The following is the agenda for the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) Technical Advisory Committee meeting to be held on **November 7, 2013 at 4:00 p.m.** in the City of Las Cruces Council Chambers, 700 N. Main, Las Cruces, New Mexico. Meeting packets are available on the [Mesilla Valley MPO website](http://mvmpo.las-cruces.org).

The Mesilla Valley MPO does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, color, ancestry, serious medical condition, national origin, age, or disability in the provision of services. The Mesilla Valley MPO will make reasonable accommodation for a qualified individual who wishes to attend this public meeting. Please notify the Mesilla Valley MPO at least 48 hours before the meeting by calling 528-3043 (voice) or 1-800-659-8331 (TTY) if accommodation is necessary. This document can be made available in alternative formats by calling the same numbers list above. 

Este documento está disponible en español llamando al teléfono de la Organización de Planificación Metropolitana de Las Cruces: 528-3043 (Voz) o 1-800-659-8331 (TTY).

1. **CALL TO ORDER**  
   Chair
2. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**  
   Chair
3. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**  
   Chair
   3.1. October 3, 2013  
   Chair
4. **PUBLIC COMMENT**  
   Chair
5. **ACTION ITEMS**  
   Chair
   5.1. 2012-2017 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments  
   MPO Staff
   5.2. 2014-2019 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments  
   MPO Staff
6. **DISCUSSION ITEMS**  
   Chair
   6.1. Asset Management Presentation  
   Denise Weston, AICP
7. **COMMITTEE and STAFF COMMENTS**  
   Chair
8. **PUBLIC COMMENT**  
   Chair
9. **ADJOURNMENT**  
   Chair
The following are minutes for the meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee of the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) which was held October 3, 2013 at 4:00 p.m. at City Hall Council Chambers, 700 N. Main St., Las Cruces, New Mexico.

MEMBERS PRESENT:  Larry Altamirano (LCPS)
                   John Knopp (Town of Mesilla)
                   Harold Love (NMDOT)
                   Jolene Herrera (NMDOT)
                   Louis Grijalva (CLC Public Works)
                   John Gwynne (DA Flood Commission)
                   Greg Walke (NMSU)
                   Debbie Lujan (Town of Mesilla)

MEMBERS ABSENT:  Luis Marmolejo (DAC)
                 Jesus Morales (EBID)
                 Henry K. Corneles (DAC Engineering)
                 Mike Bartholomew (CLC Transit)
                 Bill Childress (BLM)
                 Willie Roman (CLC Transportation)
                 Jack Valencia (SCRDT)

STAFF PRESENT:  Tom Murphy (MPO staff)
                Andrew Wray (MPO staff)
                Chowdhury Siddiqui (MPO staff)

1. CALL TO ORDER

Meeting was called to order at 4:16 p.m.  Quorum was established.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Larry Altamirano asked for a motion to approve the agenda.
Greg Walke motioned to approve the agenda.
John Knopp seconded the motion.
All in favor.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

4.1 September 5, 2013

Larry Altamirano asked if there were any changes to the minutes. No changes. Larry asked for a motion to approve the minutes.
John Gwynne motioned to approve the minutes.
Greg Walke seconded the motion.
All in favor.

4. PUBLIC COMMENT – No public comments

5. DISCUSSION ITEMS

5.1 Ranking Signalized Intersections by Crash Data Presentation

MPO Staff analyzed the crash data between years 2002 and 2011 that occurred within the
vicinity of the signalized intersections in the MPO region, and ranked them based on their
crash counts, percentages, rates. The attached presentation goes over each of these crash
statistics by ranking signalized intersections and providing different ways of looking at high risk
signalized intersections in the MPO area.

Chowdhury Siddiqui gave a brief presentation.

John Gwynne asked about the formula used for the crash rate. He stated it appears that a
-crash volume is being used and what is the volume? Is it from traffic counts that are done one
time or are they averaged over a period of years?

Siddiqui: Mr. Chair, Mr. Gwynne, yes MPO does the traffic counts but it recirculates in a
three year period, so definitely we don’t have, if we want to make a yearly
-average for example, we don’t have that option here. Also we don’t have options
depending on in which year that particular segment was done, a count was done
for that particular segment, we may be falling out of this range, so what we did
was we tried to get that number as close as possible to 2009 – 2011 crash year
since we were analyzing 9 to 11 so we looked at which is the closest traffic for
that particular segment closest to that particular year. We did not do any
-average. We had one number and definitely that is some sort of assumption we
made here. Also I want to make a note here that if you look at slide 5, the table
that you see here – the intersection that was ranked #2 I-25 off-ramp and
Lohman – we don’t have traffic count for this intersection for the Lohman off-
ramp so we were not able to calculate crash rate for that particular intersection;
therefore, this rank in slide 6 does not show that particular intersection. So just
looking at the raw number our assumption is that would appear probably on the
top 10 if we had that traffic count.

