

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004 PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155 http://MesillaValleyMPO.org

MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION POLICY COMMITTEE AGENDA

Please note different starting time

The following is the Agenda for a meeting of the Policy Committee of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MVMPO) to be held **May 10, 2017 at 1:30 p.m.** in the in the **Doña Ana County Commission Chambers**, 845 Motel Blvd., Las Cruces, New Mexico. Meeting packets are available on the <u>Mesilla Valley MPO website</u>.

The MVMPO does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, color, ancestry, serious medical condition, national origin, age, or disability in the provision of services. The MVMPO will make reasonable accommodation for a qualified individual who wishes to attend this public meeting. Please notify the MVMPO at least 48 hours before the meeting by calling 528-3043 (voice) or 1-800-659-8331 (TTY) if accommodation is necessary. This document can be made available in alternative formats by calling the same numbers list above. *Este documento está disponible en español llamando al teléfono de la Organización de Planificación Metropolitana de Mesilla Valley: 528-3043 (Voz) o 1-800-659-8331 (TTY)*.

1.	CALL TO ORDER/ PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	Chair
2.	CONFLICT OF INTEREST INQUIRY	Chair
	Does any Committee Member have any known or perceived conflict of interest with an on the agenda? If so, that Committee member may recuse themselves from voting specific matter, or if they feel that they can be impartial, we will put their participation a vote by the rest of the Committee.	on a
3.	PUBLIC COMMENT	_Chair
4.	CONSENT AGENDA*	Chair
5.	* APPROVAL OF MINUTES	
	5.1. *April 12, 2017	Chair
6.	ACTION ITEMS	
	6.1. Resolution 17-05 A Resolution Amending the FFY 2017- FFY 2018 Unified Planning Worl Program (UPWP) MP	c O Staff
	6.2. Resolution 17-06: A Resolution Amending the 2016-2021 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)MP	O Staff
7.	DISCUSSION ITEMS	
	7.1. Committee Training	O Staff
	7.2. NMDOT update	T Staff
8.	COMMITTEE and STAFF COMMENTS	Chair
9.	PUBLIC COMMENT	Chair
10	ADIOURNMENT	Chair

1 2 3	ME	SILLA VALI	LEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION POLICY COMMITTEE
5 6 7 8	Organization	(MPO) Polic Chambers a	s for the meeting of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning by Committee which was held April 12, 2017 at 1:00 p.m. in t Dona Ana County Government Building, 845 Motel Blvd., Las
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MEMBERS	PRESENT:	Mayor Nora Barraza (Town of Mesilla) (arrived 1:14) Trent Doolittle (NMDOT) Councillor Jack Eakman (CLC) Trustee Linda Flores (Town of Mesilla) Councillor Olga Pedroza (CLC) Commissioner Benjamin Rawson (DAC) Commissioner Isabella Solis (DAC) (departed 1:54) Councillor Gill Sorg (CLC) (arrived 1:15)
18 19	MEMBERS A	ABSENT:	Commissioner John Vasquez (DAC)
20 21 22 23	STAFF PRE	SENT:	Tom Murphy (MPO staff) Michael McAdams (MPO staff) Dominic Loya (MPO Staff)
24252627	OTHERS PR	RESENT:	Jolene Herrera (NMDOT) Harold Love (NMDOT) Jess Waller Becky Baum, RC Creations, LLC, Recording Secretary
28 29 30	1. CALL	. TO ORDER	/ PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (1:00 PM)
31 32 33	Flores:	•	1:00 now and so I'm going to call this meeting to order and th the Pledge of Allegiance.
34 35	ALL STAND	FOR PLEDG	SE OF ALLEGIANCE.
36 37	2. CONF	FLICT OF INT	TEREST INQUIRY
38 39 40 41 42 43	Flores:	conflict of in Member ma feel that the	bes any Committee Member have any known or perceived nterest with any item on the agenda? If so that Committee by recuse themselves from voting on a specific matter or if they y can be impartial we will put their participation up to a vote by ne committee. No one.
43 44 45	3. PUBL	IC COMMEN	IT

1 Flores: We'll move on to the next one, public comment. Is there anybody in the public that would like to make any comments? Okay not seeing anybody 2 3 coming up. 4 5 4. **CONSENT AGENDA*** 6 7 Flores: We'll move to the consent agenda and I remember making a note that on 8 page 6, I guess I should ask for a motion first. 9 10 Eakman: I would move the acceptance of the minutes as distributed. 11 12 Pedroza: Second. 13 14 I just have one issue and that was on, I don't remember if it was 6-7 or 7-Flores: 8. Yes on 6-7 of the minutes, I think Councillor Eakman was the one that 15 16 said, it's line 24 where I say, "Actually I just wanted to ask on the actual sheet that you handed out it says from Valley to Hickory?" I think that was 17 really Councillor ... that was not me so just if we can make that, cut my 18 name out and keep that as his dialogue. Anybody have a problem with 19 changing that? Okay. Anyone else have any issues with the minutes? 20 21 Okay seeing none we'll just by a verbal vote, everybody in favor of passing 22 the consent agenda. 23 24 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY. 25 26 Flores: Okay any nays? Seeing none we'll move on to the next one. 27 * APPROVAL OF MINUTES 28 5. 29 30 5.1 * February 8, 2017 31 32 VOTED ON VIA THE CONSENT AGENDA 33 34 6. **ACTION ITEMS** 35 Appointment to the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Advisory 36 6.1 Committee 37 38 39 Flores: Okay action items, 6.1: Appointment to the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Advisory Committee. 40 41 Thank you Madam Chair. Our latest appointment to the Bicycle and 42 Murphy: 43 Pedestrian Facilities Advisory Committee, Mr. Len Paulozzi, had to resign 44 from the Committee, he was going to be unable to honor the time commitment. So MPO staff contacted the previous applicants and we also 45

