SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004 PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155 http://mesillavalleympo.org

# MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

#### **AGENDA**

The following is the agenda for the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) Technical Advisory Committee meeting to be held on **April 7, 2016 at 4:00 p.m.** in the **Doña Ana County Commission Chambers, 845 Motel**, Las Cruces, New Mexico. Meeting packets are available on the Mesilla Valley MPO website.

The Mesilla Valley MPO does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, color, ancestry, serious medical condition, national origin, age, or disability in the provision of services. The Mesilla Valley MPO will make reasonable accommodation for a qualified individual who wishes to attend this public meeting. Please notify the Mesilla Valley MPO at least 48 hours before the meeting by calling 528-3043 (voice) or 1-800-659-8331 (TTY) if accommodation is necessary. This document can be made available in alternative formats by calling the same numbers list above. Este documento está disponsible en español llamando al teléfono de la Organización de Planificación Metropolitana de Las Cruces: 528-3043 (Voz) o 1-800-659-8331 (TTY).

1.	CALL TO ORDER	_ Chair
2.	APPROVAL OF AGENDA	_ Chair
3.	APPROVAL OF MINUTES	_ Chair
	3.1. March 3, 2016	
4.	PUBLIC COMMENT	_ Chair
5.	ACTION ITEMS	
	5.1. Recommendation of approval of FFY 2017 and FFY 2018 UPWP	O Staff
6.	DISCUSSION ITEMS	
	6.1. Discussion of the TAP/Rec Trails Application Process	OT Staff
<b>7.</b>	COMMITTEE and STAFF COMMENTS	
	7.1. City of Las Cruces, Doña Ana County, Town of Mesilla, Las Cruces Public School RoadRUNNER Transit, and SCRTD Project Updates	
	7.2. NMDOT Projects Update	OT Staff
	7.3. MPO Staff Projects Update (Multi-Use Loop Trail, EBID Laterals)	O Staff
8.	PUBLIC COMMENT	_ Chair
9.	ADJOURNMENT	Chair

1 2 3	MI		LEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION ECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE	
4 5 6 7	The following are minutes for the meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) which was held March 3, 2016 at 4:00 p.m. in Commission Chambers at Doña Ana County Government Building, 845 Motel Blvd., Las Cruces, New Mexico.			
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MEMBERS	PRESENT:	John Gwynne (DAC Flood Commission) Mike Bartholomew (CLC Transit) Larry Shannon (Town of Mesilla) Harold Love (NMDOT) Jolene Herrera (NMDOT) Debbi Lujan (Town of Mesilla) Tony Trevino (CLC Public Works) SooGyu Lee (CLC) Stephen Howie (EBID) Bill Childress (BLM) Luis Marmolejo (DAC)	
20 21 22 23	MEMBERS ABSENT:		David Armijo (SCRTD) Dale Harrell (NMSU)	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	STAFF PRESENT:		Tom Murphy (MPO Staff) Andrew Wray (MPO Staff) Michael McAdams (MPO Staff) Zach Tarachi (MPO Staff)	
28 29 30 31	OTHERS P	RESENT:	Aaron Sussman, Bohannan Huston Kristen Woods, Bohannan Huston Becky Baum, RC Creations, LLC, Recording Secretary	
32 33	1. CALI	1. CALL TO ORDER (4:00 p.m.)		
34 35 36 37 38 39	Gwynne:	order the N	noon. We're ready to get, get this meeting started and call to Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization Technical ommittee meeting for April the 3rd, March the 3rd 2016. Sorry, f myself.	
40	Wray:	I missed a n	nonth.	
41 42 43 44	Gwynne:		So first of all the first order of business is let's do a roll call; far, let's start down here with Jolene and move around to my	
45 46	Herrera:	Good aftern	oon. Jolene Herrera, NMDOT.	