Grijalva: Back to what Mr. Gwynne had so on the traffic volume you would add the two
ATD’s of the two streets together, is that how that would happen?

Siddiqui: Mr. Chair, Mr. Grijalva, that is correct.

Knopp: My question is, this is some raw data but is somebody also looking at the types
of intersections in addition to volume, but the types whether there is a left turn
lanes, whether there is a right turn only lane, things like that. Is that correlated yet?

Siddiqui: Mr. Chair, Mr. Knopp, I believe we don’t have turning volumes at this moment, so that was one limitation that we faced but so far the analysis just looked into the total crash numbers. If we have those numbers we can definitely proceed on that.

Murphy: Mr. Chair, Mr. Knopp, one of the reasons we’re taking this is to get direction from this Committee of what kind of analysis that we can do. Obviously, it would impractical to do very in-depth analysis on all 96 signalized intersections in addition to the many more that are un-signalized so what we’re doing is developing this as filter to find out where they are happening more frequently then we could do the more in-depth analysis and I think certainly it was an excellent suggestion. One of the things we need to look at is, is there a particular geometry of intersection that’s common to some of the higher crash rates and we’ll certainly look into that and report back on the results.

Love: Would part of your in-depth analysis also include the types of crashes at these intersections whether they are right angle, rear-end or…..?

Siddiqui: Mr. Chair, Mr. Love, yes, definitely we can look into it. I did, if you allow me Mr. Chair, I’m going to jump into some of the statistics that we did on these signalized intersections. We did not look into the types in particular but we looked into some another functions like light conditions, weather conditions, pedestrian involvement and so forth. If I do a very quick rundown on it just looking at the percentages we find that in the most three years for these 92 intersections most of the crashes are occurring in the daylight, in clear weather, pedestrian involvement is less than 1%, motorcycle is about 3%, bikes about 1%, and then for the alcohol involvement we have about 3% if we consider cited and contributing cause is alcohol, stull like that but we can definitely look into the types as well.

Walke: So the crash numbers that are here include the bicycle and pedestrian crashes with vehicles also?

Siddiqui: Yes, that is correct.

Altamirano: Other questions?

Herrera: I don’t have a question but I do have a comment, this type of data is going to be really useful especially in the upcoming probably a year or so. Our safety division is trying to figure out right now if there is a way to allocate certain funds to each of the MPO’s and maybe even some of the RPO’s and data like this is exactly what we’re kind of looking for to qualify you for safety funding. One suggestion that I would have maybe is that you take the data that you have
collected and how you are analyzing it and compare it to our Transparency Report that comes out once a year and it also has crash data for some intersections and kind of see how they compare because I just did a quick visual comparison and quite a few of the intersections that you have on your report are also on our report and so that is just more justification for safety funding.

Siddiqui: Sure, thank you.

Altamirano: Any more questions from the Committee? What is the pleasure of the Committee regarding this presentation?

Grijalva: Mr. Chair, I have one more question. So did you guys combine the intersection of Spruce and Telshor, and Triviz and Spruce?

Siddiqui: We did not. They were two separate ones, yes. You said Spruce and Telshor, and.....

Grijalva: Spruce and Triviz.

Siddiqui: They are very close so on this map there might not be visually different. The other reason you may not see that is as I said the red circles are the ones that had at least 10 crashes per year and those were calculated for a crash rate. Now the other possibility, now I'm sure that particular intersection was present in my shape file, the highlighted red circle might overlap that circle since they are so close, just a possibility, but that was .............

Altamirano: I have a question and maybe you mentioned it and I didn't catch it. Was there a graph or is there something that you have showing the increase or decrease of traffic volume at these intersections? Is there I guess my question would be is there an increase in the amount of traffic at any particular intersection that may have also created because of the increase in volume possibly more accidents.

Siddiqui: Mr. Chair that is a very good point. We did not particularly look at the number of volumes but now that you say that, that correlates to one of my thinking why two intersections that were not present before, meaning 2004-2011, but they appeared in the top 20 in the list in three years and those were again South Main and Lohman, Telshor and Hillrise, and that might be one reason why, I'm just saying that might be one reason why these two intersections experiencing more traffic is creating more crash hazards.

