1 2 3	MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE				
4 5 6 7 8 9	Mesilla Val	s for the meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee of the an Planning Organization (MPO) which was held October 5, e City of Las Cruces Council Chambers, 700 N. Main, Las			
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MEMBERS	PRESENT:	David Armijo (SCRTD) Mike Bartholomew (CLC Transit) Michael Garza proxy John Gwynne (DAC Flood Com.) Gary Skelton proxy Soo Gyu Lee (CLC) Jolene Herrera (NMDOT) Harold Love (NMDOT) Rene Molina (DAC Eng.) Luis Marmolejo (DAC Planning) Lily Sensiba (EBID) Tony Trevino (CLC Public Works)		
21 22 23 24 25 26	MEMBERS	ABSENT:	Bill Childress (BLM) Todd Gregory (LCPS) Dale Harrell (NMSU) Debbi Lujan (Town of Mesilla) Larry Shannon (Town of Mesilla)		
27 28 29 30 31	STAFF PRE	ESENT:	Tom Murphy (MPO Staff) Andrew Wray (MPO Staff) Michael McAdams (MPO Staff) Dominic Loya (MPO)		
32 33	OTHERS PI	RESENT:	Becky Baum, RC Creations, LLC, Recording Secretary		
34 35	1. CALL	TO ORDER	(4:04 PM)		
36 37 38 39	Marmolejo:	Committee for	oon. Welcome to the Mesilla Valley MPO TAC Advisory or October 5, 2017. We'll go ahead and call to order. Do we roll call prior to the approval of the agenda?		
40	Wray:	We do have	some proxies here so I would suggest that, yes.		
41 42 43	Marmolejo:	We'll start on	the right hand side please.		
44 45 46	Garza:	Michael Ga Commission	rza proxy for John Gwynne, Dona Ana County Flood		

1	Sensiba:	Lily Sensiba, EBID.		
2		Elly Colloba, EBIB.		
3	Skelton:	Gary Skelton proxy for Soo Gyu Lee, City of Las Cruces Transportation		
4	OKOROII.	Section.		
5		GEGLIOIT.		
	Δ !!	David America CODED		
6	Armijo:	David Armijo, SCRTD.		
7				
8	Love:	Harold Love, New Mexico DOT.		
9	*			
10	Herrera:	Jolene Herrera, New Mexico DOT.		
11		,		
12	Trevino:	Tony Trevino, City of Las Cruces, Public Works.		
13	TTCVIITO.	Tony Trevine, Only of Eas Ordees, I ablie Works.		
	Double James v. Miles Double James v. City of L. C			
14	Bartholomew: Mike Bartholomew, City of Las Cruces, RoadRUNNER Transit.			
15				
16	Molina:	Rene Molina, Dona Ana County Engineering.		
17				
18	Marmolejo:	Luis Marmolejo, Dona Ana County, Community Planning. Please also		
19	•	don't forget about your cell phones, mute them if you like so we cannot be		
20		interrupted.		
21		interrupted.		
	2 ADDE	DOVAL OF ACENDA		
22	2. APPF	ROVAL OF AGENDA		
23				
24	Marmolejo:	Approval of the agenda. Do we all see any changes to the agenda?		
25				
26	Wray:	Staff has none.		
27	•			
28	Marmolejo:	I don't see any others. So well make a recommendation for approval of		
29	Marmolojo.	the agenda.		
		the agenda.		
30	D 11 1			
31	Bartholomev	v: I move we accept the agenda.		
32				
33	Love:	Second.		
34				
35	Marmoleio:	All in favor.		
36	mammolojo.			
37	MOTION DA	OTION DACCEC LINANIMOLICI V		
	MOTION PA	MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.		
38				
39	3. APPF	APPROVAL OF MINUTES		
40				
41	3.1	August 3, 2017		
42				
43	Marmolejo:	And item number three, approval of the August 3, 2017 minutes. I		
44		scanned through them, I didn't see any thing that I needed changed.		
45		Anybody else? No. Somebody want to make a move to approve the		
46		minutes of August 3rd?		

