MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The following are minutes for the meeting of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities
Advisory Committee of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)
which was held April 17, 2017 at 5:00 p.m. in Commission Chambers at Dona Ana
County Government Building, 845 Motel Blvd., Las Cruces, New Mexico.

MEMBERS PRESENT:  George Pearson, Chair (City of Las Cruces Citizen Rep)
Andrew Bencomo (Pedestrian Community Rep)
Maggie Billings (Bicycle Community Citizen Rep)
Ashleigh Curry (Town of Mesilla Citizen Rep)
Jack Kirby (NMSU Staff Rep)
James Nunez (City of Las Cruces Staff Rep)
Samuel Paz (Dona Ana County Rep)

MEMBERS ABSENT:  Jolene Herrera (NMDOT)
Mark Leisher (DAC Citizen Rep)
Lance Shepan (Town of Mesilla Staff Rep)
Jess Waller (Bicycle Com. Rep.)

STAFF PRESENT:  Tom Murphy (MPO)
Michael McAdams (MPO)
Dominic Loya (MPO)

OTHERS PRESENT:  Becky Baum, Recording Secretary, RC Creations, LLC

1. CALL TO ORDER (5:00)

Pearson:  I'll call this meeting of the Mesilla Valley MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian
Advisory Committee to order. Start with some introductions and we'll start
with Maggie down here.

Billings:  Maggie Billings, Bicycle Community Representative.

Curry:  Ashleigh Curry, Town of Mesilla Citizen's Representative.

Nunez:  James Nunez, City of Las Cruces.

Pas:  Samuel Paz, Dona Ana County.

Kirby:  Jack Kirby, New Mexico State University.


Pearson:  And I'm George Pearson, City of Las Cruces Representative.
2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Pearson: Next we have approval of the agenda. Do we have any changes or
debbates to the agenda as presented? Hearing none I'll hear a motion to
approve the agenda as presented.

Curry: I'll put forth a motion to approve as presented.

Nunez: Second.

Pearson: We have a motion and a second for approval of the agenda. All in favor
"aye."

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

Pearson: Any opposed? The agenda's approved.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

3.1 February 20, 2018

Pearson: So next up is approval of the minutes for February 20th. Any discussion
on the minutes? Anybody care to make a motion to approve the minutes
as presented?

Bencomo: So moved.

Nunez: Second.

Pearson: We have a motion and a second to accept the minutes as presented. All
in favor "aye."

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

Pearson: Any opposed? None. The minutes are approved.

4. PUBLIC COMMENT

Pearson: Now we have an opportunity for public comment. Anyone from the public?
Seeing no public.

5. ACTION ITEMS

5.1 FY18-23 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments
Pearson: We'll go onto the next item, action items. We have Transportation Improvement Program Amendment.

MICHAEL MCADAMS GAVE HIS PRESENTATION.

Pearson: Any questions?

Curry: Yes, may I ask a question? Could you tell us a little bit about the University multimodal project near Zia Middle School?

McAdams: We just got the figures so they're going to go ahead and do the engineering and the construction.

Curry: So is that the project that was looked into that they did the engineering study on three years ago?

McAdams: We presume it is and that they would be looking at it and we'll be sort of reminding them about that study too to make sure they're aware of it and they are (inaudible). We have nothing more than this right now. If Ms. Herrera were here she would tell you (inaudible).

Curry: Okay. Thank you.

Pearson: So when is construction expected for this?

McAdams: In 2022.

Pearson: Okay, so we shouldn't be able to ask for further design before like 60% design presentation maybe?

McAdams: I would hope so. We would gladly contact Ms. Herrera about that or the consultants.

Pearson: That's an important corridor for things that we look so I think we would be very interested in knowing more about that project. That project had been designed and then thrown away 15 years ago or something so that one's coming up again. Any other questions?

Nunez: Yes. Dr. McAdams, can you elaborate, take a minute or two and tell me the perceived scope from Elks to Del Rey? That seems like already in good condition, nice road.

McAdams: Apparently, according to DOT it needs resurfacing before they do further work in that area.

Nunez: We just did a chip seal on it.
McAdams: I'm sorry I can't elicit any further.

Nunez: And when are the dates again?

McAdams: The dates will be FY20.

Nunez: Okay. Thanks.

Bencomo: Mr. Chair.

Pearson: Yes.

Bencomo: Yes, that section of road was really bad and then actually the chip seal was actually an improvement surprisingly, but I'd like to know what the improvements are going to be. So I don't know if in the future is there a way when these are brought forward that there's a little more detail, because we're being asked to vote on something that we're, we're asking questions and we don't really know the scope of them and so it seems like we're just rubber stamping instead of being able to be informed and make a good informed decision on what we're voting on.

