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The following are minutes for the meeting of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Policy Committee which was held April 12, 2017 at 1:00 p.m. in Commission Chambers at Dona Ana County Government Building, 845 Motel Blvd., Las Cruces, New Mexico.

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**
- Mayor Nora Barraza (Town of Mesilla) (arrived 1:14)
- Trent Doolittle (NMDOT)
- Councillor Jack Eakman (CLC)
- Trustee Linda Flores (Town of Mesilla)
- Councillor Olga Pedroza (CLC)
- Commissioner Benjamin Rawson (DAC)
- Commissioner Isabella Solis (DAC) (departed 1:54)
- Councillor Gill Sorg (CLC) (arrived 1:15)

**MEMBERS ABSENT:**
- Commissioner John Vasquez (DAC)

**STAFF PRESENT:**
- Tom Murphy (MPO staff)
- Michael McAdams (MPO staff)
- Dominic Loya (MPO Staff)

**OTHERS PRESENT:**
- Jolene Herrera (NMDOT)
- Harold Love (NMDOT)
- Jess Waller
- Becky Baum, RC Creations, LLC, Recording Secretary

1. **CALL TO ORDER / PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (1:00 PM)**

Flores: Okay so it’s 1:00 now and so I’m going to call this meeting to order and we’ll start with the Pledge of Allegiance.

ALL STAND FOR PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

2. **CONFLICT OF INTEREST INQUIRY**

Flores: Okay so does any Committee Member have any known or perceived conflict of interest with any item on the agenda? If so that Committee Member may recuse themselves from voting on a specific matter or if they feel that they can be impartial we will put their participation up to a vote by the rest of the committee. No one.

3. **PUBLIC COMMENT**
Flores: We'll move on to the next one, public comment. Is there anybody in the public that would like to make any comments? Okay not seeing anybody coming up.

4. CONSENT AGENDA *

Flores: We'll move to the consent agenda and I remember making a note that on page 6, I guess I should ask for a motion first.

Eakman: I would move the acceptance of the minutes as distributed.

Pedroza: Second.

Flores: I just have one issue and that was on, I don't remember if it was 6-7 or 7-8. Yes on 6-7 of the minutes, I think Councilor Eakman was the one that said, it's line 24 where I say, "Actually I just wanted to ask on the actual sheet that you handed out it says from Valley to Hickory?" I think that was really Councilor ... that was not me so just if we can make that, cut my name out and keep that as his dialogue. Anybody have a problem with changing that? Okay. Anyone else have any issues with the minutes? Okay seeing none we'll just by a verbal vote, everybody in favor of passing the consent agenda.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

Flores: Okay any nays? Seeing none we'll move on to the next one.

5. * APPROVAL OF MINUTES

5.1 * February 8, 2017

- VOTED ON VIA THE CONSENT AGENDA

6. ACTION ITEMS

6.1 Appointment to the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Advisory Committee

Flores: Okay action items, 6.1: Appointment to the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Advisory Committee.

Murphy: Thank you Madam Chair. Our latest appointment to the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Advisory Committee, Mr. Len Paulozzi, had to resign from the Committee, he was going to be unable to honor the time commitment. So MPO staff contacted the previous applicants and we also made an open call, posted on our website, e-mailed through the master
mailing list, released through the County and the City PIOs. Ultimately
two of the former applicants had indicated interest. We didn't receive any
other applications besides those two so we have today to present to you
to choose between Mr. John Gagne and Mr. Jess Waller. And Mr. Waller
is present in the audience if anybody has questions of him. If not we can
pass out the ballots and you may select the next Representative to the
BPAC.

Flores: Would Mr. Waller like to make any comments?

Waller: Do you have …

Flores: We have your letter of interest.

Waller: Yeah. Good afternoon. You have my e-mail so if you, does anybody
have any questions for me?

Flores: Anyone?

Waller: Okay.

Flores: Okay then.

Waller: Okay. Thank you.

Flores: All right.

Eakman: Point of order Madam Chair.

Flores: Yes.

Eakman: I wonder if we can have these type of ballots at an open meeting. I
wonder if we should not just have a voice vote on this so the public knows
how each of us are voting.

Flores: Does anybody else have any other opinions?

Pedroza: Madam Chair.

Flores: Councillor Pedroza.

Pedroza: Thank you. I agree. It has been pointed out at City Council several times
that votes by Committees such as ours do need to be made publicly, so I
would agree with.

Flores: Okay. All right. Anyone else? Commissioner Solis.
Solis: I just have one comment. If we fill out the ballot, can we have someone read it out?

Flores: Yeah.

Solis: That would be open, wouldn't it?

Flores: Well I think, yeah you could say how the person voted because it does ask for a signature as well.

Solis: Yes.

Flores: Okay. Sure. And yeah we have our names on them so that's fine. We'll do that. Okay. And hopefully people can read your signature. If it's really scratch you can print it underneath.

Flores: Okay.

Murphy: Madam Chair, Members of the Committee. If you take note each ballot has your name on it so that'll become part of the public record and we are asking for your signature.

Flores: Okay. Thank you. Did anybody want to have any discussion about this beforehand, before they make their vote? Any comments, no? Okay. Should've asked ahead of time.

Murphy: Madam Chair. We have a unanimous choice of Mr. Waller.

Flores: Okay. Thank you very much and congratulations and thank you for serving.

Waller: Thank you for inviting me here. I appreciate vote of confidence.

6.2 Appointment of MVMPO Representatives to the Regional Leadership Consortium

Flores: You want to explain the next or want me to, 6.2?

Murphy: I think we're ready for 6.2.