1		
1 2 3	Lee:	SooGyu Lee, City of Las Cruces.
4 5	Childress:	Bill Childress, Bureau of Land Management.
6	Marmolejo:	Luis Marmolejo, Doña Ana County Planning.
7 8	Love:	Harold Love, New Mexico DOT.
9 10	Lujan:	Debbi Lujan, Town of Mesilla.
11 12	Wray:	You're not on.
13 14	Shannon:	Larry Shannon, Town of Mesilla.
15 16	Gwynne:	John Gwynne, Doña Ana County Flood Commission.
17 18	Trevino:	Tony Trevino, City of Las Cruces Public Works.
19 20	Bartholomev	w: Mike Bartholomew, City of Las Cruces RoadRUNNER Transit.
21 22	Howie:	Stephen Howie, Elephant Butte Irrigation District.
23 24 25 26	Gwynne:	Very good. I wanted to make just a real quick announcement before we move real in, into the agenda. Soo I believe you're now the representative for the Roads Department for the City, is that correct?
27 28	Lee:	Yes, correct.
29 30 31 32	Gwynne:	Okay. So would you pronounce your name for me because I'm not, I'm not good at it.
33	Lee:	Soo, S-O-O.
34 35	Gwynne:	No, the, the whole name, Soo
36 37	Lee:	Whole name is SooGyu, that's my first name.
38 39	Gwynne:	SooGyu Lee, right?
40 41	Lee:	Yes, correct.
42 43 44 45 46	Gwynne:	Okay. Thank you very much. I just wanted to make sure it was pronounced.

1	2. APP	ROVAL OF AGENDA
2 3 4 5 6	Gwynne:	Okay, so let's move on to Approval of the Agenda. Has everyone had a chance to have a look at the agenda? Are there any revisions at this point?
7 8 9	Love:	Yes. On page two the, the proxy for Jolene Herrera is Aaron Chavarria not Eric Chavarria.
10 11	Wray:	Thanks.
12 13	Gwynne:	Oh, you're talking about the, the minutes. We'll, we'll get to that
13 14 15	Love:	Yes. What are you talking about?
15 16 17	Gwynne:	When, approving the
18	Love:	Oh. The agenda.
19 20	Gwynne:	Agenda first.
21 22 23 24 25	Love:	Okay. I'm ahead of myself.
	Gwynne:	You're ahead of yourself. Very good. So if there are no changes to the agenda I'd, I'll take a motion to approve the agenda.
26 27	Herrera:	Mr. Chair. Move to approve the agenda.
28 29 30 31 32	Bartholome	w: Second.
	Gwynne:	It's been motioned by Jolene Herrera and seconded by Mike Bartholomew. All those in favor?
33 34	MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.	
35 36	Gwynne:	So pass unanimously.
37 38	3. APP	ROVAL OF MINUTES
39 40	3.1	February 4, 2016
41 42 43	Gwynne:	Now let's move on to the Approval of the Minutes. Harold you said on page two, that's Aaron Chavarria.
44	Lava	Correct

45 46

Love:

Correct.

1 2 3	Gwynne:	Okay. Are there any other changes to the minutes? Okay. I'll accept a motion to accept the minutes as, as amended.	
3 4 5	Bartholomew: I, I move we accept the minutes of February 4th.		
5 6 7	Trevino:	Second.	
7 8 9	Gwynne:	All those in favor?	
10	MOTION PA	ASSES UNANIMOUSLY.	
11 12	4. PUBI	LIC COMMENT	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Gwynne:	Okay. Let's move into Public Comment. Is there any comments from the public? Seeing none.	
	5. DISC	USSION ITEMS	
	5.1	Presentation on the Missouri Ave./Roadrunner Pkwy. Study Corridor	
	Gwynne:	Let's move into our Item five, the Discussion Items. So are, are we moving into the presentation for Missouri Avenue?	
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	Wray:	Yes, thank you Mr. Chair. MPO staff is pleased to have Aaron Sussman and Kristen Woods from Bohannan Huston and they will be presenting on this item.	
27 28	Gwynne:	Okay. Thank you.	
29 30	AARON SU	SSMAN GAVE THE PRESENTATION.	
31 32	Marmolejo:	Chair.	
33 34	Gwynne:	Yes sir.	
35 36 37 38 39	Marmolejo:	I have a quick question but it's directed to BLM. I'm just curious as to land disposals. Have you guys already talked about land disposals around these areas?	
40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Childress:	Beat me to the punch. I was going to bring up the fact we have not made any decisions on disposal or retention of these public lands for future development. The lands right now are in a retention situation. These are, most of these lands were withdrawn or revocated back to us, the BLM. They were NASA withdrawn lands and as such no decisions have been made for disposal of these lands. Those decisions will be made through the Tri-County Resource Management Plan. So I think that has to be	

