1 2 3	MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES ADVISORY COMMITTEE		
3 4 5 6 7 8	Advisory Co which was h	ommittee of t neld January	es for the meeting of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities he Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) 19, 2016 at 5:00 p.m. in Commission Chambers at Dona Analing, 845 Motel Blvd., Las Cruces, New Mexico.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MEMBERS	PRESENT:	George Pearson, Chair (City of Las Cruces Citizen Rep) Jolene Herrera (NMDOT) Ashleigh Curry (Town of Mesilla Citizen Rep) Andrew Bencomo (Ped. Community Rep) Lance Shepan (Mesilla Marshall's Department) Gabriel Rochelle (Bicycle Community Rep) Samuel Paz (Dona Ana County)
17 18 19 20 21	MEMBERS ABSENT: STAFF PRESENT:		Duane Bentley (Bicycle Community Rep) James Nunez (City of Las Cruces Rep) David Shearer (NMSU - Environmental Safety) Mark Leisher (DAC Citizen Rep)
22 23 24			Tom Murphy (MPO) Andrew Wray (MPO) Michael McAdams (MPO)
25 26 27	OTHERS P	RESENT:	Becky Baum, Recording Secretary, RC Creations, LLC
28 29	1. CALI	L TO ORDER	(5:00)
30 31	Pearson:	Okay. It's 5	:00 so I'll call the
32 33	PERSON IN	THE AUDIE	NCE SPEAKING, NOT AT THE MICROPHONE.
34 35 36 37 38	Pearson:	Advisory C introducing	ch, 2016 meeting of the MPO Bicycle and Pedestrians Facility committee to order. I think we'll start by every member themselves just so we know who's here. Why don't we start end over there?
39 40	Bencomo:	Andrew Ber	ncomo.
41 42	Pearson:	And the gro	up you represent.
43 44	Bencomo:	The pedestr	rian, Citizens' Pedestrian.
45 46	Pearson:	Thank you.	

1	Curry:	I would nominate George Pearson to be Chair.	
2 3 4	Rochelle:	Second.	
5	Murphy:	Who was the second please?	
7 8	Rochelle:	Rochelle.	
9 10	Murphy:	Okay. Are there any other nominations? Motion to, would, can I get a motion to close the nominations for Chair?	
11 12	Rochelle:	Motion.	
13 14	Bencomo:	Second.	
15 16 17 18	Murphy:	Okay. So the, the nominations are closed for Committee Chair. We have one, one nominee and I think we can ask for a vote of, by acclimation. All in favor?	
19 20	MOTION PA	ASSES UNANIMOUSLY.	
21 22 23	Murphy:	Any opposed "no?" Okay. Motion, all, the motion carries. Open the floor for a nomination for Vice Chair.	
24 25 26	Pearson:	I'll move Ashleigh Curry.	
27 28	Rochelle:	Second.	
29 30	Murphy:	Okay.	
31 32	Pearson:	I'll move to close the nominations.	
33 34	Rochelle:	Second.	
35 36	Murphy:	Any objections to closing? Okay. One, again one, one candidate. All in favor "aye."	
37 38 39	MOTION PA	TION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.	
40 41 42	Murphy:	Any opposed "no?" Okay. So we have George Pearson as Chair and Ashleigh Curry as Vice Chair once again. I'll turn the meeting back over to you Mr. Chair.	
43 44	4. APP	ROVAL OF MINUTES	
45 46	4.1	October 20, 2015	

1 2	Bencomo:	Mr. Chair. I have a question. That rolling stock is pretty clear. What is support? What is that?
2 3 4	Wray:	Basically that is operations support.
5 6	Bencomo:	Oh, like personnel.
7 8	Wray:	Basically what keeps everything running.
9 10	Pearson:	Secretaries and telephones and lights.
11 12	Wray:	Yeah. That, that sort of thing.
13 14	Bencomo:	Okay. Thank you.
15 16	Pearson:	I'll hear a motion to accept the TIP amendment as provided.
17 18	Herrera:	Move to approve.
19 20	Pearson:	Jolene
21 22	Herrera:	Jolene.
23 24 25	Pearson:	Moves.
26 27	Rochelle:	Second.
28 29	Pearson:	From Gabriel, second. All in favor, "aye."
30 31	MOTION PA	ASSES UNANIMOUSLY.
32 33	Pearson:	Any opposed? So we have approved that item.
34 35	7. DISC	USSION ITEMS
36 37	7.1	Unified Planning Work Program Update Presentation
38 39	Pearson:	Our next is a discussion item. Unified Planning Work Program update presentation.
40 41	TOM MURP	HY GAVE HIS PRESENTATION.
42 43	Pearson:	Any questions from Committee Members?
44 45 46	Herrera:	Mr. Chair.

