC Citizen Rep)  
21

22   **STAFF PRESENT:**     Andrew Wray (MPO)  
23                           Michael McAdams (MPO)  
24                           Dominic Loya (MPO)  
25

26   **OTHERS PRESENT:**   Wade Patterson, NMDOT  
27                           Shannon Glendenning, NMDOT  
28                           Aaron Sussman, Bohannan Huston  
29                           Melanie Bishop, Bohannan Huston  
30                           Becky Baum, Recording Secretary, RC Creations, LLC  
31

32   **1.    CALL TO ORDER (5:02)**  
33

34   Pearson:    I'll go ahead and call the meeting to order. This is the Mesilla Valley  
35                   Metropolitan Planning Organization, Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities  
36                   Advisory Committee. Let's have some introductions first. We'll start down  
37                   on the end with Jess.  
38

39   Waller:     Jess Waller, I'm Las Cruces' City Representative for the BPAC.  
40

41   Herrera:    Jolene Herrera, NMDOT.  
42

43   Shepan:     Lance Shepan, Mesilla Marshall's Department Rep.  
44

45   Devine:     Dona Devine, first time here.  
46

1 Nunez: James Nunez, City of Las Cruces.  
2  
3 Paz: Samuel Paz, Dona Ana County.  
4  
5 Kirby: Jack Kirby, New Mexico State University.  
6  
7 Pearson: George Pearson, City of Las Cruces Citizen Rep. And Donna is a  
8 Bicycling Community Rep.  
9

10 **2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

11  
12 Pearson: So after call to order it's approval of the agenda. Do we have any  
13 changes to the agenda? Not hearing anything. I'll hear a motion to  
14 approve the agenda as presented.  
15

16 Nunez: I'll make the motion.  
17

18 Herrera: Second.  
19

20 Pearson: We have a motion and a second to approve the agenda as presented. All  
21 in favor "aye."  
22

23 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.  
24

25 Pearson: Any opposed? The agenda's approved.  
26

27 **3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

28  
29 **3.1 April 17, 2018**  
30

31 Pearson: Next order of business is approval of the minutes from the April 17th  
32 meeting. Do we have any discussion on the minutes? Not hearing any  
33 discussion. I'll hear a motion to approve the minutes as presented.  
34

35 Kirby: I'll make a motion to approve.  
36

37 Shepan: Seconded.  
38

39 Pearson: Last call for comments on the minutes. We have a motion and a second  
40 to approve the minutes as presented. All in favor "aye."  
41

42 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.  
43

44 Pearson: Any opposed? Minutes are approved.  
45

46 **4. PUBLIC COMMENT**

1  
2 Pearson: Next on our agenda is an opportunity for public comment. We have two  
3 places on our agenda for public comment, now at the beginning of the  
4 meeting and also just before adjournment at the end of the meeting. So if  
5 there's anybody from the public who would like to address us now, please  
6 step forward, we'd be happy to hear you. Not seeing any.  
7

## 8 **5. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

### 9 10 **5.1 Prioritized Statewide Bicycle Network Plan (NM Bike Plan)**

11  
12 Pearson: We'll go ahead to discussion items. Our discussion item for tonight is the  
13 Prioritized Statewide Bicycle Network Plan.  
14

15 McAdams: Yes I would like to introduce New Mexico DOT staff and also to inform the  
16 Committee and the public that after the meeting, following there will be a  
17 public hearing on the Statewide Bicycle Plan as well. So now I'd like to  
18 turn it over to New Mexico DOT staff for presentation.  
19

20 WADE PATTERSON GAVE HIS PRESENTATION.  
21

22 Pearson: Any members have questions?  
23

24 Waller: Is it Wade?  
25

26 Patterson: Yes.  
27

28 Waller: Hey Wade, I'm Jess Waller, Las Cruces representative for BPAC. I have  
29 a couple questions. Looks like we're pretty clear on the path north from  
30 Las Cruces being North Valley Drive, Highway 185, is that correct?  
31

32 Patterson: Let me go back to the, is it illustrated on this map?  
33

34 Waller: Yes.  
35

36 Patterson: Okay, so you're speaking ...  
37

38 Waller: What we have north of Route 70, just west of I-25, and you have the light  
39 blue corridor which looks to be North Valley Drive. So that looks all clear.  
40 My question has to do with, thank you for acknowledging 28 as being,  
41 where the cyclists like to go.  
42