46

made an open call, posted on our website, e-mailed through the master

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		mailing list, released through the County and the City PIOs. Ultimately two of the former applicants had indicated interest. We didn't receive any other applications besides those two so we have today to present to you to choose between Mr. John Gagne and Mr. Jess Waller. And Mr. Waller is present in the audience if anybody has questions of him. If not we can pass out the ballots and you may select the next Representative to the BPAC.
9	Flores:	Would Mr. Waller like to make any comments?
10 11 12	Waller:	Do you have
13	Flores:	We have your letter of interest.
14 15 16 17	Waller:	Yeah. Good afternoon. You have my e-mail so if you, does anybody have any questions for me?
18	Flores:	Anyone?
19 20 21	Waller:	Okay.
22 23	Flores:	Okay then.
24 25	Waller:	Okay. Thank you.
26 27	Flores:	All right.
28 29	Eakman:	Point of order Madam Chair.
30 31	Flores:	Yes.
32 33 34 35	Eakman:	I wonder if we can have these type of ballots at an open meeting. I wonder if we should not just have a voice vote on this so the public knows how each of us are voting.
36	Flores:	Does anybody else have any other opinions?
37 38 39	Pedroza:	Madam Chair.
40	Flores:	Councillor Pedroza.
41 42 43 44 45	Pedroza:	Thank you. I agree. It has been pointed out at City Council several times that votes by Committees such as ours do need to be made publicly, so I would agree with.
45 46	Flores:	Okay. All right. Anyone else? Commissioner Solis.

1		
2 3	Solis:	I just have one comment. If we fill out the ballot, can we have someone read it out?
4 5 6	Flores:	Yeah.
7 8	Solis:	That would be open, wouldn't it?
9 10	Flores:	Well I think, yeah you could say how the person voted because it does ask for a signature as well.
11 12 13	Solis:	Yes.
14 15 16	Flores:	Okay. Sure. And yeah we have our names on them so that's fine. We'll do that. Okay. And hopefully people can read your signature. If it's really scratch you can print it underneath.
17 18 19 20	Murphy:	Madam Chair, Members of the Committee. If you take note each ballot has your name on it so that'll become part of the public record and we are asking for your signature.
21 22 23	Flores:	Okay.
24 25	Murphy:	As discussed the last time we had the BPAC appointment, this Committee decided it needed to be known who everyone voted for.
26 27 28 29	Flores:	Okay. Thank you. Did anybody want to have any discussion about this beforehand, before they make their vote? Any comments, no? Okay. Should've asked ahead of time.
30 31 32	Murphy:	Madam Chair. We have a unanimous choice of Mr. Waller.
33 34 35	Flores:	Okay. Thank you very much and congratulations and thank you for serving.
36 37	Waller:	Thank you for inviting me here. I appreciate vote of confidence.
38 39 40	6.2	Appointment of MVMPO Representatives to the Regional Leadership Consortium
40 41 42	Flores:	You want to explain the next or want me to, 6.2?
42 43 44	Murphy:	I think we're ready for 6.2.
45 46	Flores:	Do you want me to introduce that one or you want to do it?

Murphy: Sure.

Flores: Okay. So we have a representative from the Mesilla Valley MPO to the

Regional Leadership Consortium with Viva Dona Ana and that's been me. We went ahead and redid our MOU with Viva Dona Ana. However it was brought to my attention that we did not fill out the, basically picking our point of contact and, of course that's going to be Tom Murphy and then our Designated Representative and Designated Alternate. So I'll just, I've been the Designated Representative but I'll leave it to everybody if you want to have a change or vote for somebody else. I should warn you I've also been on the Committee for basically getting ready for the next meetings, I forget what, the Steering Committee on that. So I've just been recently put on that, so we'll just, I suggest that for a Designated Alternate that we just put MPO staff because that makes it more flexible. Generally if I haven't been able to make it, usually because another member from my town isn't able to go, I'll fill that position and then I ask Mr. Murphy to attend and he's generally able to attend, but sometimes if he's in another meeting we just put somebody else from staff. So does anybody have any

comments on this? Councillor Pedroza.

Pedroza: Thank you. I don't know if you need a nomination but I would be more

than happy to nominate Commissioner Flores.

24 Eakman: And I would second the nomination.

Flores: All right. Well thank you. So do we want to take a vote on that part for the

Representative?

Murphy: Okay. So this is, we have a motion and a second. Do we have any other

discussion? Okay. So a motion and a second for Trustee Flores to continue as the Mesilla Valley MPO's Representative to the Regional Leadership Consortium with MPO staff acting as the Alternate.

Commissioner Rawson.

35 Rawson: Yes.

37 Mu

Murphy: Councillor Pedroza.

39 Pedroza: Yes.

Doolittle:

41 Murphy: Mr. Doolittle.

45 Murphy: Commissioner Solis.

Yes.

1 Solis: Yes. 2 3 Murphy: Councillor Eakman. 4 5 Eakman: Yes. 6 7 Murphy: And Chair Flores. 8 9 Flores: Yes. Okay. And so does anybody have any objections for the second 10 designated Alternate, to just make that the MPO staff? Okay. I'm seeing shaking of heads so let's go ahead and vote for that I guess, on a verbal. 11 12 Everyone in favor say "aye." 13 14 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY. 15 16 Flores: Anybody against? Seeing none. And then point of contact, I just think Tom Murphy is the obvious person, I think. 17 18 19 Murphy: MPO staff is the acknowledged contact for anybody on the Policy 20 Committee. 21 22 Flores: Okay. All right. Thank you so much. Okay. We're done with that part. 23 24 7. **DISCUSSION ITEMS** 25 26 7.1 **Committee Training** 27 28 Flores: So let's move on to discussion items, 7.1: Committee training. 29 30 Murphy: Michael McAdams is going to kind of give a refresher on our website. We're approaching a year old of it. Some of you are new to the 31 32 Board, others probably would like to have a refresher so I'm going to turn 33 over the podium to him and he's going to run through all the pages and its 34 functionality. 35 36 McAdams: Thank you Tom and Madam Chairman. I think you'd all have access to the internet too so maybe if you'd like to follow along with me. We are, 37 38 Tom is correct, we're very proud of our website. It didn't come overnight. 39 We developed it for about three or four months and I have to give a, tip of the hat to my colleague, Andrew Wray, who really was very detail-oriented 40 and makes sure that everything was correct and it's a lot of work to work 41 with a webpage designer to get this type of stuff done, to also make sure

maybe review and maybe hopefully see some things.