1 2 Pearson: Even if it's, it might be worthwhile to set up some metrics where maybe pick a. a. a week or a month to do counting so that we can start 3 4 accumulating usage over a period of time. 5 I think, I think we, we tracked total number of usage as long as the drivers 6 Murphy: are reminded to input that key so it's an, it's an ongoing training issue and 7 8 I think again it's, it's something that it, you know but I'm with you on that. We want to capture all the data that we can that's useful for us. 9 10 Right. 11 Pearson: 12 Mr. Chair. May I ask a quick question? I'm, I'm not sure that this is 13 Curry: necessarily pertinent but it, it seems to relate at the time you're talking 14 about bus training. Is, is there any bus training that has to do with other 15 vulnerable users? I mean it, as far as the bus drivers, you talked about 16 them training counting and things but is there training that they do with 17 18 how to deal with cyclists, how to do it with pedestrian/school zones, that type of thing? 19 20 21 They do have an, they do have an ongoing training requirement with a Murphy: safety aspect in it so I do, I'm not aware of the current code curriculum 22 right but I will look into that ... 23 24 25 Curry: I'd appreciate it. 26 27 Murphy: And have an answer for you. 28 29 Curry: Okay. Thank you. 30 And last thing I have is I believe the State at a statewide level is going to 31 Pearson: do a bike plan and I don't know how they're going to divide it up but if they 32 do it kind of regionally would the MPO participate in that or how would that 33 work? Would that be on your list? 34 35 Yes. We would participate with the, the DOT on anything that they're 36 Murphy: working on in this, in this region. We do have a general line item within 37 the UPWP with our State and Federal coordination. I guess it depends 38 upon the, the intensity of the, of the effort whether it would, whether we 39 would have it listed or as a separate item or not. 40 41 Yeah. I don't know if the State's done the request for a proposal yet. Do 42 Pearson: you know anything about that? 43 44 Mr. Chair. We did already put out the RFP for the bike suitability map. Is 45 Herrera:

that the one that you're talking about?

divided up that way? Because if it was covering the whole thing then 1 2 you'd probably be there or almost there, closer anyway. 3 4 That's correct. If we cover, if we had covered all of Dona Ana County the, Murphy: the population of Dona Ana County's 209,000 as of the census. But they, 5 it's not, the, the MPOs are not picked on a county by county basis. 6 7 They're determined based on what the Census Department has classified 8 as an urbanized area and the urbanized area is so many consecutive, not 9 consecutive but I'm losing, losing the word. 10 11 Contiguous. Pearson: 12 13 Rochelle: Contiguous. 14 Thank you. Contiguous census block groups and tracts that reach a 15 Murphy: minimum population density threshold. Most, much of the southern Dona 16 Ana County area is contiguous with the urbanized area of El Paso. So 17 they're, they're in the El Paso MPO because they're part of a contiguous 18 urban area. Between us and the El Paso urbanized area we do have 19 some, some areas that are still rural so we don't, we're not contiguous with 20 those areas of Dona Ana County and then of course once we get north of, 21 22 north of Las Cruces it, it go, it gets rural very fast again and doesn't pick up again until Hatch so that's why we're at 155 rather than the 209. 23 24 Okay. Thank you. I learned my one new thing today. 25 Bencomo: 26 So going north the density drops significantly so then it becomes rural and 27 Pearson: 28 it doesn't pick up and so that's why we stop so short? We, we don't even 29 reach to Radium Springs, right? 30 31 That's correct. Murphy: 32 Doesn't even go, does it include, doesn't even include Dona Ana. 33 Pearson: 34 Yes it does. 35 Murphy: 36 Does it? It does include Dona Ana. Okay. I don't remember the map. 37 Pearson: 38 39 Mr. Chair. Herrera: 40 41 Pearson: Yes. 42 43 Herrera: If I can just add to that. So the, the RTPO, the Regional Transportation Planning Organization covers the northern part of Dona Ana County so 44 nothing's actually left out of planning. It's just not included in this MPO. 45