43 Patterson: Sure, yes, that's beautiful.  
44

45 Waller: That's always on our wish list but we realize the practical limitations of  
46 what you can do. Now I see you have the bike path or the Tier 1 coming

1 down 485 which runs into Doniphan Road in El Paso. That's a high traffic  
2 road. Has there been any consideration made for an alternate route or do  
3 you want to stay close to where population is? A lot of the cyclists for  
4 example use the frontage roads that parallel I-10.  
5

6 Patterson: Yes. No that's a good question and I might ask Aaron to help me out a  
7 little on the answer for some of these frontage roads. So in general for  
8 frontage roads we have not included them on the network. However,  
9 there are a few places where the frontage road is the only way to connect  
10 between some key areas. And there is a connection, there is an issue out  
11 by your airport where if someone were coming in say on I-10 which they  
12 can be on but then at some point they have to get off, right. And there's a  
13 little gap there and it's near the airport. There's a little gap there between  
14 getting them from there further in to where they can be on some other  
15 regular roads, non-surface or frontage roads. And so we've identified that  
16 frontage road as a section that needs to be included on the network  
17 because otherwise we're leaving a gap for people.  
18

19 Waller: That's great you got Tier 1 on I guess Route 70, US-70 west.  
20

21 Patterson: Correct.  
22

23 Waller: Yes, it's got a little spur there connecting with that. So there's no, let me  
24 ask this question, so the Tier 2 basic, that is 28, what are the minimum  
25 features for a Tier 2 Basic?  
26

27 Patterson: We're not actually doing anything to the road other than sort of signage  
28 informational type enhancements.  
29

30 Waller: There's a little bit of limited signage that says "This is NM Bicycle Route  
31 #1." That's the only signage that I'm aware of. Is there going to be any  
32 additional signage?  
33

34 Patterson: Yes, the kind of signage we would be calling for and maybe you could talk  
35 a little bit about the specifics Aaron, but yes, would be signs that are  
36 basically alerting drivers that bicycles present. There are some standards,  
37 MUTCD signage that relates to that. In other places where you might  
38 have like a hairpin turn where you can't see around the corner, and that  
39 wouldn't apply to this roadway, but those are some other examples of like  
40 an advanced warning signal that would flash when a cyclist goes around  
41 so that the driver coming up around them knows to pay attention as they  
42 go around that bend.  
43

44 Waller: Yes. I just wanted to point out that most of the road cyclists that travel  
45 between El Paso and Las Cruces, one will go Tier 2 Basic, Highway 28 or  
46 the frontage road. I'm kind of curious about this route, NM 478.

1  
2 Patterson: Now 478 is the one that's running between 28 and I-10.  
3  
4 Waller: Where the cyclists currently go. Can you comment on that?  
5  
6 Patterson: Are you speaking about, this is the roadway between I-25 and 28?  
7  
8 Waller: It's the road between Las Cruces and El Paso that's just west of I-10,  
9 that's the light blue.  
10  
11 Patterson: Yes, so that is a roadway that has a really wide right-of-way.  
12  
13 Waller: Yes.  
14  
15 Patterson: And so this is an example where I'd say we want to provide a parallel  
16 facility to 28 for people who don't want to take the scenic route, maybe  
17 their trip is actually more of a functional.  
18  
19 Waller: See that's an emergency bailout right, if you're out there riding and you hit  
20 a summer storm and you've got to ...  
21  
22 Patterson: That's right.  
23  
24 Waller: Hightail it back to where you came from.  
25  
26 Patterson: That's right. That's right. Because we just felt that if we only provided 28,  
27 and again we're trying not to just service the recreational riders, but also  
28 people who are really just using the bikes to get around and meet their  
29 daily needs that we didn't feel that that was going to be adequate enough  
30 in terms of safety. I think 28 appeals to a more confident rider. And as I  
31 was mentioning before in our urban areas we're trying to accommodate a  
32 widest range of ability, but we're not ruling out 28 if people want to do that,  
33 it's great.  
34  
35 Waller: I'm glad to see that you have Anthony Gap which is the very bottom road,  
36 Route 440. It connects Anthony to Chaparral and that's a high use for  
37 cyclists as well.  
38  
39 Patterson: Good.  
40  
41 Waller: That has pretty good shoulder.  
42  
43 Patterson: Good.  
44  
45 Waller: What was the difference between Tier 2 and Tier 2 Basic?  
46