McAdams: Ms. Herrera did give further explanation in her e-mail. It says "The project meets safety targets and by improving the quality of road upgrade and bridge elements improve pedestrian safety by updating the ADA ramps at the intersection too."

Nunez: That helps a lot then because the road seems in pretty good condition so ADA ramps would make sense. So, okay, thank you.

Pearson: If there's no further discussion I'll hear a motion to accept the TIP amendments as presented.

Curry: I'll put forth a motion to approve.

Billings; Second.

Pearson: We have a motion and a second to accept the TIP amendments as presented. All in favor "aye."

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.


5.2 Approval of FY19-20 Unified Planning Work Program
Pearson: We're on to approval of the Unified Planning Work Program.

MICHAEL MCADAMS GAVE HIS PRESENTATION.

Nunez: Can you take a minute please and elaborate on the safety issues? I know that I’ve seen you look at the maps with the concentration of pedestrian and bicycle incidences/accidents with vehicles I suppose.

McAdams: Right.

Nunez: Again I don't if it's access specific with accidents or just period or single, anyway, go ahead.

McAdams: We'll talk about the safety standards, the Policy Committee about four months ago adopted the statewide targets and we could've done our own but we thought it was easier to adopt their targets, so it's basically to go along with decreases in pedestrian and bicycle crash rates, as well as other motorized crash rates in conformance with the statewide targets.

Nunez: Okay. Very good, but I mean specifically are you going to ask for more midblock crossings, more bicycle lanes, more bigger, I don't know crosswalks.

McAdams: I mean, I don't know, we as the MPO can't ask but (inaudible) institute. We'd be looking particularly in the TIP for asking any implementing agency to indicate how they're going to increase bicycle and pedestrian safety and also motorized vehicle safety too. If you notice in Ms. Herrera's reference she says "This will help in pedestrian and bicycle crashes or will prevent or will be safe to, would acknowledge the crash standards too." So any body or implementing agency has to or puts in projects in the TIP has to respond or say how they're going to address the safety targets. They can say they don't but what we try to encourage because maybe some of them are not really relevant to safety, but that's one thing we're going to ask all permitting agencies do.

Nunez: I'm trying to capture what you're saying in that you're defining it but I'm asking does it include things like education? Is it all just roads and infrastructure? What kind of money's are we? I guess I'm not following you at all. I'm sorry.

McAdams: There are no monies involved as far as that's concerned. There's no incentive money. There's only STIP money. If the state as a whole does not decrease it's safety or declining in according the targets, we may be penalized with all our monies into safety as far as putting into roads. Right now it's balanced, but the penalty would be, we can do new road expansion, and maybe Tom can ...
Murphy: Mr. Chair, Members of the Committee. Let us back up a little bit and see if we can approach it from different direction. The Unified Planning Work Program is a document for two fiscal years which directs what the efforts of staff is going to be for those upcoming two years. And as Mr. McAdams stated, one of the major things that staff will be concentrating on would be the update for the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.

Also another major task that we’ve identified is our support of updating the safety targets adopted by NMDOT, and as part of that effort what we want to do within the MPO area is to chart and catalogue things like where are the most dangerous intersections not only for automobiles but also for non-motorized bicycle and pedestrian traffic. Upon identifying those dangers or those problem areas, we can then encourage our jurisdictions and the DOT to concentrate on directing their efforts towards mitigating those intersections, those stretches of roadway. So we’re really the main focus from an MPO staff standpoint is we’re going to work on collecting all of the data, analyzing it, and coming up with recommendations to those bodies such as the City, the County, and the DOT that do have the programmatic means in which to address specific problem areas. A lot of other things you know deals with our larger data collection, our traffic count program for example, our efforts on updating, refining ways of collecting bicycle and pedestrian data, those are things that we’re very interested in doing. Other programs within the UPWP goes down to the mundane that we fill out our reports, we do the annual listing of projects each year. We work with local governments, making sure that when they’re spending federal monies on any of their projects that we assist them with getting all the reports necessary complied together so that they can be passed up through DOT up to FHWA. So what we’re asking is for recommendations of the Policy Committee for the staff work program. I hope that was able to clarify some things.

Nunez: It does. Thanks.