Flores: Do you want me to introduce that one or you want to do it?
Murphy: Sure.

Flores: Okay. So we have a representative from the Mesilla Valley MPO to the Regional Leadership Consortium with Viva Dona Ana and that's been me. We went ahead and redid our MOU with Viva Dona Ana. However it was brought to my attention that we did not fill out the, basically picking our point of contact and, of course that's going to be Tom Murphy and then our Designated Representative and Designated Alternate. So I'll just, I've been the Designated Representative but I'll leave it to everybody if you want to have a change or vote for somebody else. I should warn you I've also been on the Committee for basically getting ready for the next meetings, I forget what, the Steering Committee on that. So I've just been recently put on that, so we'll just, I suggest that for a Designated Alternate that we just put MPO staff because that makes it more flexible. Generally if I haven't been able to make it, usually because another member from my town isn't able to go, I'll fill that position and then I ask Mr. Murphy to attend and he's generally able to attend, but sometimes if he's in another meeting we just put somebody else from staff. So does anybody have any comments on this? Councillor Pedroza.

Pedroza: Thank you. I don't know if you need a nomination but I would be more than happy to nominate Commissioner Flores.

Eakman: And I would second the nomination.

Flores: All right. Well thank you. So do we want to take a vote on that part for the Representative?

Murphy: Okay. So this is, we have a motion and a second. Do we have any other discussion? Okay. So a motion and a second for Trustee Flores to continue as the Mesilla Valley MPO's Representative to the Regional Leadership Consortium with MPO staff acting as the Alternate. Commissioner Rawson.

Rawson: Yes.

Murphy: Councillor Pedroza.

Pedroza: Yes.

Murphy: Mr. Doolittle.

Doolittle: Yes.

Murphy: Commissioner Solis.
Solis: Yes.

Murphy: Councillor Eakman.

Eakman: Yes.

Murphy: And Chair Flores.

Flores: Yes. Okay. And so does anybody have any objections for the second designated Alternate, to just make that the MPO staff? Okay. I’m seeing shaking of heads so let’s go ahead and vote for that I guess, on a verbal. Everyone in favor say "aye."

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

Flores: Anybody against? Seeing none. And then point of contact, I just think Tom Murphy is the obvious person, I think.

Murphy: MPO staff is the acknowledged contact for anybody on the Policy Committee.

Flores: Okay. All right. Thank you so much. Okay. We’re done with that part.

7. DISCUSSION ITEMS

7.1 Committee Training

Flores: So let’s move on to discussion items, 7.1: Committee training.

Murphy: Okay. Michael McAdams is going to kind of give a refresher on our website. We’re approaching a year old of it. Some of you are new to the Board, others probably would like to have a refresher so I’m going to turn over the podium to him and he’s going to run through all the pages and its functionality.

McAdams: Thank you Tom and Madam Chairman. I think you’d all have access to the internet too so maybe if you’d like to follow along with me. We are, Tom is correct, we’re very proud of our website. It didn’t come overnight. We developed it for about three or four months and I have to give a, tip of the hat to my colleague, Andrew Wray, who really was very detail-oriented and makes sure that everything was correct and it’s a lot of work to work with a webpage designer to get this type of stuff done, to also make sure that it’s user-friendly for everyone. So I hope you’ve had a chance to view it but if you’ve not that’s what I’m going to try to sort of lead you through a maybe review and maybe hopefully see some things.
The MPO website is in the spirit of our MPO's Public Participation Plan which is to have as much as possibly have on the website and also to our principle, MPO's principle of transparency. Let's get some major things and I'll get, if you look at, micro, my, down this, if you look at, first of all if you look at the webpage you'll see it very plain, not a lot of busyness and you'll see on top the headings, and if you notice you can mouse-correct. You can go on each of the headings and they will subheadings, so you see the meeting calendar, etc. and we'll go to that. But really if you're looking, if you're a Policy Committee Member or a TAC or BPAC, or even the public everything's right in front, right, you can see. And what you'll probably want to be seeing is the meetings, right, when are the meetings, where are the packets, etc. We do deliver them to each of you personally but you may want to look at them online, etc. and also, I have to look down to, so you can go right down to "Read Notes," see that, or "Read More," and click on that. And you see right there for the month of April you'll see all the Committees for the MPO, right. So you can go right to the MPO site for today and you click on it and you'll see the packet then you'd, right there. They're in .pdf format and so available for everybody.

If you'd like to also, let me go back a little bit further you can review any kind of committee whether it's BPAC or the TAC to see what other further action was taken, all right. The other thing is in keeping with transparencies, all the documents we have, we post them and so again real easy, hopefully easy just to read more and you see all the core documents. So for example if you also want to look at past minutes, right, you can go to "MPO Core Documents" and let's seen now, I just go through more stuff but you can see all, any kind of documents we used, MOUs, the TIPs, the traffic flow maps, (inaudible) and performance and other documents too, including my favorite the Short-Range Transit Plan, and other projects we've done in the past like the University Avenue project and the Missouri Avenue project. And this here, everything we can possibly put on there we will and we're not limited to size and that's one of the things, and especially because it's our own website we can easily post things as soon as we get them almost. It's just a matter of mechanics and so we could easily post things as well.

And well as a sort of side comment, if you have any comments, you see things you want corrected or things that are not usable to you, please let us know because we can get them done fairly easily. If they're really difficult we do have the website designers on call, on retainership and we can get some stuff like that done. So we're always willing to, of course to look at corrections or advice or comments.