In allowing proxy appointees so if you know you're not going to make it 1 Pearson: you can assign a proxy to fulfill the, the requirement, the attendance need. 2 So I think we're quite flexible on allowing coverage and since, especially 3 4 since there wasn't a response I think ... 5 6 Curry: I put forth a motion to remove if you're at that point. 7 8 Well it's not, it's only a discussion item. Pearson: 9 Oh, it's only a discussion item. All right. 10 Curry: 11 12 Pearson: But ... 13 Mr. Chair. Another comment I have if you don't mind. So yeah I, I can 14 Bencomo: see what, it sounds like if he's made one and missed four that sounds like 15 he's not attending or not planning to attend. If, if somebody were to miss, 16 cause now you're talking as if 75% of, of a certain number of meetings at 17 18 the beginning or is it for in a calendar year and then, so if I come and I miss two meetings but then I come to every other meeting after, for six 19 months and then I miss another one and then come to every other, I, you 20 could still possibly make 75% throughout the course of the year. 21 22 23 Right. And if you told us you were going to miss one ... Pearson: 24 25 Bencomo: Right. 26 27 We would recognize .... Pearson: 28 29 Bencomo: But if .... 30 31 Pearson: And it wouldn't .... 32 Yeah, if there's no communication from them..... 33 Bencomo: 34 35 Pearson: Right. 36 37 And you've tried to contact them and they've missed this many meetings Bencomo: seems obvious to me they're not. I think we could make the assumption 38 that they're not going to attend 75% even going, this is January so. 39 40 So the, the Bylaws section is on the screen so I think it's pretty clear. 41 Pearson: 42 It is a 12-month period not a calendar year so I think we always 43 Murphy: interpreted that as a rolling 12-month period. 44 45

46

Pearson:

Right.

1 Right. Pearson: 2 3 Bencomo: And so I, I would suggest that we look for somebody new. Especially 4 since you've tried to make contact and haven't been able to. Thank you. 5 6 Pearson: Okay. 7 8 7.3 **BPAC Quorum, Bylaw Amendment Discussion** 9 10 Pearson: So we'll go on to the next item which is kind of continuation: The quorum needed to have a meeting. I think this was just kind of a side effect of the, 11 12 my discussion with staff over this position and right now a quorum requires 13 a majority of, of members. So if we have an even number of members sitting we needed a half plus one. So I wonder if, and during the summer, 14 15 later in the summer we have TIP amendments and things. Sometimes we have difficulty getting a quorum. I wonder if it's worth pursuing changing 16 that to be simply half rather than a majority. Open that to discussion if 17 that's a good idea or, or we should just let this go by. 18 19 20 Murphy: Mr. ... oh sorry. 21 22 Herrera: Mr. Chair. The only issue I see is that if there's a split vote but I guess by nature then that fails, right, if a motion is made and there's a split vote then 23 24 the motion fails. 25 But we would have that problem with, depending on who's present 26 Pearson: 27 anyway. 28 29 Herrera: That's true. 30 31 Pearson: Well we have seven today but ... 32 33 Curry: Mr. Chair. 34 35 Pearson: Seven out of 12. 36 37 How many Committee Members do we have, or should we have with a Curry: full, with a full house? 38 39 40 Pearson: Ten. 41 42 Mr. Chair. It's 11. Wray: 43 44 Shepan: It's 11. 45

Eleven? So I can't count

46

Pearson:

that we did make quorum but so the, while we haven't missed a meeting 1 we've gone to some extreme lengths in order to make sure that we did 2 3 have, we did, were able to meet. 4 5 Pearson: Um .... 6 7 Curry:

Would, would there be something that we could do that people need to give a week's notice or something like that if they know that they're not going to be able to be at a meeting so that there's time? I mean obviously they should be sending a proxy but if they were to give a week's notice and say, "I know I'm going to be out of town. I can't be at that." It would give a little bit more time but, and "I can't find a proxy," for another person to be added, the, added to the attendance.

Mr. Chair, Ms. Curry. Effectively I guess the, the agenda notification would serve as that. I have not explicitly used that vocabulary in the notices. I'm not sure if I ever did it for BPAC. I know I do it for TAC because we were having problems with TAC a number of years ago, two years ago about, we were having quorum problems. I can start putting that vocabulary into the BPAC, probably not the agenda since that goes out to everyone but the notification that the packet is on the website. I can certainly put vocabulary asking people to notify us if they're not going to be

here and send a proxy if at all possible.

And Mr. Chair. I, if I can remember the, there was one time where we didn't have quorum and we had TIP amendments to approve so we had to call another special meeting and it was a really big deal trying to get everyone together on a different day so I do remember only one time but it was a really important meeting.

Yeah cause we would be holding up the TIP amendments which are, it's Pearson:

money. So ...

Herrera: Right, money in the MPO area.

36 Pearson: So we ... 37

Mr. Chair.