Pearson: Yes, this is actually an area that I was going to bring up in the comments but seems very germane right, in Albuquerque they had a pedestrian fatality at a middle school and the community there is kind of coming together with Vision Zero type approach. The Vision Zero seems like the very thing that we’re kind of talking about. So what kind of things could we do maybe as a Committee to promote Vision Zero, because we’ve had a near miss here at a middle school, so we’re just fortunate that we’re not reacting to a fatality? It’s not something that we want to ever happen. I mean that’s just recent one’s, there are other ones too that I can think of that go back and we have had a fatality not terribly long ago. Are there things that work into this that maybe this committee should be working towards, should we be encouraging the jurisdictions to establish a Vision Zero task force or implementing a proclamation or resolution that says
promoting safe Vision Zero? Councillor Eakman had a recent constituent meeting and it was concerning traffic and he just did kind of show of hands, what's the most difficult program to address; red light running, speeding, something else, or distracted driving. Well distracted driving inched out red light runners and speeders. And while there's a lot of statewide effort toward DWI problems, I don't know that there's that much intensity with distracted driving and maybe we could address that, that would help our safety targets also I believe.

Murphy: Mr. Chair I think those are things that definitely come as a result of staff's focus on compiling the data, that way we can then move forward and our decision makers like Councillor Eakman can have data that supports the individual policy recommendations they make, one of which could be Vision Zero. A lot of those reasons you cited about what people perceive as the most dangerous aspect. In our staff meeting this morning we sat down, we were going over the crash statistics for over the last five years and of course distracted driving's one of the top 10 in all five years. It's starting to move, the red light incidences have actually been declining in the frequency of the crash causes and what we ultimately want to do is kind of catalogue all of that data so that we can present it to this Committee, present it to the other committees to help inform your decisions and your recommendations and so when we're talking that we're going to make safety one of the major components of our work program, is we are going to be compiling all of that information so that recommendations can come out and they'll be backed by the data and that's where we'll concentrate.

Pearson: Our agenda item right now is more specific to the task and the data. Maybe during our comment period we can come back and talk about this as far as policy direction or asking for policy at the jurisdiction levels.

Murphy: Right. I think one of the things, we will be coming out with the report of the information we are working on relatively soon. I think out of those presentations we start thinking about what specific policy recommendations would be appropriate to start moving forward. Trying to think, we had four fatalities in 2016, the last year, so statistically speaking we're pretty close to the zero on fatalities. We've had a couple years where we've had the zero, actually that's four, I'll have to check the motorized fatalities, but we're such a small area, small population, we have one egregious crash that could be due to weather that could be unforeseen and that completely spikes any of our data. But what we want to do is try to isolate so we're looking systematically are there things that we can change that on average get us approaching zero in that regard. Not knowing that somebody suffered some kind of medical event while operating a vehicle and that is something that no amount of planning or design's going to get us out of.
Pearson: It still counts as a traffic fatality.

Murphy: Yes, and it still counts as a traffic facility and if you'll remember back to the discussion when we were moving the targets through the committee process is Congress is going to be holding MPO's and DOT's responsible for achieving those targets that they set, so the trick is you want to set targets that move the needle in the direction you want to go but do not set it where you set yourself up to fail because it's moving in the direction if you failed to meet your targets you could be impacting the funding that's available to you.

Pearson: Right.

Murphy: But that's really a discussion that we have within the context of as we work on these particular work programs, so I think what we're asking for today is, is this something that staff should be working on as a general area.

Pearson: Any other comments?

Nunez: No. Thanks for explaining. I do have, you helped in explaining what you're doing. I was curious if you could take one more minute and talk a little bit about how that may relate to, and do you work with the Safe Routes to School on this?

Murphy: Yes. One of the things, and that's something that we've had on for at least the last 10 years Ashleigh. MPO's been a supporting agency of the Safe Routes to School effort and it's in our work program that we'll continue to devote staff time to helping that program along. We hope to be able to provide them whatever data request they ask for us. We hope to provide them some public support. We also hope to adopt the action plan that's coming out of that process to kind of state that as a region why policy documents, so by all means yes we are proposing to still be supportive of Safe Routes to School.

Curry: Thank you very much. And I also just wanted to add in, I see under 4.3 Safe Routes to School, the plan. Could I request that you guys come to all our Coalition meetings and not just every third one?

Murphy: Yes.

Curry: Thank you. I would appreciate it. We're not on in the summer so you're off June and July. But thank you, that would be appreciated.

Murphy: And I know we schedule ourselves to attend all of them and I apologize.
Curry: No, I'm just looking at the plans for 2019-2020.

Murphy: Oh I see.

Curry: We do attend. Dominic is at most of them, but I'm just looking at the plans for 2019-2020 and it says "SRT a Steering Committee" on page 52.

Murphy: I guess at the time I put that matrix together, I was assuming a quarterly, but administratively I'll change that to monthly except for summers.

Curry: Thank you.