Let's me see, what's the other? So also you can look at different things for resources if you'd like. We have different websites. Let's so I have to look at, so we'll look at our favorite site and that would be New Mexico DOT and again you can look up anything you would like to know about NMDOT, look at plans they have and different items for that too. So that applies. We have also RoadRUNNER, we have SCRTD, we have
many other websites we think that are pertinent to the MPO and the
member agencies with affiliates: Mesilla, the County of Dona Ana, and
the City of Las Cruces. Go back, yeah that's a neat feature, okay.

And then sort of to follow up and sort of end, if really, well let's let
me backtrack a little bit, not really backtrack, the real purpose of this
website is increasing transparency. We, all the public can see what we
have. We do other things because we realize that the public doesn't have
access often to internet so this is, but this is one way of making what we
do public and if there's any questions. But if, and I direct this to people
too, if they ask me about getting subscribed to our newsletters and stuff, I
just put them, I say, "Please go to 'About Us' and scroll down a little bit,"
and then they can actually view the bottom of the page. You can see
where it says a little, I can't get it now back in, I'll try, never try to do two
things at once. Okay, maybe. At the bottom there's a little envelope or a
little space where you can actually put your e-mail and okay, there it is.
Thank you. My mouse wasn't working. So instead of collecting e-mails
from people we can just say, "Just go to our website, put your e-mail in,"
and I think we have close to 40 people that have subscribed to our e-mail.
It's a wide variety. Most people don't come but if they do, they know
what's happening. So a lot of people I think read the minutes and they
know about things and they know that they are more than welcome to
come to our meetings. I always try to say, "Please come to our meetings,"
and they may say, "We're not receiving." I said, "Just go to our website.
You'll subscribe there and get everything. You'll get tired of it sometimes
what we do." I mean not tired, but tired of our notifications, yeah.
Because we try to really put a lot of notification. But what we're doing as a
website also, if there's anything pertinent related to things going on in the
area, so we posted when the hearings for the Three Crosses was going,
or the informational meetings we published that. Roadrunner, we
published, things that are relevant to people interested in the MPO. So we
hope that this is a, we think this is a chief vehicle for information about the
MPO. And I guess this ends my presentation. Are there any questions
about the website? Did I go through it too rapidly?

Flores: And so …

McAdams: One little footnote too is that this is also mobile-ready. In fact I've tested
that. We made sure when we did the website you could visit on your
mobile. It does very nicely and the .pdfs come up really nicely too on your
mobile or your tablet. So this is something, if you're on the go and you're
interested about learning about more about the MPO or you're looking at
the minutes you can easily get it on the road as well, or the coffee shop.

Flores: Okay. Thank you. Councillor Eakman.
Eakman: A helpful suggestion on the "About Us" page, there was a link to the 2016 calendar of the MPO. I wonder if that couldn't be updated to 2017.

McAdams: Yes. Okay. We'll look at that for sure.

Eakman: Thank you.

Flores: Anyone else? Mr. Doolittle.

Doolittle: Thank you. I just wanted to say you know I carry my tablet around everywhere. I've got to where I don't have paper. I go to that website. I actually have it saved as a favorite and I go to it periodically and I'll say it's pretty easy to use, you know downloading the agenda and the packet so that I can carry it around on this as opposed to paper. It's a fairly user-friendly site and I compliment you all on your putting that site together. It's very well done.

Flores: Okay thank you. Anyone else? No. Okay. So thank you for that presentation. And then before we get too much further I would like to recognize that Mayor Barraza came in during that presentation at the beginning and Councillor Gill Sorg as well. So just so we can keep track of the minutes. And moving on to 7.2, New Mexico DOT update …

Murphy: Madam Chair.

Flores: Do you have a second presentation? I'm sorry.

Murphy: I had a second presentation. I apologize for all the screen time today. Last week Mr. Doolittle, Ms. Herrera, and myself attended a training up in Albuquerque and I guess if the buzzing goes on too long we may cancel this, I'll send you the link. But we attended a training on setting up performance targets. That's something that FHWA's going to require state DOTs and MPOs to get accomplished this calendar year. So this presentation kind of gives an overview of the safety target setting process and I found it informational. I hope all of you do as well.

VIDEO PRESENTED - https://connectdot.connectsolutions.com/p25c3zrj21b

Murphy: Technical difficulties. We'll see if it's trying to reload. I'll go ahead and give my closing on this training. The State is planning on having its NHTSA goal-setting meeting in May up in Albuquerque. They've invited the MPO staffs from around the state to participate and then we'll bring that back to you and kind of give an evaluation. At this point my feeling is the overall number of fatalities and injuries that we see are relatively low … not start from the beginning, whereas the variability from year to year would be great. So from our initial looking at the crash data, my inkling
would be that this MPO would choose to support the State targets since ... she almost wanted to start talking again, and then of course we'll update when we update our MTP, beginning that process next year. We'll be implementing the safety targets into our goals. I can't tell if ...

VIDEO RESUMED.

Murphy: I think I was able to get my comments in during the pause. If you have any questions ...

Flores: Just I have the same concern and that is we are such a small state and such a rural state, and our area's very rural. I just worry about even though it's a five-year average, one bus crash could really make us look you know bad and I just, I cannot, I feel like people in an urban area have set these rules with little understanding of rural America. And I'm kind of frustrated about that. I can see that having a negative outcome for us, you know we're just one accident, we're very few accidents away from having a negative evaluation and I don't think that's fair. And I can see it as a very real possibility. So I have that concern. Hate to be negative but I'm sorry, that's the first thing I think of when I see this, I kind of, and the other thing that concerns me is that they're going to be looking at roads that we own and don't own, so I can see basically trying to ameliorate safety issues in roads that you own. But when you're going to be evaluated on roads that you don't even own, that you have no control over, I have concerns about that. So I'm just putting it out there. Otherwise, I mean I do think this is a better way, you know look at what accidents are out there and to try to focus and it does make sense, but I just have a few issues there. Anyone else want to make any comments? Councillor Eakman.

