MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

AGENDA

The following is the agenda for the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) Technical Advisory Committee meeting to be held on March 3, 2016 at 4:00 p.m. in the Doña Ana County Commission Chambers, 845 Motel, Las Cruces, New Mexico. Meeting packets are available on the Mesilla Valley MPO website.

The Mesilla Valley MPO does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, color, ancestry, serious medical condition, national origin, age, or disability in the provision of services. The Mesilla Valley MPO will make reasonable accommodation for a qualified individual who wishes to attend this public meeting. Please notify the Mesilla Valley MPO at least 48 hours before the meeting by calling 528-3043 (voice) or 1-800-659-8331 (TTY) if accommodation is necessary. This document can be made available in alternative formats by calling the same numbers list above.

1. CALL TO ORDER __________________________________________ __________ Chair
2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA ___________________________________ __________ Chair
3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES ________________________________________________________________________ Chair
  3.1. February 4, 2016 __________________________________________ __________
4. PUBLIC COMMENT ___________________________________________ __________ Chair
5. DISCUSSION ITEMS ____________________________________________________________________________
  5.1. Presentation on the Missouri Ave./Roadrunner Pkwy. Study Corridor ______ MPO Staff
  5.2. Presentation on the Multi-Use Trail Loop __________________________ MPO Staff
6. COMMITTEE and STAFF COMMENTS _______________________________________________________________
  6.1. City of Las Cruces, Doña Ana County, Town of Mesilla, Las Cruces Public Schools, 
        RoadRUNNER Transit, and SCRTD Project Updates _____________ Jurisdictional Staff
  6.2. NMDOT Projects Update __________________________________________ NMDOT Staff
  6.3. MPO Staff Projects Update ________________________________________ MPO Staff
7. PUBLIC COMMENT ___________________________________________ __________ Chair
8. ADJOURNMENT__________________________________________________ Chair
The following are minutes for the meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) which was held February 4, 2016 at 4:00 p.m. in Commission Chambers at Dona Ana County Government Building, 845 Motel Blvd., Las Cruces, New Mexico.

MEMBERS PRESENT:  
John Gwynne (DAC Flood Commission)  
Mike Bartholomew (CLC Transit)  
Larry Shannon (Town of Mesilla)  
Harold Love (NMDOT)  
Eric Chavarria - proxy for Jolene Herrera (NMDOT)  
Debbie Lujan (Town of Mesilla)  
Rene Molina (DAC Engineering)  
Todd Gregory (LCPS)  
Tony Trevino (CLC Public Works)  
SooGyu Lee (CLC)

MEMBERS ABSENT:  
Stephen Howie (EBID)  
David Armijo (SCRTD)  
Bill Childress (BLM)  
Dale Harrell (NMSU)

STAFF PRESENT:  
Tom Murphy (MPO Staff)  
Andrew Wray (MPO Staff)  
Michael McAdams (MPO Staff)  
Zach Tarachi (MPO Staff)

OTHERS PRESENT:  
Becky Baum, RC Creations, LLC, Recording Secretary

1. CALL TO ORDER (4:00 p.m.)

Gwynne:  Good afternoon. This is the February 4th meeting of the Metropolitan Planning Organization for Mesilla Valley. Let’s get started. I believe we have a quorum.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Gwynne:  Let’s start with, with Approval of, of Agenda.

Wray:  Thank you Mr. Chair. We do have a note that I left the Election of Officers as an item on the agenda in error, so we’ll be striking that but other than that, that’s the only change to the agenda.

Gwynne:  Okay. So that then will then renumber everything else, is that correct?
Wray: Yes.

Gwynne: Okay. Let's go ahead and start with introductions before we go any further, before we do any motions or anything else. So let's start it all the way to the right. Please give your name and who you represent please.

Lee: SooGyu Lee, City of Las Cruces Traffic Engineering.

Love: Harold Love, New Mexico DOT.

Chavarria: Aaron Chavarria, New Mexico DOT.

Molina: Rene Molina, Dona Ana County.

Bartholomew: Mike Bartholomew, City of Las Cruces RoadRUNNER Transit.

Gwynne: John Gwynne, Dona Ana County Flood Commission.

Trevino: Tony Trevino, City of Las Cruces Public Works.