Pearson: Any other comments? Hear a motion to accept the United Planning Work Program as presented, we're recommending to the Policy Committee.

Nunez: I'll make the motion.

Pearson: Mr. Nunez. We have a second.

Curry: I'll second it.

Pearson: We have a motion and a second to recommend the plan to the Policy Committee. All in favor "aye."

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

Pearson: Any opposed?

6. DISCUSSION ITEMS

6.1 Highlights of FHWA Pedestrian Facilities Training

Pearson: Okay we're on to our discussion items. Some FHWA Pedestrian Facilities Training.

MICHAEL MCADAMS GAVE HIS PRESENTATION.

Pearson: Go back to that.

McAdams: Which one?

Pearson: To the one previous table.

McAdams: Sure. Okay.
Pearson: Because it shows for 2011 bicyclists zero for fatalities and that was when Dr. Fronczek had the fatality out by US-70.

McAdams: That was, I'm not sure why it wasn't accounted. It could be outside of the MPO area.

Pearson: It might be just outside of the MPO.

McAdams: It may be just outside the MPO area. I can check.

Pearson: The other side of the Pass I guess.

McAdams: Yes.

Murphy: It was in the pass. Our area ends just east of the Town or Village of Organ. Geographically it was outside the MPO area.

Pearson: And there were two fatalities on Valley since that I believe.

McAdams: For bicyclists?

Pearson: Right.

McAdams: We used to have, what year was that?

Pearson: I'd have to go back and look at my records.

McAdams: Okay.

Pearson: But it was Community of Hope clientele.

McAdams: Okay.

Pearson: And so I'm thinking '13, '14.

McAdams: That was considered a bicycle accident or crash?

Pearson: They were both hit and runs.

McAdams: Okay. We'll have to go back and check that because I'm not sure why it didn't record but we just deal with the data and we can go like specific look like that.

Murphy: Mr. Chair. I think that's something that I think we should probably pause to dwell on a bit. This is data that we get back from the UNM Traffic Safety Bureau which is on contract by the DOT to process crash data
statewide. Frequently, especially when we're dealing with one or zero fatalities it's very easy that we remember something that somehow doesn't come up in the data and those are things I think I guess we do need to find those examples so that we can go to UNM and find out what's happening.

Pearson: Right, this could go back to uniform crash reporting needs be.

Murphy: The earlier discussion about the crash causes is, it was unbelievable the number of where they cited the major factor as none. I guess what I want to get at to that point is we want to call out when we're aware of these discrepancies. Perhaps some of the answer, I mean in addition to pinpointing where we have problem locations, we probably need to pinpoint where there's a deficit in our understanding of how this is supposed to work versus say how law enforcement views how it's worked. Is there a training aspect that we need to be promoting with law enforcement as far as to refine the crash reporting? I think definitely I would like to have you share the data that you have so that we can begin asking those questions and improve the overall quality of the information we're looking at.

Pearson: Right. Okay.

Curry: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Murphy. Can I also just add? I believe that the UNM Bike/Ped. Coordinator was planning on doing the same bike/ped. crash investigation training but doing it with an on-line piece to accommodate people's schedules. So that's something I think didn't really take off last August in the way that we had hoped in our community, but maybe that's something that you could look into and direct further so that we do have a more uniform tracking of how crashes occur and our officers are trained throughout all the jurisdictions. Thank you.

MICHAEL MCADAMS CONTINUES HIS PRESENTATION.

Curry: Dr. McAdams can I interrupt? And I would also comment on trash bins, because trash bins are either on the sidewalk or they're in the bike lane.

McAdams: Right. So that's not really the purpose. The purpose for the furniture, trashcans, etc. and only in that area. I'm not sure how you do it with curbs a certain way because you have trash cans, you have to put you know on the curb. So that's something we have to work at as well. They don't really address that but do you have any ideas of how you would like accommodate from people that put trash, they do impose on bike lanes.

Curry: My thought is that if you have a furniture zone that that's where they go, so then neither on the sidewalk nor in the bike lane. But I was just driving
along Triviz today and noticing how many trashcans were in the bike lane
or they're on the sidewalk and it's not a furniture zone to put them, so I
don't really know ...

McAdams: Yeah.

Curry: It hasn't been designed for a different alternative.

MICHAEL MCADAMS CONTINUED HIS PRESENTATION.

Curry: Dr. McAdams.

McAdams: Yes.

Curry: If I may interrupt one more time.

McAdams: Sure.

Curry: This is exactly what I'm talking about on Valley Drive off of Caliche's there
for the Community of Hope people. And I'm kind of disappointed to know
that the design chose not to put in a median refuge right there as you
continue to see pedestrians crossing all the time and they're requesting
that pedestrians go up the road to Amador, but it's too far, people don't do

McAdams: They won't and you have to look at pedestrian behavior.