Eakman: Yes. I think Councillor Pedroza had her hand up before mine so I'll yield to her and then I'll have something. Okay.

Flores: Okay. Councillor Pedroza.

Pedroza: Thank you. It's just very short. I agree with Councillor Flores that some of this might not be very fair to more rural communities as opposed to the urban ones where generally you own and control the roads in your area. But my question was how new is all of this? Is it just brand new? Is there nothing that we can look to from before that would give us some idea of what our State, how our State was ranked and evaluated before? Or is this just all totally new?

Murphy: Madam Chair, Councillor Pedroza. This is new and MAP-21 was the first time that Congress came down with the idea of national goals and performance measures, and then using the actual performance to, judge is probably a strong word, but we use it to focus our investment strategies.
I think one, we do have crash data that goes back a long time and when we meet with the State and the other MPOs and the emergency medical community to establish these safety measures or the targets, I think a lot of those concerns, you know I think a lot of them they feel that rural perspective more acutely than we do living here. So I think that's certainly going to be tempered into the goals. I think additionally it's important to note that what this does affect is the penalty for not making your targets is that you then lose flexibility on where you can spend your HSIP money. Currently the State of New Mexico spends all of its HSIP money on safety projects anyway so the penalty would be they'd be required to do what they're doing anyway. And I think that's been intentional on Congress' and FHWA's part. They want to get the system in place, see how it works over the first couple courses of years, see what kind of adjustments need to be made to it. So everybody I believe understands that this is something we're doing new and that adjustments will have to be made, and so there's, and at least initially nothing draconian is going to occur.

Pedroza: I have one more question. I remember some time ago reading a book about the failure of a bridge and the tragedy that that caused, and I can't remember what state it was in, but very recently we had another failure of a bridge. And I don't see in any of these measures, maybe they are there but they're just kind of hidden, what the status of the bridge itself, is that going to be measured? Or is it just you know like driver inattention or something like that? Because I think given where our country's at and the age of our highways, it's a very important part. How many of our bridges are going to fail before we pay attention to them? Thank you.

Murphy: Madam Chair, Councillor Pedroza. And in response to that, transportation asset management is one of the goal areas and this is one of the ones that, the next measures and targets to come out later, next. I believe safety was the first one and I think probably the next one we will see will be in the areas of asset management where things such as the bridge concern and other areas that you raise will be addressed.

Pedroza: Thank you.

Flores: Any more comments or questions? I'm sorry, Councillor Eakman.

Eakman: Well thank you. I appreciated the presentation very much. My question is what I have not heard are linkages to, like the people who license the operators on the road and whether or not they're a part of this goal-setting and then what does that do to their processes, and then law enforcement itself which is responsible for traffic control and operator behavior and things of that nature. I didn't hear them being a part of this planning process either. And so I wonder, is that something that's going to be
looked at in the future or is it a part of it and it just wasn't reflected in this video?

Murphy: Madam Chair, Councillor Eakman. Yes Law enforcement is involved, particularly in setting the NHTSA HSP goals. You know at the training that we were at last week there were some law enforcement, I sat next to somebody in Tribal Law Enforcement actually, but they are typically part of those safety goal-settings. And she went through the four E's: Engineering, Enforcement, Emergency Response, I forget the fourth one but absolutely yes. They are included.

Eakman: So a follow-up question is what about operator error, which is in my way a defining factor over engineering, operator error and licensing?

Murphy: Those are usually addressed through education campaigns, of which a lot of the safety money goes to pay: Public service announcements to try to make the public aware of behaviors that they tend to have that are dangerous and they have these educational bits that work towards correcting those behaviors.

Flores: I believe education was the fourth E, by the way.

Murphy: Thank you.

Flores: Anyone else? Well thank you I think you allayed some of my fears anyway.

7.2 NMDOT update

8. COMMITTEE AND STAFF COMMENTS

Flores: And okay, so we'll move along to Committee and staff comments, and before I forget I got a memo basically saying that the City Councillors are going to be at a meeting set for our next meeting which is May 10th. So I had a request to move our meeting to 1:30 so that hopefully we'll be able to have a quorum at our next meeting. So is there a way that we can change the time of our meeting? How do we do that?

Murphy: Madam Chair. We can do that. I think we can take care of that through the normal advertisement of the meeting in the newspaper and notices on the website.

Flores: Okay. All right. Do I have any objection? I mean it's obvious we're going to have to do that because we need it for the quorum. So okay. Any other comments from the Committee? Mr. Doolittle.
Doolittle: Thank you Madam Chair. I don't know if you intended to skip over my DOT updates or not.

Flores: Oh, I'm sorry, okay. I'm sorry.

Doolittle: I'm just giving you a hard time.

Flores: DOT updates.

Doolittle: I actually have a lot of things to discuss if you'll allow me to go over a few of our projects.

The Spitz/Three Crosses project actually started construction on Monday. We'll continue to have public meetings, monthly public meetings for those projects. I think currently they've got them scheduled for the last Monday of each month. I am working with City staff to try to have some additional outreach to the residential communities around that intersection. But we are going to encourage them to participate in our regularly scheduled public meetings as opposed to separate ones. But I'll continue to give monthly updates on that project as we progress. It is scheduled for about a year. It's a busy intersection. I'm going to avoid it at all costs and am thankful I live south of town, not north of town. But AUI is building that project for us. They're a contractor we've used quite frequently out of Albuquerque and we look forward to working with them on this project.