1 2 3	Bartholomew: I move we approve the minutes of August 3rd.					
4 5	Trevino:	Second.				
6 7	Marmolejo:	All in favor.				
8 9	MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.					
10	4. PUBL	LIC COMMENT				
11 12 13	Marmolejo:	Okay item number four. Any public comment? Nobody from the public to comment?				
14 15	5. DISCUSSION ITEMS					
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	5.1	New Mexico Department of Transportation Safety Targets Presentation				
	Marmolejo:	Okay we'll go ahead and move to item number five, discussion items; 5.1 New Mexico Department of Transportation Safety Targets Presentation by MPO staff.				
24 25	ANDREW W	NDREW WRAY GAVE HIS PRESENTATION.				
26 27 28 29	Bartholomew	v: I had a question. Comparing it to the previous statistics, are there reasons why the fatalities that seems to be on the increase where as serious are decreasing.				
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Wray:	Mr. Chair, Mr. Bartholomew. I would attribute that to improved vehicle features to improve safety, partially improved geometry on the roadways. As far as the fatalities go to some extent it's just there's no controlling for a lot of the things that can possibly happen out there and that's why I think that the spread of serious injuries is seeing a steady decline but fatalities, things can happen, things do happen, that's a possible explanation as to why.				
	Bartholomew	r: So with all the safety improvements you're either going to slip by very well or you're going to die, one or the other, not in between.				
	Herrera:	Mr. Chair. If I can just add a little bit to that. So some of the fatalities for 2016 in particular came from the southeast corner of the state where we had very high oil and gas traffic and so when you have heavy trucks and you have a head-on collision, chances are that people are probably going to die and unfortunately you have one car with four people in it that die and your statistics go way up. So we had a couple of those in the past				

1 few years. Also there have been some facilities on NM-404, same with 2 just head-on collisions, so that's kind of why things are going up. 3 4 Bartholomew: Thank you. 5 6 ANDREW WRAY CONTINUED HIS PRESENTATION. 7 8 Marmoleio: Andrew I have a question. Can these statistics be mapped? Can you 9 map the statistics on the type of roadway and whether they're serious or 10 fatal statistics or numbers if you will? 11 12 Wray: Certainly. In potential Mr. Chair we can certainly do that. At this specific 13 moment in time we were hoping to be able to do something like that for 14 this process but we did not assemble all that information in time. As we 15 move forward with the new reality of having the performance measures 16 and the safety targets we're anticipating that that exact type of analysis is 17 going to become part of our sort of standard work projects and part of the 18 ongoing conversation. 19 20 Marmolejo: Because if I heard you right you're going to want some kind of 21 recommendation out of this TAC meeting. Is that ...? 22 23 Wray Broadly speaking. The recommendation that we'd be looking for is going 24 to be specifically on these numbers here, these specific, whether or not 25 we want to endorse the State's targets or set our own. That wouldn't get 26 down to the level of specific improvements at specific locations. 27 28 Marmolejo: Right. 29 30 Wray: This is more of a broader, these are the numbers that we want to shoot for 31 now in order for us to get to those numbers, that's when the exact sort of 32 analysis that you're talking about is going to come into play of what do we 33 need to do to improve, to be able to achieve these specific numbers. 34 35 Marmolejo: I think because if we were to visually see it maybe we could catch some 36 trends you know and what type of roadway where they mostly had been 37 occurring at. 38 39 Wray: We did Mr. Chair, actually about a year ago right now I think it was do 40 some presentations to the BPAC, the TAC, and the Policy Committee 41 about some of the intersections that have the highest crash rates. So we 42 do have some of that information. I don't believe that that would've had 43 the 2015 data included in it. We can send around the PowerPoint to the 44 Committee if you're interested in reviewing it, but it probably does not have 45

the 2015 statistics on it.

46

Marmolejo: And when do you anticipate that we're going to have some kind of opinion if you will or any input to these?

Wray:

We're anticipating at the November meeting, at next month's meeting that we'll be asking the TAC to provide a recommendation to the Policy Committee about endorsing the State's numbers or not. And if the MPO does not choose to endorse the State's numbers then we would have until February to come up with our own. But again staff's recommendation is that the MPO should endorse the state's numbers because especially just to cite an example, if we look at fatalities in this area, thankfully we really don't have very many, so we could have one really horrific catastrophic incident that could just wreck our numbers for a single year. And this thing from the Federal Government, it's all stick, there's no carrot. You're only punished if you fail to achieve it but you're not rewarded if you do. So we need to be very cautious and careful about what we may or may not choose to set here in the MPO.