Curry: Yes, and pedestrian behavior is everybody there and I just feel like that's
begging for a fatality and here we are, please put me on record, that I
think something's going to happen there. I think we need to address it.
And I think just saying we've studied it and it isn't warranted, I don't think
that's going to work, I really don't. I just see it on a continual basis there.

Billings: I absolutely agree. I think that really is an issue that needs to be
addressed by the City because you're right, somebody's going to die
there, so I completely agree with you.

MICHAEL MCADAMS CONTINUED HIS PRESENTATION.

Nunez: Do we have this scenario in the City?

McAdams: Well there maybe this kind of scenario here, you know where you have
multiple crossings and signalization. Can you think of any that are kind of
similar to this or no?

Nunez: Might be one or two.
McAdams: Okay.

Nunez: I was just asking if we had this diamond here.

McAdams: Not exactly. Just an example of looking at, there's probably something similar up at Triviz, there is a multiple crossings there, not exactly like this of course, you know, but we have conflicts. I mean this model, this example is not exact. I'm not saying this condition is in Cruces you know. But overall conflict points.

MICHAEL MCADAMS CONTINUES HIS PRESENTATION.

Paz: Quick question, can you just make them available on the MPO website to everyone?

McAdams: Yes, I can do that because they told us we can use it anyway we want to. The problems are how do we do this. I can get them, depends on the capacity of the server you have because like with our server it's too big, with Outlook I can't mail them to anybody else. But I can do them in sections so let's see how we can do this on our website.

Nunez: I'm thinking about how we've been using the road diets and I agree with how successful they are and all. I was just kind of curious if you have any data at all about the one or two or few people that are so impatient and pass in the center lane and such. Do we have data on that or do we or anyone asked the police department for more policing or anything on that, because I noticed it more the last couple of years.

McAdams: I would have, that you have to (inaudible) because a lot of times the collision report, there's like very general what happened in the crash and that would be probably monitoring by the police but we have no data on that.

Nunez: All right. Thank you.

McAdams: But it is a concern, I agree.

Pearson: I do remember some crash data on Solano that, maybe it was Solano, maybe it was Idaho, because the federal standards say a road diet reduces crashes, something, I'm trying to remember, perhaps 26%, and I think our numbers are something more like 23%, so not quite as good as what federal number say, but still a significant reduction in crashes I would say.
McAdams: That's what I say, well and those figures (inaudible) by (inaudible) Journal and so you can have different conditions that will be there. I think 22% is pretty good you know.

Pearson: So we just need to figure out when we're getting our road diets on Alameda and on the rest of Idaho. Any other questions?

6.2 Update of Public Participation Plan

Pearson: Okay, so we'll move on to update of public participation plan.

MICHAEL MCADAMS GAVE HIS PRESENTATION.

Curry: Dr. McAdams I have a question for you. Along the lines of looking at the underserved population, have you done any public input meetings at the Community of Hope?

McAdams: We haven't. Well I think that'll be a good way to do it. We've done some things where we actually for the ATP which we are part of, we did do questionnaires directly to the Community of Hope. So through the ATP process we did, but that'll be a good place to put questionnaires for perhaps the MTP update.

Curry: Or even just a public meeting that people could attend open to anybody of the public ...

McAdams: Right.

Curry: But then it would be accessible to the people who live there.

McAdams: Oh I agree. I agree.

Curry: They're big uses of the public transportation and active transportation.

McAdams: Absolutely. Because we did the ATP we looked at, we target our audiences. We looked at not only the Community of Hope we did questionnaires but also the Transfer Center too. So (inaudible) different places.

Curry: Great. Thanks.

McAdams: So in that aspect we did.

Nunez: Yes, on the one, couple of slides you'd mentioned the meetings that you held, were those all at the City Hall or?
McAdams: No those are various, the Missouri Avenue was at Farm and Ranch near where a lot of people were living. And for the (inaudible) transit plan we did mention that was done in, all places were accessible. The university was done at Mesilla Town Hall near, the (inaudible) there too. And that’s the situation the Town of Mesilla very much helped with, with the public officials helped to go at and sometimes door-to-door get people to attend that too. So we always rely on others to publicize it too.

Nunez: I didn’t stop you because you were presenting, but you had one on the definition on the environmental justice. Can you explain that slide or, I don’t know if you need to go back to it or not.