The next one I've got to discuss is our US-70 pavement preservation project so this is basically from Aguirre Springs to the Dona Ana County line east of town. The contractor should finish paving today and then we have our final seal coat which will take about two weeks. We expect to have that project completed by the end of the month. Those of you that haven't driven that way, that pavement was falling apart but now basically all the way over to the district boundaries we have a pretty nice roadway from town to that point.

Those are our only two current ongoing projects in the area. We have a couple of them coming up that I wanted to share. We have the US-70, the Picacho signal at 17th Street. That's where all the buses come out of their lot and then basically get to Picacho and then go from there to the different schools. We'll be putting a signal there. That project was bid in January. We're expected to start as soon as school ends. Believe it or not we actually coordinated so we're not doing it while school is in session. RT Electric whose office is actually just right down the street on 17th Street is the contractor for that one. They do really good work for us. They do a lot of electrical work and I don't see any reason why we won't have that project substantially complete and that signal operating before school actually starts in August. So hopefully that'll be safer for those buses coming in and out when the school starts next year.

The next one that we've got is another project on US-70 up at the Organ Pass. Right now the bike route on US-70 kind of has a gap in it
over the pass just because it's really been unsafe. We have a lot of high-speed traffic up there. So we're going to do some shoulder widening. Right now that concrete wall barrier's pretty close to the roadway so we're going to accommodate bicycle facilities over the pass. That project is actually scheduled to bid next Friday and I would expect construction to start sometime in August or September. But we have a few people who commute from Las Cruces to White Sands or NASA for instance and this'll give them a much safer route to travel as they go to work.

Valley Drive, that's one we're working with the City to incorporate some of their requests from the Amador Proximo. Ultimately the goal is to include some oversized drainage to incorporate some drainage issues the City has in adjacent neighborhoods and as part of that we'll be doing a road transfer to the City after it's complete. That project is scheduled for a bid in May. I would expect construction to start some time in the winter. And again I'll keep you updated on that project. I think that we're going to try to do a presentation in May. I don't know if we were going to bring it to the TPB or not but that may be something that we could do if that's the wish of the Board, just a general discussion on what the typical section would look like once we go to construction.

And then the last thing I wanted to give an update on is our chip seal crew, which is our maintenance crew internally is actually in southern Dona Ana County right now. They're moving their way to the north. It's really not going to affect a lot of the City streets, only because we don't do this process on really heavily traveled roadways. But it will affect some of the roads in the Town of Mesilla. Dona Ana County for instance will have a lot of our secondary roads in their areas chip sealed. But we'll continue to issue public notices so that the public is aware of what those impacts are going to be. We're trying to be real cognizant because it is our secondary roads that we're doing, to be real cognizant of those people that are traveling from those outside areas into town for work and the school buses and those kinds of things, so public involvement has been very important to us on that one. But you'll continue to see notices on those as we move around.

With that, that's really all the projects we have in the area, those that are coming up, and I'll stand for any questions the Board may have.

Flores: Does anybody have any questions for Mr. Doolittle? Seeing none.

Doolittle: Thank you.

Flores: Now we'll move back to comments. So any comments from … okay. Any comments from staff?

Murphy: No.

Flores: Okay.
9. PUBLIC COMMENT

Flores: So we'll move to public comment. Seeing no one standing on that one.

10. ADJOURNMENT (2:07 PM)

Flores: We'll move to adjournment. Adjourned.

_____________________________________
Chairperson
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MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
POLICY COMMITTEE
ACTION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF May 10, 2017

AGENDA ITEM:
6.1 Resolution 17-05: A Resolution Amending the FY2017- FY2018 Unified Planning Work Program

ACTION REQUESTED:
Approval by the MPO Policy Committee

SUPPORT INFORMATION:
Resolution 17-05
Federal PL Funds Matrix
Budget Development Matrix

DISCUSSION:
The Mesilla Valley MPO was notified by NMDOT of a reduction in the federal obligation limitation. This affects funding to all MPOs within New Mexico.

Further detailed discussion will be supplied at the meeting.
A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE FY 2017- FY 2018 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM.

The Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Policy Committee is informed that:

WHEREAS, preparation of Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) is a requirement of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) (U.S.C. 23 § 450.308.b & c); and

WHEREAS, the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is responsible for developing and maintaining the UPWP to reflect the planning activities and funding within the MPO Area for the specified fiscal years; and

WHEREAS, MPO staff has developed a two-year UPWP as permitted by federal regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Advisory Committee recommended approval of the UPWP at their meeting on April 18, 2017; and

WHEREAS, the Technical Advisory Committee recommended approval of the UPWP at their meeting on May 4, 2017; and

WHEREAS, the Policy Committee has determined that it is in the best interest of the MPO for the Resolution adopting the FY 2017- FY 2018 Unified Planning Work Program to be approved.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Policy Committee of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization:

(I)

THAT the Unified Planning Work Program of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization is adopted.
THAT staff is authorized to submit the final Fiscal Year 2017 and Fiscal Year 2018 Unified Planning Work Program to the New Mexico Department of Transportation and the U.S. Department of Transportation’s Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration.

DONE and APPROVED this 10th day of May 2017.