1 taken into full consideration on you know what is, what kind of decision 2 you make here because there, it, there's a great possibility we will retain 3 those lands for open space. The community adjacent to these lands are 4 very supportive of retaining these lands in open space and so that is one 5 of the alternatives that we're addressing in our Resource Management 6 Plan. 7 8 Gwynne: Yes. Mike. 9 10 Bartholomew: Oh. Actually I think my question was answered and I was wondering what the, if it was likely going to be, be retained as open space and, and 11 12 that would make a, probably an important decision on, on how to proceed 13 or what kind of alignment to use and everything through there. Cause I. 14 and, as, as, as somebody who actually lives in that neighborhood to I, I very much know that it's used by ATVs, motorcycles, it's a humongous 15 16 dog park and there's a, people walk, hike and everything through there. 17 18 Gwynne: Other comments/questions from the Committee? 19 20 Trevino: I'm fairly new over here. Can you explain, tell me what AdobeHenge, is it 21 a residential development, is it a subdivision, or what is that, bring me up 22 to speed please. 23 24 Sussman: Sure. I'm probably not the best person to speak to AdobeHenge but I did 25 bring some flyers on AdobeHenge in case other individuals have that 26 question. Can I pass those out? All right. 27 28 Childress: If I may, once again we are addressing an application by the Farm and 29 Ranch Museum and the partnership with the artist to develop, construct the AdobeHenge on public lands. No decisions have been made on that. 30 however under their application they would acquire most of the lands 31 leading up to the southern edge of Missouri Road. That would be under 32 33 their application to convert it from BLM land, Bureau of Land Management 34 land, to lands managed by State Parks. 35 Any other questions? One of the things that ... 36 Gwynne: 37 38 Bartholomew: I, I, oh, I'm sorry. 39 40 Gwynne: Yes, go ahead Mike. 41 42 Bartholomew: Oh, I just had a question on the, in the MTP there's a, a minor art, I quess 43 it is, a minor arterial that kind of comes like if you extend it out from Paseo

de Onate all across, I noticed all the alignments don't really quite line up

with that where you have the various scenarios with Roadrunner and Missouri, some of them as minor arterials as, I was just wondering is there

44

45

46

1 a name for that or is there a, is there going to be some kind of connection 2 going across? 3 4 Murphy: Those are future, future collector alignments I think first identified in the 5 2010 MTP. They're, they're a, at this point unnamed. The intention is for 6 them not to, to directly line up in the case of the collectors cause we do 7 not want to have facilities that had long, over, over a, a mile or two-mile 8 runs that, where they would take on the characteristics of a minor arterial. 9 10 Bartholomew: Okay. Cause I just noticed it was been marked as a minor arterial on the, 11 on the map. 12 13 Murphy: I will have to double-check that. 14 15 Bartholomew: Okay. 16 17 Murphy: Then, but the ... 18 19 Bartholomew: That's, that's ... 20 21 I think it does, you know you, in that case ... Murphy: 22 23 Bartholomew: From, from Porter Road, I ... 24 25 Murphy: It's supposed to proceed onward to the east ... 26 27 Bartholomew: Okay. 28 29 Murphy: And if we had a zoomed-out map that would, that would show up better. 30 But it, again it would still be unnamed at this point. 31 32 Bartholomew: Okay. 33 34 Any other questions? I have a, I have a couple of comments and, and Gwynne: 35 maybe a little question too as, as we lead into this. One of the things and 36 you kind of alluded to it in your presentation is that you're going to be 37 doing a limited amount of engineering as you go through this study. Some 38 of the costs involved with any of these alternatives is going to be based on 39 some of the infrastructure that you have to put in place obviously. And so the question in my mind is, is how much are you going to do as an 40 example to figure out if, how large of culverts you're going to have to put 41 in, those sorts of things? So that's kind of a question, how deep are you 42 43 going to go? 44 That's a very good question. Fortunately Bohannan Huston worked on 45 Sussman:

46

some of the drainage analysis for Centennial High School so we are able

to draw from a lot of the resources developed through that planning effort and so that should give us a good starting point to understand the magnitude of, of topographical challenges associated with different alignments. So if we need to do some additional surveying, that's certainly a possibility. What I mean by that, by when I talk about sort of a limited engineering, we're certainly not going to go into full design.

Gwynne: Sure.

Sussman: But fortunately that's a very good starting point for us and, and if you're

interested the next time that we present to this Committee we can discuss

the results of that study in more detail.

Gwynne: Always interested to know how, how much information you have to go on

when we go into these studies. Any other questions?

Lee: Chair.

Gwynne: Yes.