Marmolejo: Thank you.

Bartholomew: I had a question. How are these targets ultimately going to be used? Are they going to be used in prioritizing safety improvements in roads or, like we were just talking about, perhaps increased number of fatalities in areas where there's more head-ons, is that going to maybe put a greater priority in looking at how design can be done to reduce head-ons or non-motorized accidents at reducing those. How's this data going to be used?

Wray:

Herrera:

Wray:

Mr. Chair, Mr. Bartholomew. Yes. And I think it'll even get down to the project by project level of what is this project going to do to impact the targets. I don't know if DOT wishes to speak further on that.

Sure. Thanks. That's exactly right. It is going to be project by project. Basically any project that's entered into the TIP and into the STIP we will be asking the question, how does it help us meet these targets? And then more specific we have a category of funding called Highway Safety Improvement Program funding that is specific for safety projects, so we will be targeting some of the most dangerous intersections, the corridors where we have a lot of fatalities, we're going to try to be more proactive with that safety money and these numbers will drive that along with all of the data that's collected.

Bartholomew: Thank you.

All right. Thank you very much. Appreciate it. Mr. Murphy is now going to present on the NTSB report.

5.2 Reducing Speeding-Related Crashes Involving Passenger Vehicles

reporting basically data that all of the law enforcement agencies use, but

45

right now it's kind of hit or miss on who's actually doing the locations 1 2 correctly and who's kind of using the nearest intersection. 3 4 Marmolejo: I can understand that. It'd be hard to get that accurate data. I don't have 5 any questions myself anymore. Does anybody else have a question? 6 7 Murphy: Thank you. 8 9 Marmolejo: Thank you Mr. Murphy. 10 6. **COMMITTEE AND STAFF COMMENTS** 11 12 City of Las Cruces, Dona Ana County, Town of Mesilla, Las Cruces 13 6.1 Public Schools, RoadRUNNER Transit, SCRTD Project Updates 14 15 16 So are we now onto item number six? Okay moving onto item number six, Marmolejo: committee and staff comments. City of Las Cruces. 17 18 19 Trevino: We've got four projects going on right now. We've got Harrelson which design is complete. We are waiting for two permits from NMDOT; one for 20 utility, one for storm drain. As soon as these get approved and signed 21 over to us we will be able to hopefully put out to bid within a week or two 22 after we receive those permits. 23 The Roadrunner Parkway extension which is from the westbound 24 frontage road of Highway-70 north across the Sandhill Arroyo, those plans 25 are 100% complete. We had a few more comments this past week that 26 they're going to be addressing. As soon as some right-of-way issues get 27 taken care of and we acquire those, we will put that project out to bid. 28 The Amador/Melendres Street light is still on schedule. Hopefully 29 those lights will be up and running within the next four weeks. 30 The Solano ADA, that project has been awarded, the precon was 31 held two weeks ago and hopefully it will be done by December 2017. 32 That's all I have. 33 34 35 Marmolejo: Dona Ana County. 36 So we've got multiple projects going on, but relative to this committee 37 Molina: there's two projects, Camino Real and Dona Ana School Road project and 38 that's a safety project. That one we're actually getting our right-of-way 39 approval from DOT within the next two-three weeks and we'll move into 40 the construction phase where we're going to go out to bid late December/ 41 42 early January.

weeks, it's 60%. And that's it. Thanks.

43

44

45 46 The other project is Soledad Canyon and that one's in the design

phase. We were going to have a public meeting within the next two

Marmolejo: Town of Mesilla. No Town of Mesilla. Las Cruces Public Schools. No

Murphy: They're not here.

Marmolejo: Okay. RoadRUNNER Transit.