39 40 Well let's, staff go. Pearson:

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33 34

35

38

41

42

43

44 45

46

Wray:

Herrera:

Bencomo:

Oh. I just wanted to kind of add some information. We did change the Murphy: guorum for the TAC. Quorum on TAC is seven out of 15 members so Robert's Rules of Order allows the Committee to set its own quorum at

less than a majority so ...

could, we could attempt, we could, we could try sending out a calendar appointment if, if that's what the Committee wants to do but I, I just wanted to let you know there is a mechanism already in, in place that I've been using with the TAC that has worked fairly, I mean obviously you're not going to get 100%. People say they'll be there and then stuff happens and, and so forth so even, even doing the calendar appointment would not necessarily cover all eventualities but with, with the TAC it has been fairly successful with my just asking them to give me the input and reply back which I think does to some extent engage the member in question a little bit more because they have to actually send back the response to me regarding their absence. So I just wanted to offer that up as my experience with the other committee.

Herrera:

Bencomo:

Yeah.

16 Bencomo:

Correct. Which is for all intents and purposes what a response on a calendar appointment would be.

1 2

Curry: Well ...

 Yes or no and, and then not only that but then you could go, we would probably have access to that calendar that, that day at least and we could look at it and we ourselves could also see who's coming and who's not coming. So it's a, just more information for the Committee but I'm good with any direction we go.

Wray:

Curry:

The, Mr. Chair. The City uses Outlook. I don't know whether or not the, I don't know what, what softwares each one of you is using. I don't know that you could necessarily see who is or is not going to be there. If you're using Outlook I don't believe that's going to be the case, just FYI.

May I add one more thing? I think you know just devil's advocate and again I'm like Mr. Bencomo. I think either way is fine with me. If we want to move to five that's fine. But I think if you require a response in some way or another, not just "Let me know if you're not going to be here," "Tell me that you are going to be here." You can weed out if somebody's email's changed or they're not getting the messages cause if they don't get the message to let you know that they're not going to be there then you'll get no response whereas if you ask for a positive response and you get nothing, then you might know to look and say, "Okay. If they're not getting my e-mails, I've tried you know every month and we're not hearing back from this person perhaps there's another way to contact them."

Wray: Mr. Chair, Ms. Curry. I have not done that, that with TAC because I don't

want to be flooded with e-mails. It is a larger committee. We, I could do that with this one since it's smaller. Although if they're not getting my e-

Okay. "Each, each representative from ... Murphy: 1 2 3 Rochelle: Don't put that in the minutes. 4 A represented entity may appoint an alternate to the Committee to serve 5 Murphy: when the appointment, Member cannot attend. The represented entity 6 shall submit a letter to the MPO Officer designating the alternate Member." 7 8 All that meaning says send me, Andrew, Michael an e-mail who's going to 9 be sitting at the meeting for you. 10 And that is accepted practice. So long as you .... 11 Pearson: 12 13 Murphy: That is the ... 14 15 I think ... Pearson: 16 17 Accepted practice in the digital age. Murphy: 18 An hour or two ahead of the meeting it is, even makes, makes the, the 19 Pearson: 20 rules work. 21 22 Rochelle: Okay. Thank you. 23 24 Okay. I think we've solved that one. Pearson: 25 26 **Bicycle Loop Adjustment Discussion** 7.4 27 On to, it's Bicycle Loop Adjustment Discussion and when I first saw it I 28 Pearson: was. I was thinking of the loop indicators in, for traffic but it is instead the, 29 the loop trail around the city. 30 31 ANDREW WRAY GAVE HIS PRESENTATION. 32 33 Mr. Chair, Mr. Chair, Mr. Wray. I support the idea of going through NMSU 34 Curry: and using the underpass when that's constructed. I do think that 35 alleviates, there's a lot of, a lot going on on University Avenue and for a 36 37 cyclist I don't really see much opportunity to put in a really safe bike path and you have a really nice facility that goes, the Triviz Trail, the outfall 38 channel, the La Llorona, all of that connects really well. So you know for 39 the use of the trail by families, I think putting them on University is not a 40 very viable option at this, not that I can envision so I support the, in favor 41 of going through the university and finding alternate loops. 42 43 Mr. Chairman. I'd like to add my support to that too as someone who rides 44 Rochelle: that road, that section of the city all the time it, it, University is, I can't 45 imagine that University can be altered for the long haul to be friendly to 46