Lee:

I have a question about the, any, do you have any kind of traffic study because of, you know the Missouri and the Roadrunner Parkway is one of the major street, we have a problem. Specifically on Lohman and Roadrunner Parkway we have a big issue. So is any consideration when you determined the, you know the path or the, you know when you determine the, the any alternative because you never put it under any kind of, the traffic impact on your metrics. Cause I believe that's one of the big, you know the key factor.

Sussman:

Sure. That's a, that's a very good question. That's something I did not discuss as part of our next steps but that is part of our next steps, to consider those traffic impacts to at least some degree to look at the long-range forecasting that's associated with the 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan. So that is something that we'll consider and that's obviously part of the purpose and need in, in, in a sort of a general sense is creating that, that transportation network connectivity so that there are, there is maybe less strain on some of the individual intersections.

Herrera: Mr. Chair.

41 Gwynne: Yes.

Herrera: I had a question more for the City staff. I know at the initial kickoff meeting

that we had the City staff that was there didn't really seem to think that this was a high priority because it's basically adding lane miles to the inventory

1 2		that you already have. Do you know if that's still the same stance that the City has?
3 4 5 6 7	Trevino:	I think it hasn't changed much and mainly due to the fact that this is all in Doña Ana County. So all these roads are all being constructed within the County and nothing really within the City besides that northern part of Roadrunner so.
8 9 10	Herrera:	Okay. With that being said Mr. Chair can I ask the same question of the County?
11 12 13	Gwynne:	Sure.
14	Marmolejo:	Can you repeat the question please?
15 16 17	Herrera:	Just, what kind of commitment does the County have to pursuing funding for a project and whatever the alignment you know is, just
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Marmolejo:	Yes ma'am. No, I, you know I don't really, I, I haven't really, in it, all the staff meetings that I've been with, with Engineering not, this has never come up before. You know obviously anything that would be dedicated to County would have to come up to County standards but I have not seen anything at all regarding county right-of-way issues in, in this area or anywhere outside to that matter.
25 26 27	Herrera:	Thank you.
28	Gwynne:	Thank you very much. Appreciate your presentation.
29 30 31 32 33	Sussman:	Thank you again and if you have questions or comments or something occurs to you at a later point in time please again feel free to e-mail us and I'm sure we'll follow up with all of you shortly.
34 35	Gwynne:	Thanks Aaron.
36	Sussman:	Thank you.
37 38	5.2	Presentation on the Multi-Use Trail Loop
39 40 41	Gwynne:	Next item on our agenda is the presentation by staff on multiuse trails. Andrew.
42 43	ANDREW WRAY GAVE HIS PRESENTATION.	
44 45 46	Marmolejo:	I have a, Chair.

Gwynne: Yeah.

 Marmolejo: Just a, quickly you, you, you talked about earlier about the County retaining or, or getting a special use permit from, from EBID but if I look at the Llorona Trail as, as the existing and I'm assuming that they, that, that it was acquired through the IBWC people within, within the, the river boundaries if you will and then it comes out of IBWC and then it goes into the Municipality of, of Mesilla and it continues and it, and it comes out at Calle del Norte which is also within the Mesilla boundary if you will and then you have that yellow you know black-dashed portion and you come back down here to the Union Trail and that goes in and out of the Mesilla area also as well and what, where specifically are you talking about for

this particular setup that the County would have to acquire an SUP?

Wray:

Marmolejo:

The, the question would be for these laterals down here, specifically this portion on the northern leg goes up into the County and for this use of EBID laterals, EBID staff stated that the County and the Town would need to obtain special use permits for, for that purpose.

Just to, yeah just to be a heads-up, the County and EBID have been at it for years now on SUPs, for years, at, at least ten years that I know of for acquiring SUPs on, on their property and it has to do with, what do you call it, somebody's liability or something like that so I would take that into consideration. We just cannot come to agreement on what EBID requires of Doña Ana County when it comes to liability. It's very problematic. I, I, I would recommend that if you can stay away, if you can stay away from, from EBID features in the County for proposed trail you might get tripped up between the County and EBID coming to some agreement.

Wray: Thank you.

Gwynne: Other questions from the Committee?

 Herrera:

Herrera:

Gwynne: Yes, Jolene.

Mr. Chair.