that it's user-friendly for everyone. So I hope you've had a chance to view

it but if you've not that's what I'm going to try to sort of lead you through a

42

43

44 45

1

2

The MPO website is in the spirit of our MPO's Public Participation Plan which is to have as much as possibly have on the website and also to our principle, MPO's principle of transparency. Let's get some major things and I'll get, if you look at, micro, my, down this, if you look at, first of all if you look at the webpage you'll see it very plain, not a lot of busyness and you'll see on top the headings, and if you notice you can mousecorrect. You can go on each of the headings and they will subheadings, so you see the meeting calendar, etc. and we'll go to that. But really if you're looking, if you're a Policy Committee Member or a TAC or BPAC, or even the public everything's right in front, right, you can see. And what you'll probably want to be seeing is the meetings, right, when are the meetings, where are the packets, etc. We do deliver them to each of you personally but you may want to look at them online, etc. and also, I have to look down to, so you can go right down to "Read Notes," see that, or "Read More," and click on that. And you see right there for the month of April you'll see all the Committees for the MPO, right. So you can go right to the MPO site for today and you click on it and you'll see the packet then you'd, right there. They're in .pdf format and so available for everybody.

If you'd like to also, let me go back a little bit further you can review any kind of committee whether it's BPAC or the TAC to see what other further action was taken, all right. The other thing is in keeping with transparencies, all the documents we have, we post them and so again real easy, hopefully easy just to read more and you see all the core documents. So for example if you also want to look at past minutes, right, you can go to "MPO Core Documents" and let's seen now, I just go through more stuff but you can see all, any kind of documents we used, MOUs, the TIPs, the traffic flow maps, (inaudible) and performance and other documents too, including my favorite the Short-Range Transit Plan. and other projects we've done in the past like the University Avenue project and the Missouri Avenue project. And this here, everything we can possibly put on there we will and we're not limited to size and that's one of the things, and especially because it's our own website we can easily post things as soon as we get them almost. It's just a matter of mechanics and so we could easily post things as well.

And well as a sort of side comment, if you have any comments, you see things you want corrected or things that are not usable to you, please let us know because we can get them done fairly easily. If they're really difficult we do have the website designers on call, on retainership and we can get some stuff like that done. So we're always willing to, of course to look at corrections or advice or comments.

Let's me see, what's the other? So also you can look at different things for resources if you'd like. We have different websites. Let's so I have to look at, so we'll look at our favorite site and that would be New Mexico DOT and again you can look up anything you would like to know about NMDOT, look at plans they have and different items for that too. So that applies. We have also RoadRUNNER, we have SCRTD, we have

many other websites we think that are pertinent to the MPO and the member agencies with affiliates: Mesilla, the County of Dona Ana, and the City of Las Cruces. Go back, yeah that's a neat feature, okay.

And then sort of to follow up and sort of end, if really, well let's let me backtrack a little bit, not really backtrack, the real purpose of this website is increasing transparency. We, all the public can see what we have. We do other things because we realize that the public doesn't have access often to internet so this is, but this is one way of making what we do public and if there's any questions. But if, and I direct this to people too, if they ask me about getting subscribed to our newsletters and stuff, I just put them, I say, "Please go to 'About Us' and scroll down a little bit," and then they can actually view the bottom of the page. You can see where it says a little, I can't get it now back in, I'll try, never try to do two things at once. Okay, maybe. At the bottom there's a little envelope or a little space where you can actually put your e-mail and okay, there it is. Thank you. My mouse wasn't working. So instead of collecting e-mails from people we can just say, "Just go to our website, put your e-mail in," and I think we have close to 40 people that have subscribed to our e-mail. It's a wide variety. Most people don't come but if they do, they know what's happening. So a lot of people I think read the minutes and they know about things and they know that they are more than welcome to come to our meetings. I always try to say, "Please come to our meetings," and they may say, "We're not receiving." I said, "Just go to our website. You'll subscribe there and get everything. You'll get tired of it sometimes what we do." I mean not tired, but tired of our notifications, yeah. Because we try to really put a lot of notification. But what we're doing as a website also, if there's anything pertinent related to things going on in the area, so we posted when the hearings for the Three Crosses was going. or the informational meetings we published that. Roadrunner, we published, things that are relevant to people interested in the MPO. So we hope that this is a, we think this is a chief vehicle for information about the MPO. And I guess this ends my presentation. Are there any questions about the website? Did I go through it too rapidly?

33 34 35

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

2627

28 29

30

31

32

Flores: And so ...

McAdams:

36 37

38

39

40

41

One little footnote too is that this is also mobile-ready. In fact I've tested that. We made sure when we did the website you could visit on your mobile. It does very nicely and the .pdfs come up really nicely too on your mobile or your tablet. So this is something, if you're on the go and you're interested about learning about more about the MPO or you're looking at the minutes you can easily get it on the road as well, or the coffee shop.

42 43

Flores: Okay. Thank you. Councillor Eakman.

44 45 Eakman: A helpful suggestion on the "About Us" page, there was a link to the 2016

calendar of the MPO. I wonder if that couldn't be updated to 2017.

McAdams: Yes. Okay. We'll look at that for sure.

Eakman: Thank you.

Flores: Anyone else? Mr. Doolittle.

Doolittle:

Thank you. I just wanted to say you know I carry my tablet around everywhere. I've got to where I don't have paper. I go to that website. I actually have it saved as a favorite and I go to it periodically and I'll say it's pretty easy to use, you know downloading the agenda and the packet so that I can carry it around on this as opposed to paper. It's a fairly user-friendly site and I compliment you all on your putting that site together. It's

very well done.

 Flores: Okay thank you. Anyone else? No. Okay. So thank you for that presentation. And then before we get too much further I would like to recognize that Mayor Barraza came in during that presentation at the beginning and Councillor Gill Sorg as well. So just so we can keep track

of the minutes. And moving on to 7.2, New Mexico DOT update ...

Murphy: Madam Chair.

Flores: Do you have a second presentation? I'm sorry.

Murphy:

Murphy:

I had a second presentation. I apologize for all the screen time today. Last week Mr. Doolittle, Ms. Herrera, and myself attended a training up in Albuquerque and I guess if the buzzing goes on too long we may cancel this, I'll send you the link. But we attended a training on setting up performance targets. That's something that FHWA's going to require state DOTs and MPOs to get accomplished this calendar year. So this presentation kind of gives an overview of the safety target setting process and I found it informational. I hope all of you do as well.