McAdams: I can explain it. Environmental justice looking at where a certain group is visiting, (inaudible) because perhaps most of you like location near (inaudible) landfill or industrial facility that’s affecting them adversely. So one of the situations may be you know having houses near a transfer center for example, buses you know, polluting. In fact in El Paso the situation, not a transit terminal but a bus transit, not public transit but school bus. The residents are protesting because they live right along the border, I don’t know if you’ve heard the NPR, there’s already truck fumes now the school district are, or one of the school are proposing it, a transfer center for school buses. They’re protesting because their breathing’s being affected already due to the buses and the trucks etc. and now with the school bus they’re saying now you’re going to have buses idling, you know we’ve had enough. And so really you’re doing this, the accusation is you’re doing it because we are a minority area. We can’t protest and that’s what’s really if for, environmental justice looking at that mostly a minority status or low-income areas and they don’t have the voice. So the public, and traditionally you heard about the 20th hits the trailer parks, that’s joking. That’s environmental justice. It’s because mostly in low-lying areas, well this is …

Nunez: I understand. That explains it. Thank you.

McAdams: Tornados often hit. Where do people with trailer parks often are low-lying areas because not desirable.

Nunez: No, I understand. Your bus example is a good example.

McAdams: Right.

Nunez: Thank you.

Pearson: Any other comments? So this plan kind of also applies to this Committee as far as we try to get public participation. Is there anything specific in
there or something that we, because we’d talking about moving our
meetings maybe or changing formats at some.

McAdams: Not specific, but all committees to be aware of public participation, how we
can increase public participation. Yes. So not specifically.

Pearson: Okay. Any other comments? Okay, I guess we’re done with that item.

7. COMMITTEE AND STAFF COMMENTS

7.1 MPO Staff Update

Pearson: So we’re on to MPO update.

McAdams: We have some good news. All the project we put up for priority in the
priority first year trails have been entered into the CIP. So we don’t know,
we’ll see their status later on, but we rely on some of the Committee
Members to go to the City Councillors and to advocate but moving their
projects up to closer year and seeing where they’re at. So the point is the
MPO is, they’re in the CIP, so now we request you guys here to go and
advocate them too.

Pearson: And there has been some public input for the GO bond that the City’s
looking at.

McAdams: That’s correct but that’s a separate issue.

Pearson: Right.

Bencomo: Mr. Chair. So you said there all are the ones that are going on the CIP, is
that all the ones that we just voted on or there were some other Tier 1.

McAdams: The 14 that we proposed.

Bencomo: Okay.

Pearson: The ones we ranked.

McAdams: Yes, so the ones we ranked.

Bencomo: The ones we just recently ranked. Okay.

McAdams: Yes. The you ranked.

Bencomo: Are those assigned under a specific department. What department would
those be under?
McAdams: They're under Public Works Department.

Bencomo: And I know those can be moved around. As time goes on they can be reassigned because Parks and Rec, I mean they're actually parks once the trails are in, things like that are in place, not any roadway projects, but that'd be Public Works. So I'm just curious if we go to advocate for these we can advocate not only perhaps for the funding but also to maybe assign them to I think Parks and Recreation may have more of an interest in those perhaps than Public Works, I'm not real sure but seems like it.

Murphy: Mr. Chair, Mr. Bencomo. The way I understand that it works is that you know certainly Public Works will do the projects that are associated on street. I do believe that within the City of Las Cruces the Project Development section would develop a trail project and advance that and then once it is completed, turn it over to Parks for ongoing maintenance. Project Development is a part of the Public Works Department. So right now I do believe that the main department to handling this CIP would be the Public Works Department and then ultimately if and when a trail is built, that's the point that it would turn over to a different department.

Bencomo: And you're correct that's how the system has technically worked which is a weakness in the system because for example the trails behind the Las Cruces Dam, the complaint we heard from Parks and Rec was "Well they just built that and then they gave it to us." And the design is not good, it's not working, they're going to have to fix it. So I think, I get that project management is the one that does that because they have to go through the design process, the design phase and all that, just like Fire Station 7 and 8 were done that way, but the still belong to that department and they're still in the CIP under that department. So that's why I'm wondering I think Parks and Rec may be needs to take those on even though they still have to work through project management to get them done. So I think it's a weakness because then there's no ownership. It's somebody else's building it and then giving it to us that we have no input into and so I think that creates weakness within the City structure doing that, so maybe we can advocate changing that, I don't know.

Murphy: That's a good comment and I hadn't thought deeply into that, probably ask Mr. McAdams to get in contact with Sonya, make her aware of the trail projects this committee has endorsed and make her department aware of their existence and perhaps then they can be at the table when the design happens.

Bencomo: Thank you.