Altamirano: Anymore questions from the Committee? Tom, do you have anything that you would like the Committee to consider? I mean that we cannot look at all these so are you looking for the Committee to come up with some type recommendation regarding the intersections?
Murphy: Mr. Chair, we’re presenting this as a discussion for your information and certainly for your feedback to staff. One of the things we intend to do with it in addition to developing a Safety Management Plan which would come before this Committee, we’re also looking to kind of get some format to put these numbers out in our public involvement process for the MTP update, but at this point the information is informational for the Committee.

Altamirano: Thank you Tom. I think that one of the things of course was the recommendation to compare the two data that were presented; you said yearly, when does the next one come out.

Herrera: Mr. Chair, it should be coming out probably here in the next few months. Our Safety Division normally does it after the end of the physical year, so hopefully here in the next few months we should have a new report out.

Altamirano: Okay, any other recommendations?

Gwynne: One thing that I would recommend because as we’ve seen, we’ve heard here a couple of times volume is I think a pretty key important number in all this, so it would seem pertinent that you include at least two data points for the volume, averages of some kind whether it’s the beginning of the reporting period or beginning and end, however you can get two data points in there, at least get some kind of average and maybe decreasing or increasing volume loads as part of your graphics.

Murphy: Mr. Chair, Mr. Gwynne, just kind of a further note on the ADT numbers. ADT stands for Average Annualized Daily Traffic. Just to describe a process to everyone, when MPO goes out and counts a segment of roadway we go out there for 48 hours, count the segment and we take the 48 hours into a 24 hour average. We then submit that to the NMDOT in Santa Fe and they have permanent counters at various locations throughout the State of which they use to develop seasonal adjustment factors so that the raw count that we take can be adjusted to the day and time of year that we have taken it so it’s averaged and then annualized so it really is the data point that we’re looking at is going through a statistical process to make sure that it’s a little more rigorous than just the random data that we happen to put the tubes out on that roadway.

Gwynne: Tom, I do understand that. The reason that I’m saying is that you are going to get an annualized difference in 2009 versus 2011 and that really is going to be what we’re looking at because if you’re looking at 1,000 more cars in 2011 going through the intersection than you did in 2009 there could be the reason why there are more accidents. It could be something else but without knowing that the data is since you’re looking at the data points for the number of crashes per year it seems you need to have something to compare it to.

Murphy: I think we certainly do want to add that level to it so we’ll look into doing that.
Altamirano: Any other questions? In looking at the intersections that have come up I'm surprised that Valley, the big intersection at Valley and South Main, right there at that, there haven't been more accidents, maybe because it's a bigger intersection and there is more room to avoid the collisions but I would have thought there would have been at that particular intersection….did I miss it, is it on there, I didn't see it.

Herrera: Mr. Chair, it's on the second page of the ranking by crash rate 2009-2011.

Murphy: It is number 12 on the (interrupted).

Altamirano: I'm sorry I was on the previous page so okay, thank you, anything else. Is there anything else from staff?

6. COMMITTEE AND STAFF COMMENTS – No comments

7. PUBLIC COMMENT – No public comments

8. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 4:46 p.m.

Harold Love motioned to adjourn.

Jolene Herrera seconded the motion.

___________________________
Chair
MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
ACTION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF November 7, 2013

AGENDA ITEM:  
5.1 2012-2017 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments

ACTION REQUESTED:  
Review and recommendation for approval to the MPO Policy Committee

SUPPORT INFORMATION:  
FFY 2012 Transportation Improvement Program Amendment Reports  
Email from Mike Bartholomew, RoadRUNNER Transit Administrator

DISCUSSION:  
On May 11, 2011, the MPO Policy Committee approved the 2012-2017 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The following amendment(s) to the TIP have been requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project &amp; Termini</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TL00012</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>RoadRUNNER Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td>RideShare Program</td>
<td>Deleted from the TIP to reflect the ending of this project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These amendments will not affect any other projects currently listed in the TIP.
**Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Area**  
**Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Organization**  
**Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN: TL00012</th>
<th>Las Cruces MPO - PIN: 19</th>
<th>NMDOT Dist.: 1</th>
<th>County: Dona Ana</th>
<th>Municipality: City of Las Cruces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fed ID: TL00012</td>
<td>Lead Agency: City of Las Cruces</td>
<td>Length: 0 Miles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT: Proj Rideshare Fr:</td>
<td>To:</td>
<td>Est. Proj. Cost:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category: Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td>Est. Letting: TIP Amendment Pending?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Desc.: Rideshare Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remarks: PROGRAM DELETED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND SOURCE</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>4 Yr. TOTALS</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMAQ-Mand</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Friday, October 11, 2013**

9
Andrew -

The City of Las Cruces is has not run a Rideshare program since fiscal year 2011 and has no plans to operate one in the future. TIP project TL00012 relating to the Rideshare project needs to be removed from the TIP.