Both, both statements are correct. Both of them have been the vision at 1 Wray: 2 different points in time. We're, we're hoping as part of this process to nail 3 that down more concretely going forward as to what that, that vision 4 should be. 5 6 Bencomo: Okay. And part of the reason I'm asking the question is because we know 7 that there, work on University's going to happen between Main and 28 8 which would create a great new ... 9 10 Pearson: Right. 11 12 Section but then the disconnection up here so I'm just, if we go through Bencomo: campus which I'm not opposed to. I think it's a great idea especially if 13 they're going to extend underneath University with Triviz and either go 14 down Wells or all the way out to Cholla, then you end up out on Stern 15 going down Cholla or, and, either that or you come back around on Sam 16 Steele then you end up on Union again. So that's why I was wondering 17 where the original thought was if it was going to go to Union cause either 18 19 way it's going to lead you back to Union. 20 21 Mr. Chair, Mr. Wray. And if I may add what, can we look at alternatives Curry: that it doesn't necessarily have to follow a road? For example the outfall 22 channel follows irrigation ditches. Could we hop on that irrigation ditch 23 whatever it's called behind Whiskey Dick's that would theoretically go all 24 25 the way through into Mesilla so you would just cross over the light that intersects basically at I-10 and Union where Stern hits Union? 26 27 28 We could, except that ditch doesn't go to, it heads south. It doesn't go to Bencomo: 29 Mesilla. I've run on that before. 30 But the one I've, I, the one I've run on goes to Mesilla. So it would go up 31 Curry: behind Zia and continue on behind Zia and basically you could, you could 32 get your way to Calle de Sur or Calle del Norte from that one. 33 34 35 Bencomo: Correct. That's the ... 36 37 Curry: So you could be off ... 38 But that's not the same one that's behind Whiskey Dick. 39 Bencomo: 40 41 Curry: Oh, is it ... 42

43

44

Pearson:

Yeah.

We'll, we'll try and get EBID involved in the process and obviously NMSU Wray: 1 2 is going to need to be heavily involved in the ... 3 4 Pearson: Right. 5 6 Wray: Process as well. 7 8 Because it'll, that's going to be a congested area getting across campus Pearson: and then figuring out how to get it underneath the interstate. Might require 9 10 just going out into the interchange or something. I don't know ... 11 12 Bencomo: Mr. Chair. 13 14 I think, I think a new hole underneath the interstate would be a big deal. Pearson: 15 Mr. Chair. I do, I, I do agree though coming off of University especially 16 Bencomo: between the interstate and Union/El Paseo, the foot traffic there is so 17 heavy and mixing bicycles and foot traffic that's crossing back and forth 18 under campus and bicycle traffic going this way, it's, it's going to be, it can 19 be very congested. So I think going into campus is a great idea, 20 somewhere farther to the south. 21 22 Yeah. I think ideally a facility like the outfall channel, like the La Llorona 23 Pearson: 24 Trail, a what is it, 12-foot asphalt multiuse facility is the ultimate goal for the loop path and then connecting down to the river and that part would 25 become part of the Rio Grande Trail probably. 26 27 28 Wray: Could be. 29 30 And then maybe NMSU's ability to pull funds from the state legislature Bencomo: would kick into place too. Who knows? 31 32 33 Herrera: Mr. Chair. 34 35 Pearson: Yes. 36 37 Can I make a comment? They're actually related to that comment. It's Herrera: actually a very timely place to mention that our, it's still called 38 Transportation Alternatives Program and our Rec Trails Program, we're 39 40 looking at doing a call for projects this spring, applications due August or September of this year for Fiscal Years '18 and '19 so if we could finish 41 this in a timely manner maybe we can get some of the entities involved to 42 43 apply for some of those funds. 44 45 So this would be new funding from the Transportation cause the Rio Pearson:

46

Grande Trail Commission meeting there was a discussion that the RTP,

1 2 3	Pearson;	Because where that project ends we need to continue that to wherever it makes the turn down towards the river.
5 6 7	Herrera:	Right. And as far as I know it's, it's very preliminary but those discussions have taken place with NMSU to make sure that when we do connect under we're not just sort of dumping people with no place to go.
8 9	Pearson:	Right. Yeah that's
10 11	Herrera;	So
12 13 14	Pearson:	We don't have our NMSU rep here today but that's, obviously that planning effort is very important. So the feel really is to keep our concentration this go-round on this project.
15 16	Wray:	Yes Mr. Chair.
17 18 19 20	Pearson:	So at our, as we continue this you're going to bring us some more maps
21 22	Wray:	Yes. Definitely.
23 24	Pearson:	And we're going to look more closely and
25 26	Wray:	Yes.
27 28	Pearson:	And maybe
29 30	Wray:	You'll be hearing a lot about this the next couple of months.
31 32	Pearson:	Okay. Do I have any other questions or discussion for this item right now?
33 34	8. COM	MITTEE AND STAFF COMMENTS
35 36	8.1	MPO Staff Update: University and Missouri Study Corridors Update
37 38 39	Pearson:	Let's go on to our next one: Committee and Staff Comments. So we have MPO staff update right now.
40 41 42 43 44 45	Wray:	Thank you Mr. Chair. I'm pleased to announce that the Policy Committee last Wednesday approved the Phase A study of the University Avenue corridor. It's, quite pleased about that. I've worked on that for nearly a year, year-ish, about a year to get that done. We do have two, two recommended alternatives to take forward to a potential Phase B so we're hoping to be able to do that.