APPROVED:

__________________________
Chair

Motion By: 
Second By: 

VOTE:
Chair Flores
Vice Chair Vasquez
Trustee Arzabal
Mayor Barraza
Mr. Love (proxy for Mr. Doolittle)
Councillor Eakman
Councillor Pedroza
Commissioner Rawson
Commissioner Solis
Councillor Sorg

ATTEST: 

__________________________
Recording Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

__________________________
City Attorney
## FY2018 PL Funds Distribution Formula

### Metropolitian Planning Organization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Planning Organization</th>
<th>Planning Area Population</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>53,850</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington</td>
<td>96,925</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesilla Valley</td>
<td>157,440</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Region</td>
<td>861,343</td>
<td>67.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Fe</td>
<td>116,386</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,285,944</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAND TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,285,944</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Equity Factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Area</th>
<th>Equity Factor</th>
<th>Supplemented Allocation by Population</th>
<th>Revised TOTAL (federal + local match)</th>
<th>Previous TOTAL (federal + local match)</th>
<th>Difference Between Previous and Revised FFY18 Targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>$47,418</td>
<td>$47,418</td>
<td>$0.88</td>
<td>$52,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$85,347</td>
<td>$195,347</td>
<td>$2.02</td>
<td>$239,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesilla Valley</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$138,634</td>
<td>$248,634</td>
<td>$1.58</td>
<td>$308,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Region</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$758,456</td>
<td>$758,456</td>
<td>$0.88</td>
<td>$983,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Fe</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$102,484</td>
<td>$212,484</td>
<td>$1.83</td>
<td>$261,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$330,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,132,338</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,462,338</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1.14</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,414,920</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:

1. FFY = Federal Fiscal Year
2. GRAND TOTAL = (PL Funds apportioned to New Mexico) x (obligation limitation rate)
3. The population figures shown in this table were generated by each MPO based on Census 2010 data. Population values reflect the number of persons living within each MPO’s planning area boundaries. The MPOs have certified the validity of these figures.
4. Source of PL Funds apportionment to New Mexico is FHWA Notice 4510.759 (http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/legsregs/directives/notices/n4510759/n4510759t1.htm)

### Revised per 10/3/16 Appropriation notice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With match and ob limit applied: $1,711,538</th>
<th>Less match: $(249,200)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4tal federal PL with ob limit applied: $1,462,338</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less EPMP0’S PL amount: $(47,418) (EPMP0 does not pay match on its NM PL)