Please let me know if you have questions.

Mike Bartholomew, CCTM
RoadRUNNER Transit Administrator
City of Las Cruces, NM

Phone: (575) 541-2500
Fax: (575) 541-2545
TTY: (575) 541-2541

It is the mission of RoadRUNNER Transit to provide safe, dependable and convenient transportation services to the citizens of Las Cruces.
AGENDA ITEM:
5.2 2014-2019 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments

ACTION REQUESTED:
Review and recommendation for approval to the MPO Policy Committee

SUPPORT INFORMATION:
FFY 2014 Transportation Improvement Program Amendment Reports
Initial Email from Jolene Herrera, NMDOT Urban and Regional Planner
Update Email from Jolene Herrera, NMDOT Urban and Regional Planner
Spreadsheet from Jolene Herrera, NMDOT Urban and Regional Planner detailing TIP amendment requests

DISCUSSION:
On May 8, 2013, the MPO Policy Committee approved the 2014-2019 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The following amendment(s) to the TIP have been requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Project &amp; Termini</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W100032</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>City of Las Cruces</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School Coordinator</td>
<td>SRTS Operations</td>
<td>Deleted from the TIP to reflect the ending of this project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100830</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>NMDOT</td>
<td>Ramp E of University Ave Bridge &amp; Union Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge Rehabilitation &amp; Addition of CCTV</td>
<td>Scope amended to include CCTV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100620</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>NMDOT</td>
<td>I-10 – Las Cruces to TX State Line</td>
<td>Pavement Preservation Project</td>
<td>Moved from FY 2015 to FY 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC00100</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>NMDOT</td>
<td>Missouri Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td>$800K added for preliminary engineering,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| LC00110 | 2014 | Dona Ana County | El Camino Real and Dona Ana School Rd | Intersection Realignment | $4M added for construction
| Moved from FY 2013 per DAC Engineering, Added $275,015 for ROW and Utilities, $42,750 left in FY 2013 for Design |
| LC00120 | 2016 | US 70 | Intersection of Spitz/Solano/Main | Intersection Realignment | Added $1M per engineer’s estimate |
| No CN | 2014-2017 | MVMPO | MPO Planning Funds-PL | | Funding Amount Adjusted Slightly |
| LC00150 | 2016 | NMDOT | I-10/MP 133-143.2 | Pavement Preservation | New Project |
| LC00160 | 2014 & 2017 | NMDOT | NM 188 (Valley Drive)/Ave de Mesilla to Picacho, including intersections | Roadway Reconstruction | New Project, $800K in FY 2014 for preliminary engineering, Construction in FY2017 |
| No CN | 2014-2015 | City of Las Cruces | City of Las Cruces Safe Routes to School TAP Project | SRTS Operations | New Project |
| No CN | 2014-2015 | Las Cruces Public Schools | Las Cruces Public Schools Safe Routes to School TAP Project | SRTS Operations | New Project |
| No CN | 2015 | City of Las Cruces | Las Cruces Dam Trail | Improvements to the Las Cruces Dam Trail | New Project |
| No CN | 2014 | City of Las Cruces | La Llorona Trail | Improvements to the La Llorona Trail | New Project |
| No CN | 2014-2015 | South Central Regional Transit District | Bus stop improvement project | Bus Signs, Shelters, and Benches | New Project |
| No CN | 2014-2017 | MVMPO | Transportation Alternative Program Placeholder Project | Financial placeholder project for TAP Funding | Deleted due to TAP Applications being submitted |

These amendments will not affect any other projects currently listed in the TIP.
**Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Area**

**Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Organization**

**Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN:</th>
<th>W100032</th>
<th>Las Cruces MPO - PIN:</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>NMDOT Dist.:</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>County:</th>
<th>Dona Ana</th>
<th>Municipality:</th>
<th>N/A not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fed ID:</td>
<td>W100032</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lead Agency:** Las Cruces MPO

**Est. Letting:**

**Est. Proj. Cost:** $64,281

**Project Desc.:** SRTS Coordinator position at Las Cruces MPO

**Category:** Miscellaneous

**Project Phases:**

- Environ. Document
- Prel. Engr.
- Design
- Right-of-way
- Construction
- Other

**Work Zone:** Routine

**Remarks:** (2-13-13) Add'l funding for FY 2013, 14 (10-08-13) All funding removed at DOT request to reflect the ending of this program

### PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Rts to Sch-Flex</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIP Informational Years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP Informational Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**State Match**

**Local Match**

**Safe Rts to Sch-Flex**

**Totals**

---

Thursday, October 10, 2013
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CN: 1100830  Las Cruces MPO - PIN: 26  NMDOT Dist.: 1  County: Dona Ana  Municipality: City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: 1100830  Lead Agency: NMDOT D-1  Length: 2 Miles
RT: I00010  Proj: Interstate 10 Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation & Addition of CCTV
Fr: MP 141  To: MP 143  Est. Proj. Cost: $7,000,000

Category: Highway & Bridge Preservation (4Rs)
Project Desc.: Bridge Replacement & Rehabilitation Project on I-10 Bridges: University Ave. 7264, 7265 (Ramp E, MP 141.82) & Union Ave 7266, 7267 (MP 142.11)
Est. Letting:
Remarks: CN Change from 3961; change in funding sources; additional funds: $605,016; (9-12-12) New TIP Funding Sources; Moved from 2013 to 2014; (1-12-13) Admin Mod - Termin milepost change; added CCTV scope (10-31-13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$1,107,290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,107,290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPP MAP-21</td>
<td>$3,507,326</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,507,326</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP-S</td>
<td>$2,990,400</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,990,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$7,605,016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,605,016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Programmed Funds - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category

TIP Informational Years

□ Work Zone:

□ TIP Amendment Pending?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,310,400</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,310,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPP MAP-21</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,272,000</td>
<td>$4,272,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,272,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP-Flex</td>
<td>$1,708,800</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>$1,708,800</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,708,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP-L</td>
<td>$683,520</td>
<td>05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$683,520</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP-S</td>
<td>$1,025,280</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>$1,025,280</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,025,280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$9,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Area
Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Organization
Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

CN: LC00100  Las Cruces MPO - PIN: 74  NMDOT Dist.: 1  County: Dona Ana  Municipality: City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: LC00100  Lead Agency: NMDOT D-1  Length: 0 Miles
RT: 000I25  Proj: I-25/Missouri Avenue Bridge Rehabilitation  Est. Proj. Cost: $9,000,000
Fr: Missouri Avenue  Est. Letting: TIP Amendment Pending?
To:  

Category: Hwy & Brg Pres

Project Desc.: Bridge Rehabilitation (Structure # 6825, 6826)


Remarks: New TIP Funding Sources; Admin Adjust - $900,000 to Design (12-12-12)

Programmed Funds - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$2,009,280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,009,280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPP MAP-21</td>
<td>$11,107,200</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,107,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP-Flex</td>
<td>$683,520</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$683,520</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$13,800,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,800,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIP Informational Years

□ TIP Amendment Pending?
Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Area | Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Organization | Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN: LC00110</th>
<th>Las Cruces MPO - PIN: 75</th>
<th>NMDOT Dist.: 1</th>
<th>County: Dona Ana</th>
<th>Municipality: N/A not applicable</th>
<th>Lead Agency: Dona Ana County</th>
<th>Length: 0 Miles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fed ID: LC00110</td>
<td>Proj Intersection Realignment</td>
<td>Est. Letting:</td>
<td>Fr: El Camino Real Rd at Dona Ana School Rd</td>
<td>To:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category:</td>
<td>Est. Proj. Cost: $0</td>
<td>TIP Amendment Pending? □</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Desc.: Design and Construction for Intersection Realignment: El Camino Real Rd at Dona Ana School Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remarks: Added to the TIP; New TIP Funding Sources; Admin Adjust: 10-25-12; Admin Adjust 08-21-13; has $42,750 obligated in FFY 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$34,924</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$34,924</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety (HSIP)</td>
<td>$439,591</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$439,591</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$474,515</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$474,515</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thursday, October 31, 2013
### Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN: LC00120</th>
<th>Las Cruces MPO - PIN: 81</th>
<th>NMDOT Dist.: 1</th>
<th>County: Dona Ana</th>
<th>Municipality: City of Las Cruces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fed ID: LC00120</td>
<td>Lead Agency: NMDOT D-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT: 00US70</td>
<td>Proj</td>
<td>US 70 Intersection w/Spitz/Solano/Three Crosses</td>
<td>Fr:</td>
<td>To:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Desc.:** Redesigning the intersection of US 70 (Main Street), Spitz, Solano, and Three Crosses