Lujan: Debbie Lujan, Town of Mesilla.

Shannon: Larry Shannon, Town of Mesilla.

Gwynne: Thank you very much. I'd like to entertain a motion at this point to approve the agenda as, as amended.

Bartholomew: I, I make that motion that we approve the agenda.

Love: Second.

Gwynne: It's been moved and seconded. All in favor?

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

4.1 January 7, 2016

Gwynne: Okay and let's move on to the Approval of the Minutes. Has everyone had a chance to go through the minutes? I think we've got a couple of, of things that we need to look at. Let's see. Mike had the one about the date.
Bartholomew: I don't know if there was an actual error in the recording of the minutes. I just think that the speaker had an error; it was from Mr. Armijo, from the RT, he was talking about the startup of the RTD. He said the second week of October and he, I just, it just, as clarification it was the second, it's the second week of February I'm sure he meant. Also I had a question of the MPO just as a, in the, in the minutes it reminded me that what was the, with the University Avenue corridor, was that information about the traffic study of the school added in to this, or comment about it into the study?

Wray: I believe so. Yes.

Bartholomew: Okay. Thank you.

Gwynne: Okay. Do we have any other comments or from the, from the, from the Board about the minutes? I'll entertain a motion that we approve them as amended.

Bartholomew: I, I move that we approve the, the minutes as amended.

Shannon: I second.

Gwynne: It's been moved and, and seconded. All those in favor?

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

Gwynne: Motion carries.

4. PUBLIC COMMENT

Gwynne: Do we have any public comment today? I don't see a rousing bunch of public so I guess that says no.

5. ACTION ITEMS

5.1 Amendments to the 2016-2021 Transportation Improvement Program

Gwynne: Let's move on to number five; Action Items, Amendments to the 2016-2021 Transportation Improvement Plan. And that's from staff.

ANDREW WRAY GAVE PRESENTATION.

Bartholomew: Thank you. And that's pretty much what it is. It's an obligated Federal Fiscal Year '15 and it's related to the fact that not all of the transportation funding was released until the last moment at, I believe in August for us to apply for it in time. So that was why it had to be rolled over, carried over.
Gwynne: Okay. Are there any questions from, from Committee? Hearing none I would, I'd entertain a motion to recommend approval to the Policy Committee for the, for the amendment to the TIP.

Love: So moved.

Molina: Second.

Gwynne: It's been moved and seconded. Do I have any other comments? All those in favor?

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

Gwynne: Opposed? Motion carries.

6. DISCUSSION ITEMS

6.1 Overview of Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act)

Gwynne: Okay. The next item, item number six is an Overview of Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act, the FAST Act by staff.

ANDREW WRAY GAVE PRESENTATION.

Gwynne: Are there any questions or comments from the Commission? Not seeing any. Thank you very much Andrew.

7. COMMITTEE AND STAFF COMMENTS

7.1 City of Las Cruces, Dona Ana County, Town of Mesilla, Las Cruces Public Schools, RoadRUNNER Transit, SCRTD Project Updates

Gwynne: Let's move on to the last item which is going to be the comments from each, comments and updates from each of the, each of the various jurisdictions. Let's start with the City of Las Cruces.

Trevino: The Las Cruces Dam Trails which is LC00190, 180 I apologize. The contracts have been signed by the contractor and the preconstruction packet has been submitted. Once the packet, we're just waiting for the packet to be approved by the Purchasing Department and a pre-con should be scheduled within the next ten days or so.

The El Paseo Road safety project, contracts are being executed at, at this time. The contractor has submitted the preconstruction packet and it is being reviewed. Once the preconstruction packet has been verified and the contract's fully executed the preconstruction meeting will be scheduled. And that is all.
Gwynne: Okay. Thank you. Dona Ana County.

Molina: For project G10030, that’s Dripping Springs, it’s still in construction again. You will like, see some delays as you progress through there. Project is on schedule and is anticipated to be done somewhere in the April-May time frame.

Project LC00110, working on right-of-way and environmental on that project. We got comments are from DOT and so we’re working through that. Thanks.

Gwynne: Thank you. Town of Mesilla.

Lujan: Town of Mesilla doesn’t have anything at this time.