1 2 Mr. Chair. I'm sorry, can I make a quick comment just cause it's in my Bencomo: 3 head right now? The, so La Llorona at, the, the north piece that they just redid. I've run on that several times. It's great. It's a, it's a nice path that 4 5 they built there. Just to, to, I wanted to throw this out there before I forget. In the future we may need to look at from Picacho south because that one, 6 the way it's built it's a great path when it's, and I know we don't get that 7 8 much rain here but when it rains it floods. It's, it's, it's side, end to end is just covered with water and deep too so when we tried to run the Turkey 9 Trot that day it, we were splashing through water and running through the 10 weeds and the grass to get around multiple puddles so that, that may 11 have to be something in the future to look at so I, I'm sorry. I just wanted 12 to throw that out before I forgot. 13 14 15 Okay. Dona Ana County do you have anything on any projects that we Pearson: 16 know about? 17 Yes. We have roadway improvement projects occurring along Baylor 18 Paz: Canyon and Dripping Springs. Construction has started and is, and is 19 scheduled to be completed by the end of May. Engineering staff has 20 reported that there is considerations for bike lanes along those projects. 21 22 Yeah. That was part of the design as I recall. Town of Mesilla have 23 Pearson: 24 anything to ... 25 26 Shepan: No sir. 27 28 Pearson: And no NMSU here. 29 30 **NMDOT Projects update** 8.3 31 We'll go on to the next one: NMDOT. 32 Pearson: 33 Mr. Chair. I'll run quickly through the construction projects and then we 34 Herrera: can talk about some of the other stuff if you would like. 35 The North Main project, I'm not going to give any more deadlines 36 because we keep missing them. They're done with the major work. 37 They're just doing a few minor things here and there so ... 38 39 40 I saw new orange barrel complaints in Sound Off. Pearson: 41 Right. Hopefully we should be done soon. I'm just going to leave it at 42 Herrera: 43 that. There's some work going on on I-10 between Jackrabbit and I-10/I-44 25 so you'll notice that they're working on the westbound lanes. When 45 they hit the traffic control for the Union/Ramp E they will stop and then 46

1 2 3 4		that's all been worked out so they're moving quickly on that one. We hope to get everything put back together soon.
	Curry:	And that includes the Triviz Trail, the bike
5 6 7	Herrera:	Yes.
8 9	Curry:	Or the multiuse path.
10 11	Herrera:	Yeah. We'll put that back
12 13	Curry:	Great.
14 15	Herrera:	As is, or as it was before. And those are all the construction projects in town I believe. Are there any questions on those?
16 17 18 19	Pearson:	Was there public notice, or press release on the traffic control when you changed for the Union bridge project to close Conway and, because I missed it then.
20 21 22 23 24 25	Herrera:	Yeah. There was. We, we really need to check on, I think the distribution lists, might have got messed up somehow. So if you're not receiving those e-mails let me know. Now I know that you're not. Just to make sure.
26 27	Curry:	I'm not either.
28 29	Herrera:	Okay,
30 31	Curry:	No.
32 33 34	Pearson:	Yeah. I think, well I haven't gotten any since MPO wasn't allowed to forward anymore.
35 36	Herrera:	Okay.
37 38 39	Pearson:	And I didn't see it in the paper and I went, was heading south and had to go the
40 41	Rochelle:	Yeah. Same thing.
42 43 44	Herrera:	Yeah. Maybe they're just being sent internally which doesn't seem likely. I don't
45	Pearson:	I read the paper and I would think