Remaining PL: $1,414,920

Remaining PL w/ match: $1,656,040

Plus EPMP0’S PL amount: $47,418

Total: $1,703,457

### Funding per Capita

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Planning Organization</th>
<th>Funding per Capita</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El Paso</td>
<td>$52,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington</td>
<td>$239,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesilla Valley</td>
<td>$308,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Region</td>
<td>$983,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Fe</td>
<td>$261,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,414,920</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAND TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,703,457</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Revised 5.2A
### Fiscal Year 2017 (Oct. 1 2016- September 30, 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>41.11.00</th>
<th>41.12.00</th>
<th>41.13.00</th>
<th>41.14.00</th>
<th>41.15.00</th>
<th>Subtotal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FHWA 112 (85%)</td>
<td>$77,882.10</td>
<td>$25,960.70</td>
<td>$103,842.80</td>
<td>$38,941.05</td>
<td>$12,980.35</td>
<td><strong>$259,607</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL (112) MATCH(15%)</td>
<td>$13,272.04</td>
<td>$4,424.01</td>
<td>$17,696.06</td>
<td>$6,636.02</td>
<td>$2,212.01</td>
<td>$44,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC</td>
<td>$8,268</td>
<td>$2,756</td>
<td>$11,025</td>
<td>$4,134</td>
<td>$1,378</td>
<td>$27,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAC</td>
<td>$4,818</td>
<td>$1,606</td>
<td>$6,424</td>
<td>$2,409</td>
<td>$803</td>
<td>$16,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESILLA</td>
<td>$186</td>
<td>$62</td>
<td>$248</td>
<td>$93</td>
<td>$31</td>
<td>$619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA GRANT 5303(80%)</td>
<td>$10,924.35</td>
<td>$3,641.45</td>
<td><strong>$52,890.15</strong></td>
<td>$25,490.15</td>
<td><strong>$46,792.90</strong></td>
<td><strong>$138,742</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC (5303)MATCH(20%)</td>
<td>$5,202.83</td>
<td>$1,734.28</td>
<td>$12,139.93</td>
<td>$12,139.93</td>
<td>$3,468.55</td>
<td>$34,686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$107,281</td>
<td>$35,760</td>
<td>$186,569</td>
<td>$83,207</td>
<td>$65,454</td>
<td><strong>$477,275</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PERCENT OF 112)</td>
<td><strong>30%</strong></td>
<td><strong>10%</strong></td>
<td><strong>40%</strong></td>
<td><strong>15%</strong></td>
<td><strong>5%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PERCENT OF 5303)</td>
<td><strong>15%</strong></td>
<td><strong>5%</strong></td>
<td><strong>35%</strong></td>
<td><strong>35%</strong></td>
<td><strong>10%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCENT TOTAL</td>
<td><strong>28%</strong></td>
<td><strong>9%</strong></td>
<td><strong>39%</strong></td>
<td><strong>18%</strong></td>
<td><strong>6%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fiscal Year 2018 (Oct. 1 2017- September 30, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>41.11.00</th>
<th>41.12.00</th>
<th>41.13.00</th>
<th>41.14.00</th>
<th>41.15.00</th>
<th>Subtotal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FHWA 112 (85%)</td>
<td>$74,590.20</td>
<td>$24,863.40</td>
<td>$99,453.60</td>
<td>$37,295.10</td>
<td>$12,431.70</td>
<td><strong>$248,634</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPR</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL (112) MATCH(15%)</td>
<td>$12,711.06</td>
<td>$4,237.02</td>
<td>$16,948.09</td>
<td>$6,355.53</td>
<td>$2,118.53</td>
<td>$42,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC</td>
<td>$7,919</td>
<td>$2,640</td>
<td>$10,559</td>
<td>$3,959</td>
<td>$1,320</td>
<td>$26,397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAC</td>
<td>$4,614</td>
<td>$1,538</td>
<td>$6,152</td>
<td>$2,307</td>
<td>$769</td>
<td>$15,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESILLA</td>
<td>$178</td>
<td>$59</td>
<td>$237</td>
<td>$89</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>$593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA GRANT 5303(80%)</td>
<td>$8,547.60</td>
<td>$2,849.20</td>
<td>$19,944.40</td>
<td>$19,944.40</td>
<td>$6,598.40</td>
<td><strong>$56,984</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC (5303)MATCH(20%)</td>
<td>$2,136.90</td>
<td>$712.30</td>
<td>$4,986.10</td>
<td>$4,986.10</td>
<td>$1,424.60</td>
<td>$14,246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$97,986</td>
<td>$32,662</td>
<td>$141,332</td>
<td>$68,581</td>
<td>$21,673</td>
<td><strong>$362,234</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PERCENT OF 112)</td>
<td><strong>30%</strong></td>
<td><strong>10%</strong></td>
<td><strong>40%</strong></td>
<td><strong>15%</strong></td>
<td><strong>5%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PERCENT OF 5303)</td>
<td><strong>15%</strong></td>
<td><strong>5%</strong></td>
<td><strong>35%</strong></td>
<td><strong>35%</strong></td>
<td><strong>10%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCENT TOTAL</td>
<td><strong>28%</strong></td>
<td><strong>9%</strong></td>
<td><strong>39%</strong></td>
<td><strong>18%</strong></td>
<td><strong>6%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Budget Summary - Proposed Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Number</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Budgeted PL Funds FY 17</th>
<th>Budgeted PL Funds FY 18</th>
<th>Requested SPR FY 17</th>
<th>Requested SPR FY 18</th>
<th>Budgeted FTA 5303 Funds FY 17</th>
<th>Budgeted FTA 5303 Funds FY 18</th>
<th>Total Budgeted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Program Support and Administration</td>
<td>$91,154</td>
<td>$87,301</td>
<td>$16,127</td>
<td>$10,685</td>
<td>$205,267</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Transportation Improvement Program</td>
<td>$30,385</td>
<td>$29,100</td>
<td>$5,376</td>
<td>$3,562</td>
<td>$68,422</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>General Development and Data Collection/Analysis</td>
<td>$121,539</td>
<td>$116,402</td>
<td>$65,030</td>
<td>$24,931</td>
<td>$327,901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Transportation Planning</td>
<td>$45,577</td>
<td>$43,650.63</td>
<td>$37,630</td>
<td>$24,931</td>
<td>$151,788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Special Studies, Plans, Projects, and Programs</td>
<td>$15,192</td>
<td>$14,550.21</td>
<td>$50,261</td>
<td>$7,123</td>
<td>$87,127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$303,847</td>
<td>$291,004</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$174,425</td>
<td>$71,230</td>
<td>$840,505.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
POLICY COMMITTEE
ACTION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF May 10, 2017

AGENDA ITEM:
6.2 Resolution 17-06: A Resolution Amending the 2016-2021 Transportation Improvement Program

ACTION REQUESTED:
Approval by the MPO Policy Committee

SUPPORT INFORMATION:
Resolution 17-06
Exhibit “A”
Exhibit “B” Self-Certification Statement
Email from Mike Bartholomew, RoadRUNNER Transit
Email from Jolene Herrera, NMDOT

DISCUSSION:
On June 10, 2015, the MPO Policy Committee approved the 2016-2021 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

The following amendment(s) to the TIP have been requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project &amp; Termini</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TL00010</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>RoadRUNNER Transit</td>
<td>RoadRUNNER Transit Operations</td>
<td>Increasing Operations Money</td>
<td>Increasing FTA 5307 Funds and Local Funds to $1,655,184 each for a total of $3,310,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL00013</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>RoadRUNNER Transit</td>
<td>RoadRUNNER Transit Support and Rolling Stock</td>
<td>Reducing Capital Money</td>
<td>Reducing 2017 money to $322,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC00230</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>NMDOT</td>
<td>Signal Upgrades at RR Crossings</td>
<td>Moving Project Year</td>
<td>Moving project from 2020 to 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These amendments will not affect any other projects currently listed in the TIP.
The Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Policy Committee is informed that:

WHEREAS, preparation of a financially constrained Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a requirement of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) (U.S.C. 23 § 450.324); and

WHEREAS, the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is responsible for the planning and financial reporting of all federally funded and regionally significant transportation-related projects within the MPO Area for the specified fiscal years; and

WHEREAS, the Policy Committee adopted the FY 2016-2021 TIP on June 10, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the NMDOT has requested an amendment to the FY 2016-2021 TIP; and

WHEREAS, the MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Advisory Committee reviewed and recommended approval of these amendments at its April 18, 2017 meeting; and

WHEREAS, the MPO Technical Advisory Committee reviewed and recommended approval of these amendments at its May 4, 2017 meeting; and

WHEREAS, the Policy Committee has determined that it is in the best interest of the MPO for the Resolution amending the FY 2016-2021 Transportation Improvement Program to be approved.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Policy Committee of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization:
(I)

THAT the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization’s Fiscal Year 2016-2021 Transportation Improvement Program is amended as shown in Exhibit “A”, attached hereto and made part of this resolution.