McAdams: That's it for us.
7.2 Local Projects update

Pearson: Okay, so local projects update. Guess we'll start at the end, NMSU do you have anything?

Kirby: There are no project updates from NMSU.

Pearson: County.

Paz: We have a few updates. The Soledad project's at 90% design with construction in the fall. The Baylor Canyon chip seal project is scheduled for summer construction and that's going to be two lanes with approved shoulders, very similar to the Dripping Springs geometry. Dona Ana School Road, staff is working with DOT for right-of-way certifications and no date on construction for that project.

Pearson: City.

Nunez: We have open bids today on Miranda from Parker to Palmer and that is adding ADA pedestrian ramps along Court, that one should start soon too. Dona Ana Road we have the sewer line installation which that's like north of where Alameda ends right there. And that one's going fairly well. Then along those little, let's see, we're staring to look at the design for the microsurfacing and the road maintenance like we do every year and then like every year I bring you a list after the Utilities Department reviews it to see which items on the list we can do. Then I'll look into trying to restriping, maybe change the configuration of some of the roads so I'll bring that list here after the Utility Department is completed, after they're done. Because what they do, what the utility department does is they'll look at a road that we want to resurface and they'll see if they need to update the utilities first so we don't go and upgrade the road surface and then they turn around and tear it up.

Then we also have, as many of you are probably aware, the road diet is what they're looking at on Main Street there, what is it from Boutz to Valley, somewhere in that section where it's four lane, they're talking about going with the classic road diet to add a center turn lane and then one lane in each direction south and north. I think there's a discussion, a meeting on April 25th, I think that's at 6:30. And what else do I have here. There's going to be a discussion I think on May 7th, the City will probably be voting on looking at adding monies for the, what do you want to call it, like landscaping and all for that intersection there on University and I-25, I believe that project coming up, currently in design. That's all I got.

Pearson: Okay. I noticed the neighborhood Hadley reconstruction's about done and they put in lane lines, all sorts of lane lines in that residential
neighborhood. They put in a double yellow line. We're talking about Vision Zero and walkability and everything and seems like that's counter intuitive to me. When Dan Burden was here one of his comments was, well way to slow down traffic is don't put in the center lane lines, leave it wide open, gives people, they have to use their own judgement as to where to drive, so that was, I guess I don't know if you have a response to that, I'm just going to comment on that.

Nunez: Let me look at it. Typically with our Traffic Department, and also too like you just mentioned, we try not to put any paint down if we don't have to, if people know where to go, it's safe, it matches MUTCD and all that, so which section on Hadley and where is that?

Pearson: The new construction from Reymond to Melendres. And most of them are one block sections and stop signs on just about every block.

Nunez: Okay. I will look into it.

Pearson: I think its a question extends to the Court project and would they want to put lane lines on the court project which currently, I mean that probably hasn't ever had lane lines in 100 years it's been there.

Nunez: Right. No I know Court pretty well. I actually lived on Court for a short time.

Pearson: Well they recently added to Las Cruces Avenue from Armijo to Mesilla and so that struck me as odd, especially the double yellow lines. If they needed to put a lane line there why not just put a single yellow dashed line.

Nunez: Right. I will and I'll start with my supervisor and I'll also talk to Soo Gyu Lee. We no longer have Willie Roman at the City. He went on to better/greater things, so we have a temporary position, Hector Terrazas is over there in that department for a while until they hire a replacement for the lead position over there. But my boss, I agree, Jerry Cordova is an advocate, I think he thinks along the, I can't remember the name you listed but he's the same way where try not to put paint down.

Pearson: Right.

Nunez: Thanks.

Pearson: Okay.

7.3 NMDOT Projects update
Pearson: And NMDOT is not present tonight.

7.4 **Committee Members Update**

Pearson: So that moves us on to Committee Members updates. Do I have any members that want to say comments?

Curry: Yes please. I would just like to, I think I gave everybody who's here our Family Bike Fiesta flier. So on April 28th we're going to have, this will be our third big kind of collective for the whole school district. In the past we've had hundreds of children, upward of 500 and doing bike repair and free helmets and all kinds of things, so bike rodeo, that kind of thing. So if any of you are interested in coming and spending your Saturday Morning I think some of you have already been roped in, but if you haven't yet, we can definitely use some help. Knowing ahead of time is more helpful to me. So if anybody is available, interested to come and help with that or if you have children who'd like to come out and attend, need bikes repaired or anything else, I'd like to get the word out, we just sent out 12,000 fliers to the school district so I anticipate we'll have a good turnout again this year.