**Category:** Hwy & Brg Pres

**Est. Proj. Cost:** $5,200,000

**Est. Letting:**

**TIP Amendment Pending?** ☐

**Project Phases:**
- Environ. Document
- Prel. Engr.
- Design
- Right-of-way
- Construction
- Other

**Remarks:**

**Work Zone:**

### PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND SOURCE</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>4 Yr. TOTALS</th>
<th>TIP Informational Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$902,720</td>
<td></td>
<td>$902,720</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPP MAP-21</td>
<td>$5,297,280</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>$5,297,280</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$6,200,000</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>$6,200,000</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State Match**

**Local Match**

**NHPP MAP-21**

**Totals**

**TIP Informational Years**

- 2018
- 2019
CN: Las Cruces MPO - PIN: 82  
NMDOT Dist.: 3  County: Dona Ana  Municipality: Various Multiple Jurisd. 
Lead Agency: Las Cruces MPO  
Est. Letting: 0 Miles 

RT: Proj MPO PL Funds 
Fr: Las Cruces MPO  To: Las Cruces MPO 

Project Desc.: Ongoing MPO Planning funds 

Category: 


Remarks: Ongoing distribution of Planning Funds 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$37,062</td>
<td>$37,062</td>
<td>$37,062</td>
<td>$37,062</td>
<td>$148,249</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>$217,481</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$217,481</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$217,481</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$869,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$254,543</td>
<td>$254,543</td>
<td>$254,543</td>
<td>$254,543</td>
<td>$1,018,173</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tuesday, October 08, 2013
**Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Area** | **Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Organization** | **Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**

| CN: | Las Cruces MPO - PIN: | 84 | NMDOT Dist.: | 1 | County: | Dona Ana | Municipality: | Various Multiple Jurisd. | Length: | 0 Miles |
| Fed ID: | | | Lead Agency: | Las Cruces MPO | | | | | |
| RT: | Proj | MPO TAP Funds | Fr: | Las Cruces MPO | To: | Est. Proj. Cost: | $0 | Est. Letting: | TIP Amendment Pending? | ☐ |

**Project Desc.:** Ongoing distribution of MPO TAP funds

**Project Phases:**
- ☐ Environ. Document
- ☐ Prel. Engr.
- ☐ Design
- ☐ Right-of-way
- ☐ Construction
- ☐ Other

**Work Zone:**

**Remarks:** Ongoing distribution of MPO TAP funds

### PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAP</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thursday, October 10, 2013
### Las Cruces MPO - PIN: 90

**NMDOT Dist.:** 3 | **County:** Dona Ana | **Municipality:** City of Las Cruces

**Lead Agency:** City of Las Cruces | **Length:** 0 Miles

**RT:** Proj City of Las Cruces SRTS Position

**Category:**

**Project Desc.:** Transportation Alternatives Program funding for a City of Las Cruces Safe Routes to School Position

**Est. Proj. Cost:** $0

**Est. Letting:**

**TIP Amendment Pending?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Planning Areas</th>
<th>Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Area</th>
<th>Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Organization</th>
<th>Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Miles</strong></td>
<td><strong>Design</strong></td>
<td><strong>Environ. Document</strong></td>
<td><strong>Prel. Engr.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Area</td>
<td>Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
<td>Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND SOURCE</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>4 Yr. TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$5,777</td>
<td>$5,777</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAP</td>
<td>$33,898</td>
<td>$33,898</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$67,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$39,675</td>
<td>$39,675</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$79,349</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FUND SOURCE** | **2014** | **2015** | **2016** | **2017** | **4 Yr. TOTALS** | **2018** | **2019**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$5,777</td>
<td>$5,777</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,553</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAP</td>
<td>$33,898</td>
<td>$33,898</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$67,796</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$39,675</td>
<td>$39,675</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$79,349</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIP Informational Years**

- 2014
- 2015
- 2016
- 2017
- 2018
- 2019

**Tuesday, October 08, 2013**

**Page 21**
**Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Area**  
**Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Organization**  
**Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN:</th>
<th>Las Cruces MPO - PIN:</th>
<th>91</th>
<th>NMDOT Dist.: 3</th>
<th>County:</th>
<th>Dona Ana</th>
<th>Municipality:</th>
<th>City of Las Cruces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fed ID:</td>
<td>Lead Agency:</td>
<td>Las Cruces Public Schools</td>
<td>Est. Letting:</td>
<td>0 Miles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT:</td>
<td>Proj</td>
<td>Las Cruces Public Schools SRTS Position, TAP Funded</td>
<td>Est. Proj. Cost:</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr:</td>
<td>To:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Est. Letting:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Desc.:** Transportation Alternatives Program funding for a Las Cruces Public Schools Safe Routes to School Position