Bartholomew: RoadRUNNER transit had applied for some FTA Section 5339 funds under the low or no program and we were notified a couple of weeks ago that we were awarded \$1.4-something million towards our first endeavor into purchasing all electric transit buses. So we were pretty excited about that. That project is going to involve getting some project management and developing the specs for the buses because we did not partner with any particular electric bus company on this project. And so we've had a good track record. Just a year ago we learned of getting \$1.13 million under state of good repair that we're using to purchase three buses with. This year we applied again under state of good repair, that's section 5339 grant to build a new maintenance facility. We haven't heard yet on the results of that particular application.

Projects we're working on is we want to implement additional phases in our short range transit plan that would result ultimately in adding some bus service back to the Solano Corridor, what doesn't occur. Going to some of the areas in town that we basically stopped going to when we implemented the new routes a little over a year ago. We actually have a presentation tomorrow to the City Manager's office to try to make a case to get a major budget adjustment and move on to the next phase or phases of our short-range transit plan. That's all I have.

Armijo: I have nothing to report at this time for SCRTD. Thank you.

6.2 NMDOT Projects Update

Marmolejo: NMDOT.

Herrera:

Thank you Mr. Chair. We only have four projects going on in the area. The first one is obviously the intersection of Spitz/Solano/Three Crosses. Right now we're a little bit behind schedule on that one. We're still working with the City to try to make up that time. As long as the weather holds and nothing else goes wrong in the project we're expected to be done by May of next year. So it'll be a while.

The next project is the one on US-70 over the pass. That one is for shoulder widening and is coming along nicely. Weather permitting we should be done by the end of this month, October. So we're right there.

The other two are pretty small projects, one is on Thorpe Road. We're doing some ADA improvements along there and a little bit of pavement. That one is almost done. Again it should be done by the end of the month. And then the one on Tortugas, a little bit more drainage

work there along with some ADA and pavement work, it's about half done now, so we're looking at probably another couple of months for that. So probably around Thanksgiving, maybe a little after for the completion in that project.

Valley Drive we did let that last month. We only had one bid, we rejected the bid, so we will be sending it back out for advertisement here in the next month or so. Hopefully we'll get more bids in this next time.

And then in the design phase is the University/I-25 project. That one is still scheduled to let in October of 2018, so we're about 30% design on that, working closely with NMSU and the City of Las Cruces on that design. And that is all I have. If there are any questions.

Marmolejo:

1 2

Does anybody here, staff or DOT, anybody have any idea the project that they're starting at Pete Dominici, the resurfacing of that project. It's a good, large project that's. I'm just wondering. The projection was already in the works but we got it for doing a vacuum plant for that and I'm just wondering what came out of those monies? Like \$45 million. It's a two-year project. Was that out of you guys?

Herrera:

Yes.

Marmolejo:

Okay.

Herrera:

Yeah that was done out of the DOT. That corridor was a priority for the Governor and for the Cabinet Secretary and for the Department and so the Santa Fe office found the money to fund the project. Part of it was some of the Border set-aside funds and then a large chunk of it came from the freight funding that we received from federal highway. But yeah, that's a very large project. It went through the El Paso MPO because it is in their area, so it's been in their TIP and on their long range plan for about four or five years now, so we're finally under construction on it.

Marmolejo:

That's a good project. Thank you.

6.3 MPO Staff Projects Update

 Marmolejo:

Murphy:

Staff. MPO.

Thank you Mr. Chair. Happy New Year. We are at the beginning of the new federal fiscal year, meaning which the MPO has got to produce its annual listing of obligated projects. Andrew had sent out the applicable members of your jurisdiction, a request for that so please do set up a meeting with Andrew or if you did not obligate any projects in Federal Fiscal Year 2017 please send us a statement saying as such.

Next announcement, next month we're also going to be having members of NMDOT/Bohannan Huston team down here. They're putting

together a New Mexico statewide bike plan. They wanted to speak with the Technical Committees throughout the state, so we scheduled them to meet with you here prior to that meeting where they're trying to set up a luncheon with the BPAC members and then after your meeting they're going to have a public meeting at the Branigan Library. updates. 7. **PUBLIC COMMENT** Marmolejo: Okay moving on to item seven, public comment. Don't see any. 8. **ADJOURNMENT (4:47 PM)** Marmolejo: Does somebody want to make a motion for adjournment? Love: So moved.

Bartholomew: Second.

Chairperson

Marmolejo: Thank you everybody.