1 Patterson: Tier 2 is actually calling for in the rural area we're talking about a minimum  
2 four-foot shoulder. Tier 2 Basic says we recognize people ride on this but  
3 we can't do any widening of it but we will provide some informational  
4 enhancements to make it safer.  
5

6 Waller: I see. Thank you Chair.  
7

8 Nunez: Mr. Chair. A couple comments.  
9

10 Pearson: Okay.  
11

12 Nunez: Or questions maybe too. I realize your job is probably a little rough. I'm  
13 just thinking just maybe some of the newer members, we went through  
14 something similar for just the City and parts of the county and we dug a lot  
15 deeper.  
16

17 Patterson: Sure.  
18

19 Nunez: And so the exercise I kind of probably gone through something like that on  
20 our own, maybe some of the designers, but anyway, that's all right. I'm  
21 curious to see what you have or what you're going to put up for us to do  
22 but other than that, I mean you did get into some of the details over there  
23 on some of these roads and why they've been selected and all, but I  
24 guess my bigger question is, is have you identified these to be funded and  
25 created and built and improved upon, and there's maybe even how deep  
26 did you go? Like I mentioned we delved in and we've had, I don't  
27 remember, almost 20 and we prioritized them and then we were going to  
28 seek to build those routes and make better paths for our bicycle  
29 community.  
30

31 Patterson: Sure. So this plan is not a plan that creates a project list. It's actually  
32 more about our strategy in identifying which roadways we're going to  
33 invest in and which one's we're not. And so at this point what the plan  
34 calls for is if you were say working in a district office and a particular  
35 stretch of roadway is up for reconstruction, you have to consult this plan,  
36 you have to see if it's on the map and if it is, then you need to incorporate  
37 the bicycle facility in that reconstruction.  
38

39 Nunez: Right. Okay. Thanks. I remember you saying that actually. Thanks for  
40 repeating it.  
41

42 Patterson: No that's fine. That's good.  
43

44 Paz: Quick question. So I had a question, it seems like some of your routes go  
45 from let's say Tier 1 and emerge to a Tier 2 and then a Tier 3. There are

1 also a few routes that kind of, there's a gap between some of those routes.  
2 So particularly if you look at the area next to the Texas/Anthony area.  
3

4 Patterson: Yes.

5  
6 Paz: You go from a Tier 2 Basic to a Tier 2 and then I guess you kind of follow  
7 Tier 3 to and again a Tier 2, and it's kind of that weird little area next to like  
8 below Anthony, Texas and below the gap. So I was just kind of interested  
9 how do those kind of transitions take place because it seems like you're  
10 going from a Tier 2 to kind of I guess either unidentified road or a Tier 3.  
11

12 Patterson: Yes, the map can be a little deceiving because, so all of the roadways are  
13 divided into these little segments and we have some roadways for which  
14 we own a portion of it, but then at some point it becomes a local  
15 ownership. And so what's reflected here is only roadways that the New  
16 Mexico Department of Transportation owns or maintains. And some  
17 cases where that looks like it drops off, it's just because that section of  
18 roadway is now transitioning to local ownership and we can't tell the locals  
19 what to do on their road.

20 I will also say that we looked at all the bicycle planning that had  
21 been done around the state in different areas and tried to make sure that  
22 our roadways, where they enter and exit the City dovetail with what locals  
23 have either planned or built. And I don't know if I could answer the  
24 question on that specific roadway right now without kind of delving back  
25 into some of the data, but I have a feeling that's what we're looking at in  
26 those sections, or that's a segment that is locally owned.  
27

28 Paz: Thank you.

29  
30 Patterson: Sure.

31  
32 Pearson: Anyone else? Before the meeting I went to the website and you had one  
33 of the documents was Visions and Goals, but you haven't talked about  
34 Visions and Goals yet in the presentation. Is that coming, or is that a  
35 separate?  
36

37 Patterson: Well I didn't rally delve into that except to the extent that has informed our  
38 vision statement which was sort of at the beginning of the presentation. I  
39 can put it up there. Here it is. So the vision and goals led to this  
40 statement which is our guiding vision for the plan, which again we kind of  
41 called out the elements that are sustainable and that we don't want to  
42 build things that we can't maintain over time, and that we're interested in  
43 serving local residents as much as accommodating tourism and the  
44 economic development that that can bring, and all these other things of  
45 being safe and connected.  
46