Gwynne: Okay. Las Cruces Public Schools.

Gregory: All right. Yes. We’re still doing our pedestrian and bicycle safety education at the third grade level at school by school. We’re still continue our, our walk to school bus at our 18 elementary schools. We’re still working with updating the action plan with City staff and some of the coalition members and we’re also working on a presentation for the National Safe Routes to School Conference in April. Ashleigh Curry will be attending that. We’re still having our coalition meetings, having great discussion and moving forward. One of the other highlights that I noticed was, at, was that some of the schools had put on a "warm and cozy walk" with the students. They were encouraged to bring in you know knits and mittens and scarves for the schools and those were donated to school children there or to the local charities. And we’re also doing a Scorpion Bike Club at Picacho Middle School which is encouraging the middle school students to properly ride the bikes safely. And I think that’s it.

Gwynne: Thank you. RoadRUNNER Transit.

Bartholomew: In addition to the action that was taken regarding transit project TL0013 we’ll, I'll need to do another request for the next quarter to make, change that even a little bit further. TL0010 and TL0013 are actually the, the formula funding we get and it’s just the distribution between the, you know what we do for operating in, in one project number and capital projects in the other. And based on how the budgeting is going we’re just finishing that budgeting process in the City. I need to allocate more towards the operating side so I’ll be reducing the amount on the capital side. The total amount between those two projects will remain the same though.

Gwynne: Okay. Thank you. How about the South Central Regional Transit District?
7.2 NMDOT Projects Update

Gwynne: Let's move on to NMDOT.

Chavarria: On control number 1100470 which is our US-70 and Main Street, it's been substantially complete since November 2015. We're still working on some punch list items.

    On control number LC00100 which is I-25 over Missouri Avenue, we are, they're working on the northbound bridge, probably doing a deck placement next week or so. This project should be completed by June of this year.

    Control number 1100830 which is I-10 over Union, that one they are working on the eastbound bridges and it should be completed by May of this year.

    Control number 9900362 is our exit, I-10 exit 135 interchange lighting project, it was completed in, in December of 2015 and they're just working out some punch list items on that one.

    Control number LC00150 which is from mile marker, on I-10 mile marker 133 to 146, it's a mill and inlay full width of the roadway project on I-10. That one has a mandatory completion date of November 2016.

    And then we have some new projects coming up: Control number 1100620, that's our I-10 from mile marker 146 to the Texas line and we're going to be doing a mill and inlay on the two outside lanes. That one was just, we, a start day hasn't been determined and it's got a contract time of six months. And we have some striping projects going I-25 and I-10, control number 9900365 and 9900366 and we've just been doing all the, redoing all the striping on the interstates and that's district-wide and that's going to be a mandatory completion date of November 2016. And then we have a bridge on the NM-28 and then a bridge on NM-186 which is O'Hare Road, we're going to be replacing them. We still don't have a, a start date on those but it's going to be a four-month project. And that's it.

Gwynne: Okay. Thank you.

7.3 MPO Staff Projects Update

Gwynne: Let's move on to MPO staff.

Wray: Yes. Thank you Mr. Chair. We're continuing to advance in the work on the Missouri study corridor. We are looking to bring the work that we've done so far to this Committee, March meetings. We agreed on March didn't we? So we'll be bringing that to this Committee next month so
everyone mark a space on your calendars and be here. That can, I believe that is all that we have for this month.

8. PUBLIC COMMENT

Gwynne: Okay. Let's move on to item number eight: Public Comment. Seeing none.

9. ADJOURNMENT (4:25 p.m.)

Gwynne: I would entertain a motion for adjournment.

Bartholomew: Just before we go, it, was there a sign-up list for the Committee Members? It didn't, I didn't come by me.

Wray: It's up there.

Gwynne: There is.

Bartholomew: Okay. I, I move that we adjourn.

Gwynne: A second? Is there a second?

Trevino: Second that.

Gwynne: It's been moved and seconded. All in favor?

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

Gwynne: We're adjourned. Thank you all.