And then also just to let everybody know this leads up to Bike to School week or Bike to School Days and those'll be celebrated around the district from the 8th of May, 8th, 9th, 10th, and 11th, so those four days in that week and one day at each school, and I think we have 20 schools signed up, 22 schools signed up. Walkbiketoschool.org is the website with school signup for that. That's it. And actually can I just get a little bit more information Mr. Nunez on that April 25th meeting about Main Street, the road diet. I'm interested in knowing more about it. I might go to that meeting. Is that a definitive time? And do you know where?

Nunez: I believe it's the City and I'm pretty sure it's 6:30 and I'm pretty sure it's April 25th. I know that my coworker is the project lead, the senior engineer's Jennifer Yoder.

Curry: Okay.

Nunez: I'm not sure of her phone number but if you call the City department, I think it's 528-3333 the receptionist can direct you to Jennifer and she can give you the details.

Curry: Thank you.

Pearson: I have it as 5:30 on my calendar.

Nunez: What is it?
Pearson: I have 5:30 on my calendar.

Nunez: Okay. Very good.

Pearson: I saw some notice some place and I put it on the calendar and then it's like in four at City Hall.

Nunez: Excellent. Thanks. I guess I have Central time listed here then. I don't want to throw you off, sorry.

Curry: Trying to get us confused.

Nunez: That's not the case at all.

Bencomo: Mr. Chair.

Pearson: Yes.

Bencomo: At the last meeting I didn't attend, but in the comments Ms. Curry had brought up about the map with the ditches, some of them are underground, some are above ground and then the question was asked is something that we could possibly put out to the MPO to update the maps or work with EBID. So is that being worked on and if not I would volunteer to help work on that. I mean riding the ditches and this, that, and the other they're fairly conspicuous to me having used them multiple times, so I'm just volunteering my services if something needs to be done with that.

Murphy: Mr. Chair, Mr. Bencomo. I guess we would have to have it as a volunteer effort. If you would like you can contact our mapping staff, Dominic or Mr. McAdams with which ones are underground, over ground. We did reach out to EBID. They did not have the staff resources to generate that and they look at things on a project-by-project basis, so they didn't want to invest the effort to map that.

Bencomo: Right I understand the resources like you guys are sometimes stretched for resources, but also based on their maps they may identify some that are above ground because there are some that are above ground but they're old laterals that aren't used any more and they're not even suitable to walk on, so even their mapping system if they look at it, if they haven't actually gone out there themselves they may or may not know, but let me, I'll get contact information and then I'll get with you or your staff on that. Thank you.

Billings: I have a comment. I just wanted to say this is my last meeting because I'm moving to Silver City because I'm graduating. So I just wanted to say
thank you to everybody for letting me be part of this and for everything that you do and it's really great. Got to teach me a lot so thank you.

Pearson: Okay. Thank you. I was going to point you out. I was going to ask staff if, I know you put out the public request for that position, have you gotten any response yet?

Murphy: We've got a few.

Pearson: Okay. Good. So it's April 27th is the close date for that.

McAdams: That's correct.

Pearson: Which is just the Bicycle Community Member so it's open to pretty much everybody in the MPO area that has an interest in bicycles. I guess I'll go back, any other Committee Members? I'll go back to the Vison Zero kind of thing, sounds like we're going to get presentation on some of these numbers as they come in and then that's when we would discuss these kind of more policy ideas, maybe make a recommendation, decide if we want to make a recommendation to Policy Committee to do something else. Okay.

And it is Bike Month that is coming up. Ashleigh touched on a couple of things. May is Bike Month so it starts with a bike blessing on Sunday at the Universal Unitarian Church at 10:10 on the first Sunday. We talked about Bike to School. We also have proclamations at the Town of Mesilla, City of Las Cruces, and Dona Ana County. There's going to be a meet and greet on May 6th at Picacho Peak Brewery. There's a cyclofin ride on May 16th I think.

Curry: Twelfth.

Pearson: Twelfth. We have our monthly visibility ride on the second Monday of the month. Ride of Silence is expected to be on the third Wednesday. Mayor's Bike Ride May 19th, Saturday. And there's also a regular ride on the first Friday of each month at La Llorona, an ice cream ride that's a lot of fun. Ride leaves at 5:30 so show up a little bit after 5:00 and it's a great event. Everybody get it on their bike and ride for May is Bike Month. And that's all I have.

8. PUBLIC COMMENT

Pearson: Okay, so we're on to public comment. Seeing no members of the public.

9. ADJOURNMENT (6:23)

Pearson: We'll go onto adjournment.
Curry: I put forth a motion to adjourn.

Bencomo: Second.

Pearson: We have a motion and a second to adjourn. All in favor "aye."

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

Pearson: We're adjourned. Thank you.

Chairperson