1 Pearson: Okay, there are seven sections in the visions and goals.  
2

3 Patterson: Yes so some of the plan is under development and so there are some  
4 sections that have not been drafted or should say our consultant has  
5 drafted them and we have not reviewed and provided comments for them  
6 yet and so as those get developed they will be uploaded to the website.  
7 So it is a dynamic project.  
8

9 Pearson: We probably won't have a future opportunity to see that so I guess I'll  
10 make my comments about the seven items because I did look though it  
11 and had a comment about each of them. So in the vision and goals, one  
12 is prioritize statewide bicycle network. That's obviously what you're trying  
13 to put together here but there's no reference to the United States Bike  
14 Route System and so that seems very important since other states are  
15 doing, and we need to have connectivity between what we're doing and  
16 what those things are there.  
17

18 Patterson: Sure. So I can speak to the US Bike Routes. When I mentioned that we  
19 sort of collected a bunch of data and then created this scoring criteria that  
20 we ran it through, US Bike Routes was one of those. We have a map, and  
21 I don't know if we have it digitally where we overlaid where the US Bike  
22 Routes are in relation to the roadways that we have identified for  
23 investment and they do overlap. One of the issues for the state is  
24 ensuring that if we move forward with the US Bike Route designation that  
25 we are paying adequate attention to those roadways because we're going  
26 to have a hard time getting the Secretary to sign off on that if they don't  
27 feel that that roadway is safe. And so by including it on this plan we  
28 ensure that over time, and I can't speak to what that timeline looks like on  
29 individual route, but that we're actually focusing those investments on  
30 those roadways. So on that map the US Bike Routes are, and we did not  
31 include the, I think it's 75 that runs north/south, but the Route 66 and is it  
32 90 or across the southern part.  
33

34 Pearson: 90.  
35

36 Patterson: Yes. You can see there in sort of a highlight yellow color, and you can  
37 see that the blue and red roadways are included on that. And that was a  
38 part of our scoring process so we loaded that data and where those routes  
39 go into that matrix when we did all the scoring for it so that was one of the  
40 factors that informed which roadways should be invested in.  
41

42 Pearson: Yes. Most of the plans that I've seen there are goals and strategies to  
43 achieve the goals, so shouldn't US Bike Route be a goal for the plan?  
44

45 Patterson: Well so the US Bike Routes thing is a little complex and our concern was  
46 that if we said that a goal of the plan is to, what do they call it, designate



1 the US Bike Routes, because there's a lot of legal concerns in previous  
2 discussions at NMDOT, you know we have an administration that's just  
3 about to leave and we need to get this plan approved before the Secretary  
4 leaves office, we didn't want anything to gum up that discussion. We  
5 should be investing in these routes whether or not they're a US Bike Route  
6 or not. We should be investing in these because they're the right routes  
7 based on feedback from the community including people who ride the US  
8 Bike Routes and not necessarily because of the US Bike Routes. The fact  
9 that they are is great and now if we have a plan for that it is in the  
10 recommendation section of the plan that this supports that and should we  
11 pursue that ...

12  
13 Pearson: Okay, the point that I wanted to make sure is that in the recommendations  
14 supporting US Bike Route is something that this plan should (*inaudible*).

15  
16 Patterson: Yes, we do and I think, one of the things I also want to point out, you know  
17 we had discussions about it here also when I went to Silver City to speak  
18 with folks there. I know that where 90 is entering the State of New Mexico  
19 is not where we would have preferred.

20  
21 Pearson: Right.

22  
23 Patterson: From Arizona. And there were concerns to make sure that the route came  
24 up through Silver City and so that's the way we've depicted it here and we  
25 are investing in those particular corridors in order to support selecting or  
26 preferring that alignment when and if that designation is pursued.

27  
28 Pearson: Okay.

29  
30 Patterson: We tried to take those comments in and accommodate them into the  
31 planning process.

32  
33 Pearson: Okay. In the Safety and Comfort section it talks about some different  
34 things, it might actually end up in the design goals here but part of the  
35 discussion that has happened previously is partial paving.

36  
37 Patterson: Yes.

38  
39 Pearson: I'm sure you're aware of that. Is there an explicit statement about partial  
40 paving?