____________________________________
Chairperson
MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
DISCUSSION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF March 3, 2016

AGENDA ITEM:
5.1 Presentation on the Missouri Ave./Roadrunner Pkwy. Study Corridor

DISCUSSION:
Bohannan-Huston Staff will give a presentation on the ongoing Missouri Ave./Roadrunner Pkwy Study Corridor.
Missouri Avenue Corridor Study

Technical Advisory Meeting

March 3, 2016
Missouri Avenue Corridor Study

▲ Study Area
▲ Planning Process
▲ Purpose and Need
▲ Project Team / Stakeholders
▲ Alternatives
▲ Next Steps
Planning Process

▲ FHWA Planning Funds

▲ NMDOT Location Study Procedures Phase A

▲ Plan and Recommendations will be used to request funding

▲ Environmental, Design, and Construction Ahead
NMDOT Location Study Process

**Phase A**
- Initial Evaluation of Alternatives
  - Establish Purpose & Need
  - Establish Existing Conditions
  - Identify Potential Alternatives
  - Initial Evaluation of Alternatives

**Phase B**
- Detailed Evaluation of Alternatives
  - Engineering Investigations
  - Environmental Investigations
  - Conceptual Design
  - Detailed Evaluation of Alternatives

**Phase C**
- Environmental
  - Issues Identification
  - Alternative Solutions
  - Environmental Investigations
  - Environmental Documentation

**Public Outreach**
- NEPA Compliance
Purpose and Need

The purpose of the Missouri Avenue Corridor Study is to provide additional east/west multi-modal connectivity from Missouri Avenue or Roadrunner Parkway across vacant land to Sonoma Ranch Boulevard.

The NEED is based on the following:

▲ Lack of network connectivity in this area of Las Cruces

▲ Lack of pedestrian and bicycle facilities in the area
Project Team

▲ Mesilla Valley MPO
▲ NMDOT
▲ City of Las Cruces
▲ Doña Ana County
▲ Bureau of Land Management
▲ Las Cruces Public Schools
▲ NM Farm and Ranch Museum

Met in August of 2015 / September 2015 / January 2016
Stakeholder Input

▲ New Mexico Farm and Ranch
  – No conflict
  – Supports access to Museum
  – Appreciate bicycle/pedestrian facilities

▲ Adobehenge
  – Could connect bicycle/pedestrian facilities
  – No conflict
Stakeholder Input

Las Cruces Public Schools

- No immediate need for additional roadway access
- Would require additional traffic management
- Appreciate the bicycle/pedestrian access for students
**Opportunities**

▲ Multi-modal facilities
▲ Network Connectivity
▲ Supports NMFR
▲ Support from BLM
▲ Trail connection with Adobehenge

**Challenges**

▲ Potential conflicts with ATV’s on vacant land
▲ BLM land
▲ Topography/drainage
▲ Lack of funding
Missouri Avenue Corridor
Mesilla Valley MPO MTP Project Map

Legend
- Existing Limited Access
- Existing Principal Arterial
- Existing Minor Arterial
- Existing Collector
- Proposed Limited Access
- Proposed Principal Arterial
- Proposed Minor Arterial
- Proposed Collector
- Proposed Corridor
- Streets Background
- El Camino Real Corridor
- Las Cruces International Airport
- Rio Grande
- Unincorporated Areas
- New Mexico State University
- Mesilla Town Boundaries
- Las Cruces City Boundaries
- BLM Recreational Management Area
- BLM Wilderness Area
- BLM National Monuments
- Dona Ana County
Missouri Avenue Corridor
Mesilla Valley MPO Bicycle System Priorities Plan
Environmental Issues
Potential Alternatives to be Considered

▲ Roadway Typical
  – 2 travel lanes / bicycle lanes / multi-use trail

▲ Bicycle Boulevard
  – Trail to accommodate bicycles and pedestrians

▲ No Build
  – Do nothing at this time
Alternative 1: No Build
Alternative 2: Missouri Extension
Alternative 3: Roadrunner Extension
Alternative 4: MTP Build Scenario

Proposed Roads in the MTP
- Minor Arterial
- Collector
- Roadrunner Alternate 4
- Missouri Alternate 4
- Study Area
- US Bureau of Land Management
- Private Ownership
- Schools
- BLM Disposal Land
- NMSU Parcels
- Las Cruces City Limits