VIDEO PRESENTED - https://connectdot.connectsolutions.com/p25c3zrj21b

Technical difficulties. We'll see if it's trying to reload. I'll go ahead and give my closing on this training. The State is planning on having its NHTSA goal-setting meeting in May up in Albuquerque. They've invited the MPO staffs from around the state to participate and then we'll bring that back to you and kind of give an evaluation. At this point my feeling is the overall number of fatalities and injuries that we see are relatively low ... not start from the beginning, whereas the variability from year to year would be great. So from our initial looking at the crash data, my inkling

would be that this MPO would choose to support the State targets since ... she almost wanted to start talking again, and then of course we'll update when we update our MTP, beginning that process next year. We'll be implementing the safety targets into our goals. I can't tell if ...

5 6

VIDEO RESUMED.

7 8 9

I think I was able to get my comments in during the pause. If you have any questions ...

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Flores:

Murphy:

Just I have the same concern and that is we are such a small state and such a rural state, and our area's very rural. I just worry about even though it's a five-year average, one bus crash could really make us look you know bad and I just, I cannot, I feel like people in an urban area have set these rules with little understanding of rural America. And I'm kind of frustrated about that. I can see that having a negative outcome for us, you know we're just one accident, we're very few accidents away from having a negative evaluation and I don't think that's fair. And I can see it as a very real possibility. So I have that concern. Hate to be negative but I'm sorry, that's the first thing I think of when I see this, I kind of, and the other thing that concerns me is that they're going to be looking at roads that we own and don't own, so I can see basically trying to ameliorate safety issues in roads that you own. But when you're going to be evaluated on roads that you don't even own, that you have no control over, I have concerns about that. So I'm just putting it out there. Otherwise, I mean I do think this is a better way, you know look at what accidents are out there and to try to focus and it does make sense, but I just have a few issues there. Anyone else want to make any comments? Councillor Eakman.

262728

29 30

Eakman:

Pedroza:

Yes. I think Councillor Pedroza had her hand up before mine so I'll yield to her and then I'll have something. Okay.

31 32 33

Flores: Okay. Councillor Pedroza.

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

Thank you. It's just very short. I agree with Councillor Flores that some of this might not be very fair to more rural communities as opposed to the urban ones where generally you own and control the roads in your area. But my question was how new is all of this? Is it just brand new? Is there nothing that we can look to from before that would give us some idea of what our State, how our State was ranked and evaluated before? Or is this just all totally new?

41 42

44

43 Murphy:

Madam Chair, Councillor Pedroza. This is new and MAP-21 was the first time that Congress came down with the idea of national goals and performance measures, and then using the actual performance to, judge is probably a strong word, but we use it to focus our investment strategies.

45 46 I think one, we do have crash data that goes back a long time and when we meet with the State and the other MPOs and the emergency medical community to establish these safety measures or the targets, I think a lot of those concerns, you know I think a lot of them they feel that rural perspective more acutely than we do living here. So I think that's certainly going to be tempered into the goals. I think additionally it's important to note that what this does affect is the penalty for not making your targets is that you then lose flexibility on where you can spend your HSIP money. Currently the State of New Mexico spends all of its HSIP money on safety projects anyway so the penalty would be they'd be required to do what they're doing anyway. And I think that's been intentional on Congress' and FHWA's part. They want to get the system in place, see how it works over the first couple courses of years, see what kind of adjustments need to be made to it. So everybody I believe understands that this is something we're doing new and that adjustments will have to be made, and so there's, and at least initially nothing draconian is going to occur.

18 Pedroza:

I have one more question. I remember some time ago reading a book about the failure of a bridge and the tragedy that that caused, and I can't remember what state it was in, but very recently we had another failure of a bridge. And I don't see in any of these measures, maybe they are there but they're just kind of hidden, what the status of the bridge itself, is that going to be measured? Or is it just you know like driver inattention or something like that? Because I think given where our country's at and the age of our highways, it's a very important part. How many of our bridges are going to fail before we pay attention to them? Thank you.

2728 Murphy:

Madam Chair, Councillor Pedroza. And in response to that, transportation asset management is one of the goal areas and this is one of the ones that, the next measures and targets to come out later, next. I believe safety was the first one and I think probably the next one we will see will be in the areas of asset management where things such as the bridge concern and other areas that you raise will be addressed.

 Pedroza: Thank you.

37 Flores:

Any more comments or questions? I'm sorry, Councillor Eakman.

39 Eakman:

Well thank you. I appreciated the presentation very much. My question is what I have not heard are linkages to, like the people who license the operators on the road and whether or not they're a part of this goal-setting and then what does that do to their processes, and then law enforcement itself which is responsible for traffic control and operator behavior and things of that nature. I didn't hear them being a part of this planning process either. And so I wonder, is that something that's going to be

1 looked at in the future or is it a part of it and it just wasn't reflected in this 2 video? 3 4 Murphy: Madam Chair, Councillor Eakman. Yes Law enforcement is involved, 5 particularly in setting the NHTSA HSP goals. You know at the training that 6 we were at last week there were some law enforcement, I sat next to 7 somebody in Tribal Law Enforcement actually, but they are typically part of 8 those safety goal-settings. And she went through the four E's: 9 Engineering, Enforcement, Emergency Response, I forget the fourth one 10 but absolutely yes. They are included. 11 12 Eakman: So a follow-up question is what about operator error, which is in my way a 13 defining factor over engineering, operator error and licensing? 14 15 Murphy: Those are usually addressed through education campaigns, of which a lot 16 of the safety money goes to pay: Public service announcements to try to make the public aware of behaviors that they tend to have that are 17 dangerous and they have these educational bits that work towards 18 19 correcting those behaviors. 20 21 Flores: I believe education was the fourth E, by the way. 22 23 Murphy: Thank you. 24 25 Flores: Anyone else? Well thank you I think you allayed some of my fears 26 anyway. 27 7.2 28 **NMDOT** update 29 30 **COMMITTEE AND STAFF COMMENTS** 8. 31 32 Flores: And okay, so we'll move along to Committee and staff comments, and 33 before I forget I got a memo basically saying that the City Councillors are 34 going to be at a meeting set for our next meeting which is May 10th. So I 35 had a request to move our meeting to 1:30 so that hopefully we'll be able 36 to have a quorum at our next meeting. So is there a way that we can 37 change the time of our meeting? How do we do that? 38 39 Murphy: Madam Chair. We can do that. I think we can take care of that through 40 the normal advertisement of the meeting in the newspaper and notices on 41 the website. 42 43 Flores:

comments from the Committee? Mr. Doolittle.