I don't have a question but I guess I just wanted to give a little more information about what Andrew's talking about as far as the TAP call for projects. For those of you that don't know TAP is Transportation Alternatives Program so it's a funding source that we can use for, or that local governments can use for things like ADA upgrades, pedestrian facilities, bike facilities, those types of things. One caveat I guess to that is that it is for transportation purposes, not so much for recreation so if we're looking for more of a recreational trail we also have a program for that. The call for projects will be released at the same time as the TAP

call for projects so really whenever the sponsor agencies are submitting their applications look closely at what the, the intended use of the path I guess will be and apply to the funding source that's most appropriate.

Gwynne: Very good.

Herrera:

 As far as deadlines go we haven't set them in stone yet. The TAP guide and the Rec Trails guide are still under review. They are to be released I believe by the end of March and we're hoping to have applications through the MPO processes by April, I'm sorry, by August of 2016. What we're looking at as far as funding years is design of projects in fiscal year 2018 and construction in fiscal year 2019. So that would be the funding that you'd be applying for.

15 Gwynne: Okay. Thank you very much, Jolene.

Herrera: Thanks.

Gwynne: Any other questions from the Committee? Okay. Thank you.

Wray: Thank you.

## 6. COMMITTEE AND STAFF COMMENTS

# 6.1 City of Las Cruces, Doña Ana County, Town of Mesilla, Las Cruces Public Schools, RoadRUNNER Transit, SCRTD Project Updates

Gwynne: Okay. Let's move on to our next item. We have updates from the various member entities. City of Las Cruces.

Trevino:

The Las Cruces Dam Trails, LC00190, we have the preconstruction meeting scheduled for tomorrow so hopefully we'll begin construction within the next month or so. So that'll include the 3.2 miles of trails behind the Las Cruces Dam.

The El Paso Safety Project, LC00130, had the pre-con on Tuesday actually so that's in the same boat, hopefully within the next three to four weeks we'll begin construction.

Just a little kind of heads-up since the, the La Llorona Trail came up earlier the, which is LC00180 which is the pervious concrete pavement from the Outfall Channel Trail to La Llorona Park. That is complete for construction and the finalizing of the closeout docs are being done right now. That is all I have.

Gwynne: Okay. Doña Ana County.

Marmolejo: I don't have anything to report.

1		
2 3	Gwynne:	Okay. Town of Mesilla.
4 5	Shannon:	We have nothing.
6 7	Gwynne:	Okay. Las Cruces Public Schools.
8	Wray:	Not present.
10 11	Gwynne:	Not present. RoadRUNNER Transit.
12 13 14	Bartholomev	v: I have nothing above the report that's in the, before you on the agenda already.
15 16	Gwynne:	Okay. Thank you. Regional Transit District.
17 18	Wray:	Not present.
19 20	Gwynne:	Not present. Okay. Very good.
21 22	6.2	NMDOT Projects Update
23 24	Gwynne:	DOT.
25 26 27 28 29	Herrera:	Mr. Chair. It looks like I'm going to be doing the update this month. Harold's looking at me. I'll run quickly down the list of projects that are under construction now in the area.  North Main is done. Yay. Everybody's doing
30 31	Gwynne:	Finally. Right.
32 33	Herrera:	A happy dance now. Finally it's done.  The Missouri project is moving right along. We were supposed to,
34 35		or the contractor was thinking they were going to be done by the end of March but there were some delays on delivery of beams and different
36		things so they're looking at end of April I believe for completion of that
37		project which is still I guess sooner than expected.
38		The Union Avenue bridge project is also on schedule. They only
39		had 240 working days and so they should be wrapping up that
40 41		construction probably here in the next couple of months. In the meantime there's a lot of traffic control on I-10 right now with that and the pavement
42		preservations going on so please as you're traveling through that area be
43		very careful and mind the speed limits.
1.1		There's also the payament property of ion on 1.10 through 1 on Crusos