Minor Arterial Characteristics
- Trips of moderate length and speed
- Lower level of mobility than principal arterials
- More land access emphasis
- Local bus routes
- Intra-community continuity
- Generally does not penetrate identified neighborhoods
- Provide inter-county access

Collector Characteristics
- Lower degree of mobility than arterials
- Designed for shorter distances and lower speeds
- Typically two to three lane roads
- Collect and distribute traffic from the arterial system to local network
- Provide land access
- Provide traffic circulation with residential, commercial, and industrial
  areas

Source: City of Las Cruces, New Mexico, 2023

Bohannan Huston
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Alternative 5A: Missouri Northern
Alternative 5B: Roadrunner Northern
Alternative 5C: MTP Scenario Northern
Alternative 6: Bicycle/Pedestrian Connection
## Alternatives Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>1. No Build</th>
<th>2. Missouri Extension</th>
<th>3. Roadrunner Extension</th>
<th>4. MTP Build Scenario</th>
<th>5A. Missouri Northern</th>
<th>5B. Roadrunner Northern</th>
<th>SC. MTP Scenario Northern Route</th>
<th>6. Bicycle/Pedestrian Connection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose and Need</td>
<td>Enhanced transportation network connectivity and improved options for non-motorized travel</td>
<td>Does not meet purpose and need.</td>
<td>Fully meets purpose and need.</td>
<td>Provides additional connection to Sonoma Ranch Blvd, but route is somewhat redundant to Lopez Ave. Does not meet need of improved pedestrian and bicycle connections from Missouri Ave.</td>
<td>Fully meets purpose and need.</td>
<td>All three northern routes provide east-west connectivity to Sonoma Ranch Rd. The route does NOT meet the need of providing direct pedestrian and bicycle connectivity to Centennial HS for residents along Missouri Ave.</td>
<td>East-west connectivity needs are met for non-auto modes only.</td>
<td>Improved access for pedestrian and bicycle travel only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access</td>
<td>Multi-modal access to Centennial HS, New Mexico Farm &amp; Ranch Museum, Adobehenge, and to east Las Cruces</td>
<td>Does not provide improved access.</td>
<td>Provides direct access to major destinations within study area plus areas further east</td>
<td>Access to study area destinations is indirect through Sonoma Ranch Blvd from the east.</td>
<td>Provides direct access to major destinations within study area plus areas further east</td>
<td>Access to key destinations in the study area is somewhat improved, but less direct due to the more northern alignment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network Connectivity</td>
<td>Link Missouri Ave neighborhood to destinations to the east and improve network connections</td>
<td>Does not provide improved connectivity.</td>
<td>Provides east-west connectivity and new multi-modal links.</td>
<td>Provides east-west connectivity and new multi-modal links.</td>
<td>Provides east-west connectivity and new multi-modal links.</td>
<td>Provides east-west connectivity and new multi-modal links for neighborhoods west of Centennial HS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Presence of arroyos, natural land uses, or other topography that create engineering challenges</td>
<td>No impacts</td>
<td>Must cross a total of 4 arroyos. Arroyos can be a concern for the arroyo system on the north side of the Centennial HS campus.</td>
<td>Must cross 6-9 arroyos, including 2 arroyos near Centennial HS. In addition to 1 arroyo south of the Missouri Ave. There are 3-6 arroyos that must be crossed between the edge of the study area and the point where the route crosses the Missouri Ave extension.</td>
<td>Must cross a total of 8-11 arroyos. 4-7 arroyos must be crossed along Roadrunner Pkwy depending on the alignment.</td>
<td>Must cross a total of 8-11 arroyos (3-6 between the edge of the study area and the point where the route meets the proposed Missouri Ave extension.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Project does not create adverse impacts to residential neighborhoods</td>
<td>No additional impacts or benefits.</td>
<td>Potential to generate additional through traffic in existing Missouri Ave neighborhoods. There are no impacts to residences near Roadrunner Pkwy.</td>
<td>Some impacts to residents along proposed Roadrunner Parkway. No impacts to Missouri Ave neighborhoods.</td>
<td>Reduced impacts to Missouri Ave neighborhoods since route is designed as a collector that discourages through traffic. As an arterial, Roadrunner Pkwy is expected to draw higher number of trips.</td>
<td>Potential to generate additional through traffic in existing Missouri Ave neighborhoods</td>
<td>There is a positive impact to the community through increased pedestrian and bicycle access without additional vehicle travel.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency with Existing Planning Documents</td>
<td>Alternative is identified in the Mesilla Valley MPO's Transport 2040 Plan. The project is consistent with the goals and objectives of the MTP or is named in the Transportation, Bicycle System, or Trail System Priorities Plans.</td>
<td>Project remains on MTP, but implementation is postponed.</td>
<td>Missouri Ave extension is identified in the 2021-2030 years of the MTP.</td>
<td>Roadrunner Parkway is a proposed Minor Arterial in the MVMPD Future Thoroughfare Map</td>
<td>Both projects are identified in the MTP as part of the long-term roadway network.</td>
<td>The northern route is not an alignment identified in the 2040 MTP or the Mesilla Valley MPO Future Thoroughfare Map</td>
<td>Missouri Ave and Roadrunner Parkway are identified as bicycle system priorities by the Mesilla Valley MPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-of-Way</td>
<td>Length of roadway to be constructed. All routes are along land currently owned by BLM and would require leases. The City of Las Cruces owns the northernmost portion of the proposed Roadrunner Pkwy.</td>
<td>None required at this time.</td>
<td>1.2 miles</td>
<td>2.2 miles (Missouri Ave collector extension is 0.33 miles)</td>
<td>1.01 miles</td>
<td>1.56 miles</td>
<td>1.95 miles</td>
<td>1.2 miles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude of Costs</td>
<td>Magnitude of cost based on length and type of roadway plus the presence of topographic features such as arroyos.</td>
<td>No costs at this time.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate-High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low-Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next Steps