44

45

46

Okay. All right. Do I have any objection? I mean it's obvious we're going

to have to do that because we need it for the quorum. So okay. Any other

Doolittle: Thank you Madam Chair. I don't know if you intended to skip over my

DOT updates or not.

Flores: Oh, I'm sorry, okay. I'm sorry.

Doolittle: I'm just giving you a hard time.

Flores: DOT updates.

Doolittle: I actually have a lot of things to discuss if you'll allow me to go over a few of our projects.

The Spitz/Three Crosses project actually started construction on Monday. We'll continue to have public meetings, monthly public meetings for those projects. I think currently they've got them scheduled for the last Monday of each month. I am working with City staff to try to have some additional outreach to the residential communities around that intersection. But we are going to encourage them to participate in our regularly scheduled public meetings as opposed to separate ones. But I'll continue to give monthly updates on that project as we progress. It is scheduled for about a year. It's a busy intersection. I'm going to avoid it at all costs and am thankful I live south of town, not north of town. But AUI is building that project for us. They're a contractor we've used quite frequently out of Albuquerque and we look forward to working with them on this project.

The next one I've got to discuss is our US-70 pavement preservation project so this is basically from Aguirre Springs to the Dona Ana County line east of town. The contractor should finish paving today and then we have our final seal coat which will take about two weeks. We expect to have that project completed by the end of the month. Those of you that haven't driven that way, that pavement was falling apart but now basically all the way over to the district boundaries we have a pretty nice roadway from town to that point.

Those are our only two current ongoing projects in the area. We have a couple of them coming up that I wanted to share. We have the US-70, the Picacho signal at 17th Street. That's where all the buses come out of their lot and then basically get to Picacho and then go from there to the different schools. We'll be putting a signal there. That project was bid in January. We're expected to start as soon as school ends. Believe it or not we actually coordinated so we're not doing it while school is in session. RT Electric whose office is actually just right down the street on 17th Street is the contractor for that one. They do really good work for us. They do a lot of electrical work and I don't see any reason why we won't have that project substantially complete and that signal operating before school actually starts in August. So hopefully that'll be safer for those buses coming in and out when the school starts next year.

The next one that we've got is another project on US-70 up at the Organ Pass. Right now the bike route on US-70 kind of has a gap in it

over the pass just because it's really been unsafe. We have a lot of high-speed traffic up there. So we're going to do some shoulder widening. Right now that concrete wall barrier's pretty close to the roadway so we're going to accommodate bicycle facilities over the pass. That project is actually scheduled to bid next Friday and I would expect construction to start sometime in August or September. But we have a few people who commute from Las Cruces to White Sands or NASA for instance and this'll give them a much safer route to travel as they go to work.

Valley Drive, that's one we're working with the City to incorporate some of their requests from the Amador Proximo. Ultimately the goal is to

Valley Drive, that's one we're working with the City to incorporate some of their requests from the Amador Proximo. Ultimately the goal is to include some oversized drainage to incorporate some drainage issues the City has in adjacent neighborhoods and as part of that we'll be doing a road transfer to the City after it's complete. That project is scheduled for a bid in May. I would expect construction to start some time in the winter. And again I'll keep you updated on that project. I think that we're going to try to do a presentation in May. I don't know if we were going to bring it to the TPB or not but that may be something that we could do if that's the wish of the Board, just a general discussion on what the typical section would look like once we go to construction.

And then the last thing I wanted to give an update on is our chip seal crew, which is our maintenance crew internally is actually in southern Dona Ana County right now. They're moving their way to the north. It's really not going to affect a lot of the City streets, only because we don't do this process on really heavily traveled roadways. But it will affect some of the roads in the Town of Mesilla. Dona Ana County for instance will have a lot of our secondary roads in their areas chip sealed. But we'll continue to issue public notices so that the public is aware of what those impacts are going to be. We're trying to be real cognizant because it is our secondary roads that we're doing, to be real cognizant of those people that are traveling from those outside areas into town for work and the school buses and those kinds of things, so public involvement has been very important to us on that one. But you'll continue to see notices on those as we move around.

With that, that's really all the projects we have in the area, those that are coming up, and I'll stand for any questions the Board may have.

Flores:

Does anybody have any questions for Mr. Doolittle? Seeing none.

Doolittle: Thank you.

Flores: Now we'll move back to comments. So any comments from ... okay. Any

comments from staff?

Murphy: No.

Flores: Okay.

9. PUB	LIC COMMENT
Flores:	So we'll move to public comment. Seeing no one standing on that one.
10. ADJ	OURNMENT (2:07 PM)
Flores:	We'll move to adjournment. Adjourned.
Chairpersor	n
	Flores: 10. ADJ Flores:

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004 PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155 http://mesillavalleympo.org/

MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION POLICY COMMITTEE ACTION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF May 10, 2017

AGENDA ITEM:

6.1 Resolution 17-05: A Resolution Amending the FY2017- FY2018 Unified Planning Work Program

ACTION REQUESTED:

Approval by the MPO Policy Committee

SUPPORT INFORMATION:

Resolution 17-05 Federal PL Funds Matrix Budget Development Matrix

DISCUSSION:

The Mesilla Valley MPO was notified by NMDOT of a reduction in the federal obligation limitation. This affects funding to all MPOs within New Mexico.

Further detailed discussion will be supplied at the meeting.

MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION RESOLUTION NO. 17-05

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE FY 2017- FY 2018 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM.

The Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Policy Committee is informed that:

WHEREAS, preparation of Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) is a requirement of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) (U.S.C. 23 § 450.308.b & c); and

WHEREAS, the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is responsible for developing and maintaining the UPWP to reflect the planning activities and funding within the MPO Area for the specified fiscal years; and

WHEREAS, MPO staff has developed a two-year UPWP as permitted by federal regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Advisory Committee recommended approval of the UPWP at their meeting on April 18, 2017; and

WHEREAS, the Technical Advisory Committee recommended approval of the UPWP at their meeting on May 4, 2017; and

WHEREAS, the Policy Committee has determined that it is in the best interest of the MPO for the Resolution adopting the FY 2017- FY 2018 Unified Planning Work Program to be approved.