So that's still going to be an eligible expenditure or eligible project I guess 1 Herrera: 2 under TAP. It's still going to be called TAP. Pretty much we haven't 3 changed anything in our guidebooks so the same eligibilities and criteria 4 still apply. The same goes for the Rec Trails Program. So we're just 5 making sure that we reference the new, the new transportation bill, double-checking a few things before we put the guides out. But pretty 6 7 much nothing has really changed as far as criteria and eligibility. Some of 8 the, I guess the, the process for how to apply for funding has changed. 9 We hope to make it a little bit easier as far as getting approvals from 10 commissions or city councils and things because that sometimes can be cumbersome especially when you're on a crunched timeline and some city 11 12 councils and county commissions only meet once a month or, so it's a little hard. So we're looking at some of that stuff but really not a whole lot's 13 changed. The funding amount seems to be about the same so we'll have 14 15 just a little over \$5 million in TAP and then probably about \$2 million in Rec Trails that will be ... 16 17 18 Curry: And will there be application openings every year? Is that the 19 anticipation? 20 21 No. We actually are funding on a two-year cycle .... Herrera: 22 23 Curry: Okay. 24 25 Herrera: Now and that's really to help local governments to phase the project in a 26 way, in a way that makes them successful so we've been doing design in 27 the first fiscal year and construction in the second fiscal year. That way they don't have like five months to design everything and go out to 28 29 construction. It just wasn't working that way. So we program two fiscal 30 years out. 31 32 Curry: Okay. Thank you. 33 34 Pearson: And for non-construction projects they're, they're just handled for two 35 years anyways. 36 37 Herrera: Right. Yeah. And the contracts are actually, well the funds expire so you have the year of award plus three years after so I mean technically 38 39 construction could take up to three years after the award if that gives you 40 some indication. That's the same for the programs as well. 41 42 And can you go over again what you're expecting the, the timeline for the Pearson:

Sure. This morning at our staff meeting we had talked about having the

guidebook finalized hopefully by mid-March at the latest. We would

next phase, that's ...

43

44

45

46

Herrera:

1	Herrera:	Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	Pearson:	(Inaudible)
7 8 9 10 11 12	Herrera	Yeah. Are there any other questions on that? No? Mr. Chair I do have just one more update to follow up on the University study that was just completed, or approved by the Policy Committee. I have been talking to Trent and Harold from District 1 and we have agreed to seek funding for additional phases of that project I guess. So we're looking for funding for Phase B all the way up through final design and we're looking at possibly funding a project in the STIP. We don't have a fiscal year yet but, so sorry can't tell you that but
13 14 15	Pearson:	But that's good news. That's a project that has been desired for many years.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Herrera:	Yes. And I think, yeah Trent is making a, an effort to I guess follow-up on more of the things that the MPO's started so.
	Rochelle:	One more question. When you say 14.5% for both programs for TAP and the Recreational program, what's the base out of which this 14.5% is reckoned? What is that? I, I'm not sure what that means.
23 24 25 26 27	Pearson:	Well if you have a \$100,000 project, you want to build a, a trail for \$100,000 whoever is acquiring, who's sponsoring the project so if the City of Las Cruces, let's say they're spending \$100,000 to extend La Llorona. The City has to come up with \$14,565 thousand, right.
28 29 30	Rochelle:	Ah, okay. All right. That's very helpful. Now I get it.
31 32	Pearson:	So that would be the local match part of the project.
33 34	Rochelle:	Got it.
35 36 37	Pearson:	Okay. So do we have any Committee Member comments want to make? Any questions or comments?
38 39	Bencomo:	Mr. Chair.
40 41	Pearson:	Yes.
42 43 44 45 46	Bencomo:	I always have a comment sorry. And I didn't know how to take your comment a while ago when you said something about being ignorant and then Mr. Bencomo I'm like, (inaudible) I don't know how to take that. Anyway I, I, I had mentioned this I guess probably first or second meeting and I would, I'm bringing it up again. Looking at the, the trail map that we

1		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Pearson:	Yes, maybe just pick on one instead of trying to do too much. Maybe the trail priorities should be the one, first emphasis on the loop. Once we're done with the loop then we can start looking at other things. Another question I guess for you Jolene is on the TAP and the RTP, we're talking about the loop trail. Both funds could be used for that, isn't that right? And how do you pick how to apply?
9 10 11 12 13 14	Herrera:	It really depends. The TAP program is in its name: Transportation Alternatives so it's really meant for people who are more commuting or those kinds of things. Obviously it can be used for recreation but it's really to, to transport people whereas Rec Trails is more like it says for recreation so along the river or
15 16	Pearson:	Of course we've used
17 18	Herrera:	Something
19 20	Pearson:	The TAP funds
21 22	Herrera:	Right.
23 24	Pearson:	For those projects.
25 26	Herrera:	Yes.
27 28	Pearson:	The outfall channel and La Llorona.
29 30	Herrera:	Because you can make the argument that people use them
31 32	Pearson:	Right.
33 34 35 36 37	Herrera:	For commuting or, yeah to get around the city. So it's, it's really hard sort of to distinguish. It's really a matter of where you feel like you can have a better chance of getting funds. And some local governments have applied for both simultaneously.
38 39 40	Pearson:	Do they go, do, whoever reviews these, do they know that that's happened?
41 42	Herrera:	Yes.
42 43 44	Pearson:	So, cause it
44 45 46	Herrera:	So it's in the same area. The, the difference is that the Rec Trails projects are picked by the Rec Trails Board and it's a coalition of different people