▲ TAC / BPAC / Policy Committee input
▲ Continue Coordination with Stakeholders
▲ Refine Alternatives for Consideration
▲ Second Public Meeting in Spring
▲ Consider Funding Options
▲ Finalize Study by mid-late 2016
Questions?
AGENDA ITEM:
5.2 Presentation on the Multi-Use Trail Loop

DISCUSSION:
MPO Staff will give a presentation on the ongoing work planning for the southern leg of the Multi-Use Trail Loop.
AGENDA ITEM:
6.1 City of Las Cruces, Doña Ana County, Town of Mesilla, Las Cruces Public Schools, RoadRUNNER Transit, and South Central Regional Transit District (SCRTD) Project Updates. Updates have been requested from the entities. The responses from the entities are included below.

ACTION REQUESTED:
Updates from the Jurisdictions regarding current projects

DISCUSSION:

City of Las Cruces Current Projects:
City of Las Cruces Staff did not provide a written update.

Doña Ana County Current Projects:
DAC Staff does not see any funding obligation or issues with agreements.

G100030: Reconstruction of Dripping Springs – construction continues and is on schedule

LC00110: Design and Construction for Intersection Realignment: El Camino Real at Doña Ana School Road – project engineers are revising ROW mapping based on NMDOT commentary

Town of Mesilla
No current projects

Las Cruces Public Schools
Las Cruces Public Schools Staff did not provide a written update.

RoadRUNNER Transit

In February 2016, the Policy Committee approved an amendment to TL00013 to adjust this project to add the unobligated portion on the FY2015 apportionment that had to be rolled over to FY2016. The City’s Transit Section will be requesting amendments to both TL00010 and TL00013. This is necessary because more of the FY2016 appropriation will need to be programmed for Transit operations and less for Transit Capital projects. On February 16, 2016, the FY 2016 full FTA apportionments were
published in the Federal Register. The Transit Section will be applying to FTA for projects using the FY 2016 funds once the amendment noted above has been approved into the STIP.
AGENDA ITEM:
6.2 NMDOT Projects Update. Updates were requested from NMDOT Staff. The responses from NMDOT have been included below.

ACTION REQUESTED:
Update from NMDOT regarding current projects

DISCUSSION:
1100820: Waiting on Notice to Proceed for Phase B with Molzen

LC00120: Looking at project to let in October 2016

LC00240: Kickoff meeting with Smith Engineering should be scheduled for next month

LC00250: Contract negotiations are ongoing with Molzen

LC00270: Kickoff meeting with Smith Engineering should be scheduled for next month