NOW, **THEREFORE**, be it resolved by the Policy Committee of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization:

(I)

THAT the Unified Planning Work Program of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization is adopted.

THAT staff is authorized to submit the final Fiscal Year 2017 and Fiscal Year 2018 Unified Planning Work Program to the New Mexico Department of Transportation and the U.S. Department of Transportation's Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration.

DONE and APPROVED this <u>10th</u>	_ day of <u>May</u> 2017.
APPROVED:	
Chair	
Motion By: Second By:	
VOTE:	
Chair Flores	
Vice Chair Vasquez	
Trustee Arzabal	
Mayor Barraza	
Mr. Love (proxy for Mr. Doolittle)	
Councillor Eakman	
Councillor Pedroza	
Commissioner Rawson	
Commissioner Solis	
Councillor Sorg	
ATTEST:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Recording Secretary	City Attorney

FY2018 PL Funds Distribution Formula

1 12010 1 E l'alias Distribation l'orniala						
Metropolitan Planning Organization	Planning Area Population	Percent				
El Paso	53,850	4.2%				
Farmington	96,925	7.5%				
Mesilla Valley	157,440	12.2%				
Mid Region	861,343	67.0%				
Santa Fe	<u>116,386</u>	<u>9.1</u> %				
Subtotal	1,285,944	100.0%				
Set aside for discretion	ary grants per 23 CF	R 420.109(a)				
GRAND TOTAL	1,285,944	100.0%				

REPRESENTING FEDERAL PL FUNDS ONLY

Equity Factor	Supplemen Allocation by t Population		TOTAL	Funding per Capita
		\$47,418	\$47,418	\$0.88
\$110,000		\$85,347	\$195,347	\$2.02
\$110,000		\$138,634	\$248,634	\$1.58
\$0		\$758,456	\$758,456	\$0.88
\$110,000	=	<u>\$102,484</u>	\$212,484	\$1.83
\$330,000	<i>\$0</i>	\$1,132,338	\$1,462,338	\$1.14
			<i>\$0</i>	N/A
\$330,000	\$0	\$1,132,338	\$1,462,338	\$1.14

	sed TOTAL eral + local ch)	_	rious TOTAL eral + local ch)	Difference Between Previous and Revised FFY18 Targets		
\$	47,418	\$	52,536	\$	5,119	
\$	228,637	\$	239,420	\$	10,783	
\$	291,004	\$	308,520	\$	17,516	
\$ \$	887,706	\$	983,534	\$	95,829	
\$	248,693	\$	261,642	\$	12,949	
\$	1,703,457	\$	1,845,653	\$	142,196	
\$	1,703,457	\$	1,845,653	\$	142,196	

Notes:

- 1. FFY = Federal Fiscal Year
- 2. GRAND TOTAL = (PL Funds apportioned to New Mexico) x (obligation limitation rate)
- 3. The population figures shown in this table were generated by each MPO based on Census 2010 data. Population values reflect the number of persons living within each MPO's planning area boundaries. The MPOs have certified the validity of these figures.
- 4. Source of PL Funds apportionment to New Mexico is FHWA Notice 4510.759 (http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/legsregs/directives/notices/n4510759/n4510759t1.htm)

Revised per 10/3/16 Appropriation notice

tal with match and ob limit applied: \$ 1,711,538

Less match: \$ (249,200)

tal federal PL with ob limit applied: \$ 1,462,338

Less EPMPO's PL amount: \$ (47,418) (EPMPO does not pay match on its NM PL)

Remaining PL: \$ 1,414,920

Remaining PL w/ match: \$ 1,656,040
Plus EPMPO's PL amount: \$ 47,418

Total: \$ 1,703,457

Fiscal Year 2017 (Oct. 1 2016- September 30, 2017)	Program Support and Administration	Transportation Improvement Program	General Development and Data Collection/ Analysis	Transportatio n Planning	Special Studies, Plans, Projects, and Programs		
FUNDING SOURCE	41.11.00	41.12.00	41.13.00	41.14.00	41.15.00	Subtotal	Program Totals
FHWA 112 (85%) SPR	\$77,882.10	\$25,960.70	\$103,842.80	\$38,941.05	\$12,980.35	\$259,607 \$0	Totals
LOCAL (112) MATCH(15 CLC DAC MESILLA FTA GRANT 5303(80%) CLC (5303)MATCH(20%	\$13,272.04 \$8,268 \$4,818 \$186 \$10,924.35 \$5,202.83	\$4,424.01 \$2,756 \$1,606 \$62 \$3,641.45 \$1,734.28	\$17,696.06 \$11,025 \$6,424 \$248 \$52,890.15 \$12,139.93	\$6,636.02 \$4,134 \$2,409 \$93 \$25,490.15 \$12,139.93	\$2,212.01 \$1,378 \$803 \$31 \$46,792.90 \$3,468.55	\$44,240 \$27,562 \$16,059 \$619 \$138,742 \$34,686	\$303,847 \$173,428
TOTAL (PERCENT OF 112) (PERCENT OF 5303) PERCENT TOTAL	\$107,281 30% 15% 28%	\$35,760 10% 5% 9%	\$186,569 40% 35% 39%	35%	\$65,454 5% 10% 6%	\$478,272 100% 100%	\$477,275
Fiscal Year 2018 (Oct. 1 2017- September 30, 2018)	Program Support and Administration	Transportation Improvement Program	General Development and Data Collection/ Analysis	Transportatio n Planning	Special Studies, Plans, Projects, and Programs		
1 2017- September 30,	Support and	Improvement .	Development and Data Collection/	•	Studies, Plans, Projects, and	Subtotal	Program Totals
1 2017- September 30, 2018)	Support and Administration	Improvement Program	Development and Data Collection/ Analysis	n Planning	Studies, Plans, Projects, and Programs	Subtotal \$248,634 \$0	Program Totals
1 2017- September 30, 2018) FUNDING SOURCE FHWA 112 (85%)	Support and Administration 41.11.00	Improvement Program 41.12.00	Development and Data Collection/ Analysis 41.13.00	n Planning 41.14.00	Studies, Plans, Projects, and Programs 41.15.00	\$248,634	_