**Category:**

**Project Phases:**  
- Environ. Document  
- Prel. Engr.  
- Design  
- Right-of-way  
- Construction  
- Other

**Remarks:** City of Las Cruces Part Time Safe Routes to School Position

**Work Zone:**

---

**PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$5,112</td>
<td>$5,112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAP</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$35,112</td>
<td>$35,112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$70,225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Area**  
**Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Organization**  
**Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN:</th>
<th>Las Cruces MPO - PIN:</th>
<th>NMDOT Dist.: 3</th>
<th>County: Dona Ana</th>
<th>Municipality: City of Las Cruces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fed ID:</td>
<td>Lead Agency: City of Las Cruces</td>
<td>Est. Letting:</td>
<td>Project Desc.: Transportation Alternative Program funding for improvements to the Las Cruces Dam Trail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT:</td>
<td>Proj Las Cruces Dam Trail</td>
<td>Est. Proj. Cost: $0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr:</td>
<td>To:</td>
<td>Est. Letting:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length: 0 Miles</td>
<td>Remarks:</td>
<td>TIP Amendment Pending? □</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Phases:**  
- [ ] Environ. Document  
- [ ] Prel. Engr.  
- [ ] Design  
- [ ] Right-of-way  
- [ ] Construction  
- [ ] Other  

**Work Zone:**

### PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$56,577</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$56,577</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAP</td>
<td>$332,002</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$332,002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$388,579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$388,579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIP Informational Years**

- 2018
- 2019
**Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Area** | **Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Organization** | **Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**
---|---|---
**CN:** Las Cruces MPO - PIN: **93** | NMDOT Dist.: 3 | County: Dona Ana | Municipality: City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: | Lead Agency: City of Las Cruces | Length: 0 Miles
Fr: | | | TIP Amendment Pending? □
To: | | |
**Category:**
**Remarks:**

**Project Desc.:** Transportation Alternative Program funding for improvements to the Las Cruces La Llorona Trail

**Project Phases:**
- □ Environ. Document
- □ Prel. Engr.
- ■ Design
- □ Right-of-way
- ■ Construction
- □ Other

**Work Zone:**

| PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category | TIP Informational Years |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| State Match | $0 | | | | | | |
| Local Match | | | | | $56,577 | | |
| TAP | $332,002 | | | | $332,002 | | |
| Totals | $388,579 | | | | $388,579 | | |

Wednesday, October 09, 2013

24
Las Cruces MPO - PIN: 94

NMDOT Dist.: 3  County: Dona Ana  Municipality Various Multiple Jurisd.

Lead Agency: South Central Transit Dist.

Est. Letting: Project Desc.: Transportation Alternative Program funds for the construction of bus signs, shelters, and benches

RT: Proj SCRTD Bus Signs, Shelters, and Benches

Fr: To:

Length: 0 Miles

Category: Remarks

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND SOURCE</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>4 Yr. TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$4,260</td>
<td>$4,260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAP</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$29,260</td>
<td>$29,260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$58,521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TIP Informational Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Area

### Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Organization

### Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

**CN:** LC00150  
**Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** 95  
**Fed ID:** LC00150  
**NMDOT Dist.:** 1  
**County:** Dona Ana  
**Municipality:** Various Multiple Jurisd.  
**Lead Agency:** NMDOT  
**Length:** 10.2 Miles

**RT:** Proj  
**Fr:** 133  
**To:** 143.2  
**Category:** Hwy & Brg Pres  
**Project Desc.:** Pavement Preservation project on Interstate 10

**Est. Proj. Cost:** $5,000,000  
**Est. Letting:**  

**Programmed Funds - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$728,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$728,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP-Flex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,708,800</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>$1,708,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP-Sm Urb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,563,200</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>$2,563,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Work Zone:**

**Removal:**

**Remarks:**

**TIP Informational Years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP Informational Years</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Thursday, October 10, 2013**

26
CN: LC00160  Las Cruces MPO - PIN: 96  NMDOT Dist.: 1  County: Dona Ana  Municipality: City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: LC00160  Lead Agency: NMDOT

RT: Proj NM 188 (Valley Drive) Roadway Reconstruction  
Fr: Ave de Mesilla  
To: Picacho

Category: Hwy & Brg Pres

Project Desc.: Valley Drive Reconstruction from Ave de Mesilla north to Picacho including the intersections

Est. Proj. Cost: $7,800,000
Est. Letting: TIP Amendment Pending? □

Length: 1.5 Miles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Match</td>
<td>$116,480</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,601,600</td>
<td>$1,718,080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPP MAP-21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,408,000</td>
<td>$6,408,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP-Flex</td>
<td>$683,520</td>
<td>04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$683,520</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP-Sm Urb</td>
<td>$2,990,400</td>
<td>04</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,990,400</td>
<td>$2,990,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,000,000</td>
<td>$11,800,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category

TIP Informational Years


Thursday, October 31, 2013
From: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT <JoleneM.Herrera@state.nm.us>
Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 9:16 AM
To: Andrew Wray
Subject: FW: TIP Follow Up
Attachments: FY2014 TIP Amendment 1.xls

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 4:11 PM
To: 'Andrew Wray'
Subject: RE: TIP Follow Up

Hi Andrew,

Please see the attached spreadsheet with the TIP Amendments that need to be made. Can you please put this on the BPAC, TAC, and PC agendas as an action item for October?