41  
42 Patterson: We don't use that terminology but yes we talk about during resurfacing  
43 programs and we also address the issue of, can't remember what it's  
44 called, Jolene, there's like the sort of skid proofing surfacing that is put on  
45 the roadway.

46

1 Herrera: Hot seal or chip seal. Those kinds of maintenance projects.  
2  
3 Patterson: Yes, there's also like a ...  
4  
5 Herrera: On grade friction course.  
6  
7 Patterson: Yes, that. And making sure that that goes all the way out to and covers  
8 the shoulder as well for the safety of the cyclist.  
9  
10 Pearson: Right. Yes I think asphalt quality is something I put down here for Safety  
11 and Comfort the size of the chip seal is a big impact.  
12  
13 Patterson: Yes.  
14  
15 Pearson: That section also talks about programs like the Look For Me Program. It  
16 didn't say anything specifically about distracted driving and that seems to  
17 be more important now so I wonder if that should be mentioned or ...  
18  
19 Patterson: Yes, I mean it is part of the regular NMDOT activities, we use the four E's  
20 which includes enforcement, education, emergency, like how fast  
21 emergency service can get there, and engineering. So that is always part  
22 of all of our activities.  
23  
24 Pearson: Okay.  
25  
26 Patterson: So that enforcement part would include that. And that's a tricky one  
27 because the actual implementation of that falls outside of NMDOT. It's  
28 more of our ability to work with law enforcement in order to enforce those.  
29  
30 Pearson: Right. And the Public Health Section has some statements that I think it  
31 talked about connectivity and statewide. But anyways, what I wanted to  
32 ask about is if there should be a recommendation in the plan for a No Turn  
33 Away Policy for bikes and hikers at state parks so if they're camping. I  
34 think this section was talking about some long distance bike riding, so if  
35 you're on a bike long distance and you come to a park, it turns out that it's  
36 full, well if you're a biker or a hiker you don't really need that much space.  
37 Other states have a No Turn Away Policy and that's something I know it's  
38 a state parks responsibility but recommending that in this plan might have  
39 an impact on getting that policy changed or updated.  
40  
41 Patterson: Okay, I'm not familiar with that. We can look into that. I will just point out  
42 that this is an NMDOT document and so we would have to talk to ...  
43  
44 Pearson: We'll talk about that in a minute.  
45  
46 Patterson: NM or DE about that. That's not within our jurisdiction.

1  
2 Pearson: And Connectivity and Access section, just would make a comment to  
3 encourage bike racks on the regional transit and commuter trains that  
4 might be existing. I think those entities already are doing that kind of thing  
5 but to actually put in the plan that says to encourage that might just make  
6 sure that it is properly addressed. The economic development tourism  
7 section has a quote that says "Work with organizers of bike races" and I  
8 think that's probably too narrow of a determination. You probably want to  
9 work with any of the bicycle advocacy groups or even this committee,  
10 committees like ours that exist in different parts of the state so that might  
11 just be an editing thing.  
12  
13 Patterson: Well that came out of some specific discussions about organized rides  
14 and races and the need to coordinate more closely with this. Some of the  
15 rides have been very successful at establishing good relationships with  
16 their districts and they contact them ahead of time, then if their able to  
17 they can go sweep the route and so it was some of the feedback that we  
18 received about those kinds of ride. That's how's that got into the plan.  
19  
20 Pearson: Well maybe the context of what that was actually directed at might not  
21 have been felt in that current statement. You have an Equity section. I  
22 was very happy to see that. I don't have any, I mean that's something that  
23 we need so that was very good. And then you have an Inter- and Intra-  
24 Agency Coordination and I was thinking though you should call out more  
25 explicitly the importance that NMDOT, Department of Health, Department  
26 of Economics, Parks, and Tourism Departments interact and coordinate  
27 with things and work together and that goes to needing that issue with the  
28 races. And recently there was an Economic Outdoor Conference here in  
29 Las Cruces and one of the recommendations that came out of that is an  
30 Office of Outdoor Economics should be established. And so that could be  
31 a coordination point where you have a one stop shop for things, you know  
32 if a tour operator wants to come in and run a bike tour through New  
33 Mexico they might be coming across the whole state but they shouldn't  
34 have to stop in each county and they might be crossing three state  
35 highway districts, they should have a one stop shop and I think an outdoor  
36 economic office could help with that. And we've got, you know Economic  
37 Development, the Tourism Department might have numbers but the  
38 Economics Department might be the one better skilled that creates  
39 impacts of things so being able to coordinate between those agencies I  
40 think is very important.  
41  
42 Patterson: Sure, that's cool. I had not heard about that. I like that idea.  
43  
44 Pearson: Okay. Anybody else have any comments? So you wanted to go through  
45 a ...  
46