Budget Summary - Proposed Expenditures

Task Number	Program	Budgeted PL Funds		n Budgeted PL Funds Requested SPR		ted SPR	Budgeted Fu	Total Budgeted
		FY 17	FY 18	FY 17	FY 18	FY 17	FY 18	
1	Program Support and Administr ation	\$91,154	\$87,301			\$16,127	\$10,685	\$205,267
2	Transport ation Improve ment Program	\$30,385	\$29,100			\$5,376	\$3,562	\$68,422
3	General Develop ment and Data Collectio n/ Analysis	\$121,539	\$116,402			\$65,030	\$24,931	\$327,901
4	Transport ation Planning	\$45,577	\$43,650.63			\$37,630	\$24,931	\$151,788
5	Special Studies, Plans, Projects, and Program s	\$15,192	\$14,550.21			\$50,261	\$7,123	\$87,127
TO	TAL	\$303,847	\$291,004	\$0	\$0	\$174,425	\$71,230	\$840,505.86

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004 PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155 http://mesillavalleympo.org

MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION POLICY COMMITTEE ACTION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF May 10, 2017

AGENDA ITEM:

6.2 Resolution 17-06: A Resolution Amending the 2016-2021 Transportation Improvement Program

ACTION REQUESTED:

Approval by the MPO Policy Committee

SUPPORT INFORMATION:

Resolution 17-06 Exhibit "A" Exhibit "B" Self-Certification Statement Email from Mike Bartholomew, RoadRUNNER Transit Email from Jolene Herrera, NMDOT

DISCUSSION:

On June 10, 2015, the MPO Policy Committee approved the 2016-2021 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

The following amendment(s) to the TIP have been requested:

CN	FY	Agency	Project & Termini	Scope	Change
TL00010	2017	RoadRUNNER Transit	RoadRUNNER Transit Operations	Increasing Operations Money	Increasing FTA 5307 Funds and Local Funds to \$1,655,184 each for a total of \$3,310,368
TL00013	2017	RoadRUNNER Transit	RoadRUNNER Transit Support and Rolling Stock	Reducing Capital Money	Reducing 2017 money to \$322,000
LC00230	2020	NMDOT	Signal Upgrades at RR Crossings	Moving Project Year	Moving project from 2020 to 2022

These amendments will not affect any other projects currently listed in the TIP.

MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION RESOLUTION NO. 17-06

A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE FY 2016-2021 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.

The Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Policy Committee is informed that:

WHEREAS, preparation of a financially constrained Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a requirement of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) (U.S.C. 23 § 450.324); and

WHEREAS, the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is responsible for the planning and financial reporting of all federally funded and regionally significant transportation-related projects within the MPO Area for the specified fiscal years; and

WHEREAS, the Policy Committee adopted the FY 2016-2021 TIP on June 10, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the NMDOT has requested an amendment to the FY 2016-2021 TIP; and

WHEREAS, the MPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Advisory Committee reviewed and recommended approval of these amendments at its April 18, 2017 meeting; and

WHEREAS, the MPO Technical Advisory Committee reviewed and recommended approval of these amendments at its May 4, 2017 meeting; and

WHEREAS, the Policy Committee has determined that it is in the best interest of the MPO for the Resolution amending the FY 2016-2021 Transportation Improvement Program to be approved.

NOW, **THEREFORE**, be it resolved by the Policy Committee of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization:

THAT the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization's Fiscal Year 2016-2021 Transportation Improvement Program is amended as shown in Exhibit "A", attached hereto and made part of this resolution.

(II)

THAT the Mesilla Valley MPO's Self-Certification, as contained in Exhibit "B", attached hereto and made part of this resolution is hereby approved

(III)

THAT staff is directed to take appropriate and legal actions to implement this Resolution.

DONE and **APPROVED** this <u>10th</u> day of <u>May</u>, 2017.

APPROVED:

Chair	
Motion By:	
Second By:	
VOTE:	
Chair Flores	
Vice Chair Vasquez	
Trustee Arzabal	
Mayor Barraza	
Mr. Doolittle	
Councillor Eakman	
Councillor Pedroza	
Commissioner Rawson	
Commissioner Solis	

Councillor Sorg	
ATTEST:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Recording Secretary	City Attorney

Exhibit "A" for MVMPO Resolution 17-06

CN	FY	Agency	Project & Termini	Scope	Change
TL00010	2017	RoadRUNNER Transit	RoadRUNNER Transit Operations	Increasing Operations Money	Increasing FTA 5307 Funds and Local Funds to \$1,655,184 each for a total of \$3,310,368
TL00013	2017	RoadRUNNER Transit	RoadRUNNER Transit Support and Rolling Stock	Reducing Capital Money	Reducing 2017 money to \$322,000
LC00230	2020	NMDOT	Signal Upgrades at RR Crossings	Moving Project Year	Moving project from 2020 to 2022

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004 PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155 http://mesillavalleympo.org

Resolution 17-06 Exhibit "B" MESILLA VALLEY MPO SELF-CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

In accordance with 23 U.S.C. 450.334, the New Mexico Department of Transportation, and the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Las Cruces urbanized area hereby certify that the transportation planning process is addressing the major issues in the metropolitan planning area and is being conducted in accordance with all applicable requirements of:

- (1) 49 U.S.C. 5323(I), 23 U.S.C. 135, and 23 U.S.C. 450.220;
- (2) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Title VI assurance executed by each State under 23 U.S.C. 324 and 29 U.S.C. 794;
- (3) Section 1101(b) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (Pub. L. 105-178) regarding the involvement of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in FHWA and FTA funded planning projects (Sec. 105(f), Pub. L. 97-424, 96 Stat. 2100; 49 CFR, Subtitle A, Part 26);
- (4) The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-336, 104 Stat. 327, as amended) and U. S. DOT implementing regulation;
- (5) The provision of 49 U.S.C. Part 20 regarding restrictions on influencing certain activities; and
- (6) Sections 174 and 176(c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506(c) and (d).