Thanks,

Jolene Herrera
Urban & Regional Planner D1 & D2
NMDOT South Region Design
750 N Solano Dr
Las Cruces, NM 88001
O: (575) 525-7358
C: (575) 202-4698

From: Andrew Wray [mailto:awray@las-cruces.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 10:18 AM
To: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT
Subject: TIP Follow Up

Hi Jolene,

I just wanted to see if you had any feedback yet on the TIP bundle I sent last week.

Thanks.

Andrew Wray
Transportation Planner
Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization
P.O. Box 20000
Las Cruces, NM 88004
(575) 528-3070
(575) 528-3155 (fax)
Hi Andrew,

Please see the attached excel spreadsheet that contains all the updates to the TIP Amendment I submitted for BPAC approval. Since BPAC met, D1 increased the scope and funding of a majority of the TIP projects in the MVMPO. Can you please include this email, the attached spreadsheet, and the updated TIP pages in the TAC and PC packets? Also, please add an action item to the agenda asking for approval of the TIP Amendments.

Please let me know if you have any questions, and I will be at both meetings to answer any questions the committees may have regarding the changes.

Thanks,

Jolene Herrera
Urban & Regional Planner D1 & D2
NMDOT South Region Design
750 N Solano Dr
Las Cruces, NM 88001
O: (575) 525-7358
C: (575) 202-4698
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN</th>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Termini</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Funds listed on TIP</th>
<th>Project total</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W100032</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School Coordinator</td>
<td>$64,281</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Project deleted in new STIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100830</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>Ramp E of University Ave Bridge &amp; Union Ave Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge Rehabilitation &amp; Addition of CCTV</td>
<td>$7,605,016</td>
<td>$7,605,016</td>
<td>Scope amended to include CCTV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100620</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>Las Cruces to TX state line</td>
<td>Pavement Preservation</td>
<td>$9,000,000</td>
<td>$9,000,000</td>
<td>Moved from FY2015 to FY2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC00100</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>I-25</td>
<td>Missouri Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge Reconstruction/Widening &amp; Addition of Auxiliary Lane</td>
<td>$9,000,000</td>
<td>$13,800,000</td>
<td>$4M added to construction, $800K for Preliminary Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC00110</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>El Camino Real Rd at Dona Ana School Rd</td>
<td>Intersection Realignment</td>
<td>$285,000</td>
<td>$517,265</td>
<td>$42,750 in FY2013 for Design, Added $275,015 for ROW &amp; Utilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC00120</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>US 70</td>
<td>Intersection of Spitz/Solano/Main</td>
<td>Intersection Realignment</td>
<td>$5,200,000</td>
<td>$6,200,000</td>
<td>Added $1M per Engineer's Estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P114030</td>
<td>2014-2017</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>MPO Planning Funds-PL</td>
<td>$250,486/year</td>
<td>$254,543/year</td>
<td>Funding Amount per year slightly changed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC00130</td>
<td>2014/2015</td>
<td>El Paseo Rd</td>
<td>University to Main St</td>
<td>Safety Project</td>
<td>$335,000</td>
<td>$335,000</td>
<td>No Change, CLC project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100930</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>US 70</td>
<td>I-25 Interchange to Rinconada</td>
<td>Concrete Wall Barrier &amp; ITS installation</td>
<td>$3,010,000</td>
<td>$3,010,000</td>
<td>New HSIP project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC00150</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>MP 133-143.2 Pavement Preservation</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>New project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC00160</td>
<td>2014/2017</td>
<td>NM 188 (Valley Drive)</td>
<td>City Limits to Avenida De Mesilla</td>
<td>Roadway Reconstruction</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$11,800,000</td>
<td>New project, $800K in FY2014 for Preliminary Engineering, Construction in FY2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AGENDA ITEM:
6.1 Transportation Asset Management Presentation

SUPPORT INFORMATION:
None

DISCUSSION:
The TAC will host a presentation regarding Asset Management by Denise Weston of Bohannan-Huston.