There's also the pavement preservation on I-10 through Las Cruces

as well as on the other side of I-10/I-25 interchange to the Texas state

line. Both of those are going on right now simultaneously. It's the same

1 So again just be careful as you're driving through there. 2 Those projects are scheduled to be pretty short. One's, the one through I-3 10 will be done by November 2016. The next phase, the six-lane should 4 be done a few months after that. 5 And that's really the major construction projects we have going on. We provided an update of projects that are in the design and planning 6 7 phase in the packet so you can look at that and if there's any questions I'd 8 be happy to answer those. 9 10 Gwynne: Are there any questions? Luis. 11 12 Chair I just have a comment that, and it's to my DOT colleagues. And I'm, Marmolejo: 13 I didn't get to the part about any future projects but O'Hara Road, I mean I 14 went through it the other day and it's really, really a lot of traffic. Is there anything in the horizon and I'm assuming it's a DOT right-of-way. Is there 15 anything long-range horizon on widening, widening it because it, I went 16 through there the other day. I'm like, "Wow, this has really taken off." 17 18 19 Herrera: Yes. Actually we do have a project ongoing right now that's through the El 20 Paso MPO, obviously it's in their boundaries so that's why you don't see it 21 here. 22 23 Marmolejo: Okay. 24 25 But it's a safety and a capacity study ... Herrera: 26 27 Marmolejo: Okay. 28 29 Herrera: For all of 213 and all of 404 to include the interchange at 404 and I-10. 30 31 Marmoleio: Okay. 32 33 Herrera: And so we just barely started that. We haven't even had the kickoff 34 meeting. We're still in negotiations for that project but we're looking at 35 about one year for the Phase A/B report on that. 36 37 Good. Thank you. Cause it's just a lot of traffic on that road. Thank you. Marmolejo: 38 39 Gwynne: Any other questions? 40 **MPO Staff Projects Update** 41 6.3 42 43 Gwynne: Okay. The next item is updates from the MPO staff. 44 45 Wray: Thank you Mr. Chair. We are pleased to announce that the 2015 traffic 46 flow map is finished. We have your copies here with us so please see Mr.

1 Tarachi after the meeting for those. Other than, yeah him. Him in the 2 black shirt. 3 Other than that we are going to be hopefully hosting a public 4 meeting, I don't recall if Bohannan Huston staff mentioned this but hopefully at the end of March we'll be hosting another public meeting 5 6 regarding the Missouri project but we'll keep everyone posted regarding 7 that. Is, oh I can say March, I can say March 30th. So we're targeting 8 March 30th. Is there anything else this month? I think that's it. 9 10 Gwynne: Will, will you be sending out invites for that meeting? 11 12 Wray: Yes. Yes. 13 14 Okay. Thank you. Gwynne: 15 16 Wray: Yes, most definitely. 17 18 Gwynne: Okay. Thank you Andrew. 19 20 7. **PUBLIC COMMENT** 21 22 Are there any public comments? Seeing no public. Gwynne: 23 24 8. ADJOURNMENT (4:52 p.m.) 25 26 Gwynne: Let's move on. I'll stand for a motion for adjournment. 27 28 Bartholomew: So moved that we adjourn. 29 30 Gwynne: All in ... 31 32 Herrera: Second. 33 34 Gwynne: All in favor? 35 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY. 36 37 38 Gwynne: Thank you very much. 39 40 41 42 43 44

45

Chairperson



SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004 PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155 http://mesillavalleympo.org

# MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACTION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF APRIL 7, 2016

#### **AGENDA ITEM:**

5.1 Unified Planning Work Program

## **ACTION REQUESTED:**

Recommendation for approval to the Policy Committee

#### SUPPORT INFORMATION:

Draft copy of the FFY 2017 - FFY 2018

## **DISCUSSION:**

The UPWP is a biannual document that outlines transportation planning activities to be conducted by MPO Staff as well as processes that MPO Staff will participate in, but not oversee. The UPWP also includes a budget, allocation of staff time and money toward accomplishing the tasks. The UPWP must be in compliance with the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.



SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004 PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155 http://mesillavalleympo.org

# MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE DISCUSSION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF APRIL 7, 2016

## **AGENDA ITEM:**

6.1 Discussion of the TAP/Rec Trails Application Process

## **DISCUSSION:**

NMDOT Staff will present on the impending Transportation Alternatives Program and Rec Trails funding cycle.



SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004 PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155 http://mesillavalleympo.org

LAS CRUCES METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE DISCUSSION FORM DISCUSSION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF APRIL 7, 2016

## **AGENDA ITEM:**

7.1 City of Las Cruces, Doña Ana County, Town of Mesilla, Las Cruces Public Schools, RoadRUNNER Transit, and South Central Regional Transit District (SCRTD) Project Updates.

# **ACTION REQUESTED:**

Updates from the Jurisdictions regarding current projects



SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004 PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155 http://mesillavalleympo.org

LAS CRUCES METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE DISCUSSION FORM DISCUSSION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF APRIL 7, 2016

## **AGENDA ITEM:**

7.2 NMDOT Projects Update.

# **ACTION REQUESTED:**

Update from NMDOT regarding current projects