1 2 Pearson: And, NMSU 3 4 Curry: Or even .... 5 6 Pearson: Might do ... 7 8 Curry: If, yeah. 9 10 Extension underneath, the Triviz extension and then maybe the City'll look Pearson: 11 at doing like they did for the outfall channel, connect between. 12 13 Right. It's just really a matter of how much funding each local government Herrera: 14 is able to match. 15 16 Curry: Correct, yeah. Are there any other grants that are able to, to help with that 17 match? Sort of seem to remember something about that on a webinar 18 that I watched about that funding. 19 20 There are. The only stipulation really is that you can't match federal funds Herrera: 21 with other federal funds but you can use state funding so if, if somebody 22 was to use their local government road fund which is also a DOT program 23 but it's, it's the state funding portion, some local governments do use that 24 to match federal grants, you can also apply for funding through the 25 legislature. There's several state funding sources that you can apply as a match ... 26 27 28 Curry: Okay. 29 30 Toward your (inaudible). Herrera: 31 32 Curry: Cause I'm thinking some of these entities may not have the money, the 33 14.56% so if they could use a different grant and match it, and then how 34 does that work out if those grants are sort of being applied for simultaneously you know and you don't get the one that you were hoping 35 36 for to match but you receive the other one and you can't do it. Do you 37 decline that money or how does that end up working? 38 39 Herrera: Yes. When, when we send out award letters most of them have language 40 "If you cannot use this funding please let us know so that we can reallocate it." So. 41 42 43 Curry: Okay.

1 2 Herrera: To sort of coordinate that ... 3 4 So if the .... Pearson: 5 6 Within themselves. Herrera: 7 8 Pearson: Committee we decide we would like to see this project, we could do a 9 recommendation to the Policy Committee that they recommend to their 10 entities that that's a good project. 11 Right. Because that Board has, well all three entities minus NMSU but I'm 12 Herrera: sure they can get them involved. 13 14 Okay. Yeah and I didn't necessarily mean the, the MPO would actually be 15 Bencomo: 16 doing all that. 17 18 Herrera: Right. 19 20 They're, they're probably like, "Oh my God what's he trying to do to us?" I Bencomo: just meant more they're that link that brings these communities together 21 22 and so, the common link so. 23 24 Herrera: Right. And those, those links are already set up I think through this Committee, through the TAC Committee, and then through the Policy 25 26 Committee so. 27 28 Bencomo: Okay. Thank you. 29 Yeah. If we made a recommendation like that it would go to the TAC also, 30 Pearson: 31 riaht? 32 33 Wray: Yes. 34 35 Okay. Any other Committee Members have comments? I guess I'll have Pearson: 36 a couple of comments. The Rio Grande Trail Commission had their meeting down here, seemed very successful. I attended it. Their, the big 37 announcement that I got out of it was the, the RTP funds that are available 38 39 will be used towards a overall planning guide for the, the trail. So that would, that's a really important step. The trail itself is going to go, is 40 planned from the Texas border to the Colorado border and it's, can be a 41 great economic driver, tourism, think Appalachian trail, things like that. 42 The other thing is on August 23rd of, April 23rd of this year is the 43 New Mexico Bike Summit which will be hosted here in Las Cruces. It'll be 44 at the WIA Building. Everybody's certainly encouraged to participate. 45 There'll be more information. It's nmbikeed.org is the website for the 46

1 2	Wray:	Time with the nuance of that but it was a conscious decision to do so.
2 3 4	Rochelle:	I get it.
5	Pearson:	Is the meeting schedule on there?
7 8	Wray:	Yes.
9 10	Rochelle:	The meeting schedule's on, yes.
11 12 13	Pearson:	Okay. I didn't, I was looking for that. So that's, also we should say when our next meeting is before we adjourn which is next month, right?
14 15	Wray:	February but I don't know what the date is.
16 17	Pearson:	Third Tuesday.
18 19	Wray:	Yeah. Third, third Tuesday of February.
20 21	Pearson:	Okay. We'll try again. Motion to adjourn?
22 23	Shepan:	So moved.
24 25	Pearson:	Any
26 27	Curry:	Second.
28 29	Pearson:	All in favor, "aye."
30 31	MOTION PA	ASSES UNANIMOUSLY.
32 33	Pearson:	We're adjourned.
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Chairperson	PZ