(II)

THAT the Mesilla Valley MPO’s Self-Certification, as contained in Exhibit “B”, attached hereto and made part of this resolution is hereby approved

(III)

THAT staff is directed to take appropriate and legal actions to implement this Resolution.

DONE and APPROVED this 10th day of May, 2017.

APPROVED:

__________________________
Chair

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion By:</th>
<th>Second By:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOTE:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chair Flores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice Chair Vasquez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trustee Arzabal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Barraza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Doolittle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Eakman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Pedroza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Rawson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Solis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Councillor Sorg

ATTEST:                        APPROVED AS TO FORM:

________________________________________  ________________________________
Recording Secretary              City Attorney
### Exhibit “A” for MVMPO Resolution 17-06

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project &amp; Termini</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TL00010</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>RoadRUNNER Transit</td>
<td>RoadRUNNER Transit Operations</td>
<td>Increasing Operations Money</td>
<td>Increasing FTA 5307 Funds and Local Funds to $1,655,184 each for a total of $3,310,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL00013</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>RoadRUNNER Transit</td>
<td>RoadRUNNER Transit Support and Rolling Stock</td>
<td>Reducing Capital Money</td>
<td>Reducing 2017 money to $322,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC00230</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>NMDOT</td>
<td>Signal Upgrades at RR Crossings</td>
<td>Moving Project Year</td>
<td>Moving project from 2020 to 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resolution 17-06 Exhibit “B”

MESILLA VALLEY MPO SELF-CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

In accordance with 23 U.S.C. 450.334, the New Mexico Department of Transportation, and the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Las Cruces urbanized area hereby certify that the transportation planning process is addressing the major issues in the metropolitan planning area and is being conducted in accordance with all applicable requirements of:


(2) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Title VI assurance executed by each State under 23 U.S.C. 324 and 29 U.S.C. 794;

(3) Section 1101(b) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (Pub. L. 105-178) regarding the involvement of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in FHWA and FTA funded planning projects (Sec. 105(f), Pub. L. 97-424, 96 Stat. 2100; 49 CFR, Subtitle A, Part 26);


(5) The provision of 49 U.S.C. Part 20 regarding restrictions on influencing certain activities; and

(6) Sections 174 and 176(c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506(c) and (d).

POLICY COMMITTEE CHAIR

__________________________________________  ______________________

NMDOT

__________________________________________  ______________________

Date

30
From: Michael Bartholomew
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 12:51 PM
To: Andrew Wray
Cc: Tom Murphy; Gabriel Sapien; David Maestas; Amy Bassford

Subject: FW: RoadRUNNER Transit TIP amendment requests

I am requesting TIP amendments to the two TIP projects noted below for the federal fiscal year 2017. The two projects are funded by the same Section 5307 annual apportionment; TL00010 is an operating project and TL00013 is a capital project. Per your request, I broke out the different match requirements for the various projects in TL00013 in the table below. I understand that the capital projects that have different match ratios will be put in separate TIP projects.

The combined total of grant funds going to projects TL00010 and TL00013 in FY17 is about $1000 less than in FY16 (actual FY16 was $1,920,435; estimated FY17 based on the current 7/12th Congressional appropriation is $1,919,484).

I wish to increase the amount of the apportionment going to operations to cover additional service we are planning and decrease the amount going to capital projects.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Would I typically expect these amendments to be in the STIP by the beginning of July based on the current amendment cycle?

Here are the requested changes for FY17:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requested Amendments to TL00010</th>
<th>Current TIP</th>
<th>Requested Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$ 1,200,645.00</td>
<td>$ 1,655,184.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>$ 1,200,645.00</td>
<td>$ 1,655,184.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$ 2,401,290.00</td>
<td>$ 3,310,368.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requested Amendments to TL00013</th>
<th>Requested Amendment Revenue Rolling Stock (85/15 match)</th>
<th>Requested Amendment Capital equipment (80/20 match)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$ 127,021.00</td>
<td>$ 20,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5307</td>
<td>$ 719,750.00</td>
<td>$ 113,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$ 846,811.00</td>
<td>$ 134,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is what the projects look like in the current TIP:
Mike Bartholomew
Transit Administrator/Quality of Life Department/Transit Section
Direct: 575-541-2537 Main: 575-541-2500, mbartholomew@las-cruces.org
From: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT <JoleneM.Herrera@state.nm.us>
Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 11:31 AM
To: Andrew Wray
Subject: FW: District 1 Amendment 9 STIP Request

Good morning Andrew,

Can you please add this Amendment to the BPAC agenda for April. The Rail Bureau would like to move LC00230 from FY2020 to FY2022. I realize that this won’t show up until the next TIP takes effect.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Jolene Herrera
Urban & Regional Planner D1 & D2
O. (575) 525-7358
C. (575) 202-4698

From: Fine, Robert, NMDOT
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 4:03 PM
To: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT
Subject: District 1 Amendment 9 STIP Request

Hi Jolene,

Please make the following change for the next TIP/STIP amendment 9 for my following District 1 projects:

CN LC00230:

Move from FFY 2020 to FFY 2022

Thank you. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Rob Fine | Rail Facilities Manager
NMDOT | Rail Bureau | O: 505.827.5133 | C: 505.629.2830
MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
POLICY COMMITTEE
DISCUSSION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF May 10, 2017

AGENDA ITEM:
7.1 Committee Training

DISCUSSION:
MPO Staff will give a presentation regarding the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) selection and approval process.