POLICY COMMITTEE CHAIR	Date
NMDOT	Date

From: Michael Bartholomew

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 12:51 PM

To: Andrew Wray

Cc: Tom Murphy; Gabriel Sapien; David Maestas; Amy Bassford

Subject: FW: RoadRUNNER Transit TIP amendment requests

I am requesting TIP amendments to the two TIP projects noted below for the federal fiscal year 2017. The two projects are funded by the same Section 5307 annual apportionment; TL00010 is an operating project and TL00013 is a capital project. Per your request, I broke out the different match requirements for the various projects in TL00013 in the table below. I understand that the capital projects that have different match ratios will be put in separate TIP projects.

The combined total of grant funds going to projects TL00010 and TL00013 in FY17 is about \$1000 less than in FY16 (actual FY16 was \$1,920,435; estimated FY17 based on the current 7/12th Congressional appropriation is \$1,919,484).

I wish to increase the amount of the apportionment going to operations to cover additional service we are planning and decrease the amount going to capital projects.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Would I typically expect these amendments to be in the STIP by the beginning of July based on the current amendment cycle?

Here are the requested changes for FY17:

Requested Amendm	EUR O LEGIOTO		
	Current TIP	Requested Amendment	
State Match	\$ -	\$ -	
Local Match	\$ 1,200,645.00	\$ 1,655,184.00	
FTA 5307	\$ 1,200,645.00	\$ 1,655,184.00	
Totals	\$ 2,401,290.00	\$ 3,310,368.00	
Requested Amendm	ents to T L00013		
	Current TIP	Requested Amendment Revenue Rolling Stock (85/15 match)	Requested Amendment Capital equipment (80/20 match)
State Match	\$ -	\$ -	
Local Match	\$ 127,021.00	\$ 20,100.00	\$ 37,600.00
FTA 5307	\$ 719,790.00	\$ 113,900.00	\$ 150,400.00
Totals	\$ 846,811.00	5 134,000.00	5 188,000.00

This is what the projects look like in the current TIP:

Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization - Las Cruces, New Mexico Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) CN: TL00010 Mesilla Valley MPO Rec # 18 NMDOT Dist.: 1 County: Dona Ana Municipality: City of Las Cruces Lead Agency: City of Las Cruces Fed ID: TL00010 Category: Transit 0 Miles Length: Proj RoadRUNNER Transit Operations Est. Proj. Cost: \$0 RT1 RT2 To: Est. Letting: Rt 2 BMP: Rt 1 EMP: 0 Rt 1 BMP Rt 2 BMP: TIP Amendment Pending? Project Desc.: Operating Assistance Project Phases: ☐ Environ. Document ☐ Prel. Engr. ☐ Design ☐ Right-of-way ☐ Construction ■ Other Work Zone: Exempt Remarks: Amended 5/11/16 PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category TIP Informational Years 2017 2018 2019 4 Yr. TOTALS **FUND SOURCE** 2016 2020 2021 State Match \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,728,456 \$1,200,645 \$1,200,645 \$5,330,391 \$1,200,645 \$1,200,645 Local Match \$1,200,645 FTA 5307 (Sm Urb Oper) \$1,728,456 23 \$1,200,645 23 \$1,200,645 23 \$5,330,391 \$1,200,645 23 \$1,200,645 23 \$1,200,645 \$10,660,782 \$3,456,912 \$2,401,290 \$2,401,290 \$2,401,290 \$2,401,290 \$2,401,290 Totals

CN: TL00013 Fed ID: TL00013	Mesilla V Category:			O Rec # 21		N. Water and St.		1 County City of Las		Municipal ces	ity: Length	n: 0 Mi	les
RT1 P	roj RoadRUNNER r:	Tra	nsit S	Support Equip	eme	ent and Facilities To:		11-2			Est. Proj. Cost Est. Letting		
R	t 1 BMP 0		Rt 2	BMP:	0	Rt 1 EN	IP:	0 R	t 2 B	MP: 0	TIP Amend	ment Pending	g? 🗆
Project Phases:	□ Environ. Docu	nen	t 🗆	Prel. Engr.		Design □ Ri	ght-of-v	vay 🗆 C	onst	ruction Other	Work Zor	ne: Exempt	
	oved Amendment 2-10-	16; P	C appr	roved amendme	nt 5/	11/16				ruction Other	Work Zor		
	oved Amendment 2-10-	16; P	UND:	roved amendme	nt 5/	11/16 Federal TIP by F	unding	Category		ruction Other		nal Years	021
Remarks: PC Appro	PROGRAMME	16; P	UND:	roved amendme	nt 5/	11/16 Federal TIP by F	unding	Category			TIP Informatio	nal Years	021
Remarks: PC Appro	PROGRAMME	D F 20	UND:	S - Four Ye	nt 5/	ederal TIP by F	unding	Category 20		4 Yr. TOTALS	TIP Informatio	nal Years	021
Remarks: PC Appr	PROGRAMME \$100,7	D F 20	UND:	S - Four Ye 20 \$0	nt 5/	11/16 Federal TIP by F 20 \$0 \$127,021	unding	Category 20 \$0		4 Yr. TOTALS	TIP Informatio	nal Years 20 \$0	021

Mike Bartholomew

Transit Administrator/Quality of Life Department/Transit Section

Direct: 575-541-2537 Main: 575-541-2500, mbartholomew@las-cruces.org



From: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT < Jolene M. Herrera@state.nm.us>

Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 11:31 AM

To: Andrew Wray

Subject: FW: District 1 Amendment 9 STIP Request

Good morning Andrew,

Can you please add this Amendment to the BPAC agenda for April. The Rail Bureau would like to move LC00230 from FY2020 to FY2022. I realize that this won't show up until the next TIP takes effect.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Jolene Herrera

Urban & Regional Planner D1 & D2
O. (575) 525-7358
C. (575) 202-4698

From: Fine, Robert, NMDOT

Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 4:03 PM

To: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT

Subject: District 1 Amendment 9 STIP Request

Hi Jolene,

Please make the following change for the next TIP/STIP amendment 9 for my following District 1 projects:

CN LC00230:

Move from FFY 2020 to FFY 2022

Thank you. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Rob Fine | Rail Facilities Manager

NMDOT | Rail Bureau | O: 505.827.5133 | C: 505.629.2830

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004 PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155 http://mesillavalleympo.org

MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION POLICY COMMITTEE DISCUSSION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF May 10, 2017

AGENDA ITEM:

7.1 Committee Training

DISCUSSION:

MPO Staff will give a presentation regarding the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) selection and approval process.