1 Patterson: Yes, I'm going to turn it over to Aaron Sussman and let's maybe assess  
2 the kind of time we have, we've actually got about 13 minutes.  
3

#### 4 AARON SUSSMAN GAVE HIS PRESENTATION 5

6 Pearson: Any Committee members? Doesn't look like it.  
7

8 Sussman: Okay, well thank you. I think we pretty much need to excuse ourselves at  
9 least. I know we have a public meeting that we have invited members of  
10 the public to attend stating at 6:00.  
11

12 Pearson: We still have a couple of quick things to finish up. It'll take us a couple of  
13 minutes.  
14

15 Sussman: Thank you.  
16

### 17 **6. COMMITTEE AND STAFF COMMENTS** 18

#### 19 **6.1 MPO Staff Update** 20

21 Pearson: We'll move on to MPO update from staff.  
22

23 McAdams: We just have one thing to report. Dominic Loya who has been with us  
24 almost a year will now be going to Community Development, Long Range  
25 Planning and we wish him luck and God speed.  
26

27 Wray: Mr. Chair I also just realized we have one other thing. This is the week  
28 where MPO staff is moving our offices from our current location to  
29 upstairs, so this week and next week access to us may be a little bit  
30 spotty, so please bear with us during this transition.  
31

32 Pearson: Okay I knew where to find you downstairs. So where are you moving  
33 upstairs?  
34

35 Wray: We'll be moving up into the Finance Department. We're not exactly sure  
36 what the level of access to us is going to be because the Finance  
37 Department is a closed department within the City, it's behind locked  
38 doors so we're not completely sure what the access situation is going to  
39 be. But we will keep everyone posted regarding that.  
40

41 Pearson: Okay.  
42

43 McAdams: And one more note. We received the bike repair station and we'll be  
44 installing it soon at the MVITT.  
45

46 Pearson: Okay good.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

McAdams: That's it for the MPO.

**6.2 Local Projects update**

Pearson: Any local project updates?

Nunez: No. I do have one item just so you know on the, I was looking at the meeting minutes on page 19 and 20, I'll answer you that at the next meeting.

Pearson: Okay.

**6.3 NMDOT Projects update**

Pearson: NMDOT. You're going to tell us the project's done.

Herrera: The project's done. It is. We have the final inspection yesterday and as far as I'm aware everything went well. We should have construction on Valley Drive starting the end of June.

Pearson: We should clarify the project though.

Herrera: The Spitz/Solano/Three Crosses project on US 70 is done. And we should have construction on Valley Drive late June/early July.

Pearson: Okay.

**6.4 Committee Members Update**

Pearson: Any comments from any Committee Members?

**7. PUBLIC COMMENT**

Pearson: I'll hear a motion to adjourn, oh we have public comment. I'm sorry. This is our second opportunity for public comment. Is there anybody from the public that wishes to address this Board? We have one. Just give us your name and give us your comment.

Howie: Jeff Howie. I live in the county not the City, on the north side of town. Rode around the City this morning in fact. Enjoyed my ride before daylight. And since all of you guys are with City and county and DOT it's really helpful if you guys will keep those shoulders clean. Bike lanes and shoulders, I mean I have to ride that white line because there's so much debris and it only gets worse as we get into monsoon season and it's just

1 something to be aware of that we all depend on those shoulders. So  
2 thank you.

3  
4 Pearson: Okay. Thank you.

5  
6 Nunez: If I could comment on that. Can you please always call the City? Call the  
7 City offices and tell them. Your call is effective. I mean they do some  
8 maintenance but please call. You know it's like 528-3333 and there are  
9 some other numbers that are available.

10  
11 Pearson: Okay. Thank you. Any other member of the public? Seeing none.

12  
13 **8. ADJOURNMENT (6:00)**

14  
15 Pearson: I'll hear a motion to adjourn.


16  
17 Shepan: Motion.

18  
19 Herrera: Second.

20  
21 Pearson: We have a motion and a second we adjourn. All in favor "aye."

22  
23 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

24  
25 Pearson: So we're adjourned.

26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31   
Chairperson