



METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004

PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155

<http://mvmpo.las-cruces.org>

MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

AGENDA

The following is the agenda for the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) Technical Advisory Committee meeting to be held on **November 7, 2013 at 4:00 p.m.** in the **City of Las Cruces Council Chambers, 700 N. Main**, Las Cruces, New Mexico. Meeting packets are available on the [Mesilla Valley MPO website](http://mesilla-valley-mpo.org).

The Mesilla Valley MPO does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, color, ancestry, serious medical condition, national origin, age, or disability in the provision of services. The Mesilla Valley MPO will make reasonable accommodation for a qualified individual who wishes to attend this public meeting. Please notify the Mesilla Valley MPO at least 48 hours before the meeting by calling 528-3043 (voice) or 1-800-659-8331 (TTY) if accommodation is necessary. This document can be made available in alternative formats by calling the same numbers list above. *Este documento está disponible en español llamando al teléfono de la Organización de Planificación Metropolitana de Las Cruces: 528-3043 (Voz) o 1-800-659-8331 (TTY).*

1. **CALL TO ORDER** _____ **Chair**
2. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA** _____ **Chair**
3. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES** _____ **Chair**
 - 3.1. October 3, 2013 _____
4. **PUBLIC COMMENT** _____ **Chair**
5. **ACTION ITEMS** _____
 - 5.1. 2012-2017 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments _____ **MPO Staff**
 - 5.2. 2014-2019 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments _____ **MPO Staff**
6. **DISCUSSION ITEMS** _____
 - 6.1. Asset Management Presentation _____ **Denise Weston, AICP**
7. **COMMITTEE and STAFF COMMENTS** _____
8. **PUBLIC COMMENT** _____ **Chair**
9. **ADJOURNMENT** _____ **Chair**

1 Greg Walke seconded the motion.
2 All in favor.

3
4 **4. PUBLIC COMMENT** – No public comments

5
6 **5. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

7
8 **5.1 Ranking Signalized Intersections by Crash Data Presentation**

9
10 MPO Staff analyzed the crash data between years 2002 and 2011 that occurred within the
11 vicinity of the signalized intersections in the MPO region, and ranked them based on their
12 crash counts, percentages, rates. The attached presentation goes over each of these crash
13 statistics by ranking signalized intersections and providing different ways of looking at high risk
14 signalized intersections in the MPO area.

15
16 Chowdhury Siddiqui gave a brief presentation.

17
18 John Gwynne asked about the formula used for the crash rate. He stated it appears that a
19 crash volume is being used and what is the volume? Is it from traffic counts that are done one
20 time or are they averaged over a period of years?

21
22 Siddiqui: Mr. Chair, Mr. Gwynne, yes MPO does the traffic counts but it recirculates in a
23 three year period, so definitely we don't have, if we want to make a yearly
24 average for example, we don't have that option here. Also we don't have options
25 depending on in which year that particular segment was done, a count was done
26 for that particular segment, we may be falling out of this range, so what we did
27 was we tried to get that number as close as possible to 2009 – 2011 crash year
28 since we were analyzing 9 to 11 so we looked at which is the closest traffic for
29 that particular segment closest to that particular year. We did not do any
30 average. We had one number and definitely that is some sort of assumption we
31 made here. Also I want to make a note here that if you look at slide 5, the table
32 that you see here – the intersection that was ranked #2 I-25 off-ramp and
33 Lohman – we don't have traffic count for this intersection for the Lohman off-
34 ramp so we were not able to calculate crash rate for that particular intersection;
35 therefore, this rank in slide 6 does not show that particular intersection. So just
36 looking at the raw number our assumption is that would appear probably on the
37 top 10 if we had that traffic count.

38
39 Grijalva: Back to what Mr. Gwynne had so on the traffic volume you would add the two
40 ATD's of the two streets together, is that how that would happen?

41
42 Siddiqui: Mr. Chair, Mr. Grijalva, that is correct.

43
44 Knopp: My question is, this is some raw data but is somebody also looking at the types
45 of intersections in addition to volume, but the types whether there is a left turn

1 lanes, whether there is a right turn only lane, things like that. Is that correlated
2 yet?
3

4 Siddiqui: Mr. Chair, Mr. Knopp, I believe we don't have turning volumes at this moment, so
5 that was one limitation that we faced but so far the analysis just looked into the
6 total crash numbers. If we have those numbers we can definitely proceed on
7 that.
8

9 Murphy: Mr. Chair, Mr. Knopp, one of the reasons we're taking this is to get direction from
10 this Committee of what kind of analysis that we can do. Obviously, it would
11 impractical to do very in-depth analysis on all 96 signalized intersections in
12 addition to the many more that are un-signalized so what we're doing is
13 developing this as filter to find out where they are happening more frequently
14 then we could do the more in-depth analysis and I think certainly it was an
15 excellent suggestion. One of the things we need to look at is, is there a particular
16 geometry of intersection that's common to some of the higher crash rates and
17 we'll certainly look into that and report back on the results.
18

19 Love: Would part of your in-depth analysis also include the types of crashes at these
20 intersections whether they are right angle, rear-end or.....?
21

22 Siddiqui: Mr. Chair, Mr. Love, yes, definitely we can look into it. I did, if you allow me Mr.
23 Chair, I'm going to jump into some of the statistics that we did on these
24 signalized intersections. We did not look into the types in particular but we
25 looked into some another functions like light conditions, weather conditions,
26 pedestrian involvement and so forth. If I do a very quick rundown on it just
27 looking at the percentages we find that in the most three years for these 92
28 intersections most of the crashes are occurring in the daylight, in clear weather,
29 pedestrian involvement is less than 1%, motorcycle is about 3%, bikes about 1%,
30 and then for the alcohol involvement we have about 3% if we consider cited and
31 contributing cause is alcohol, stull like that but we can definitely look into the
32 types as well.
33

34 Walke: So the crash numbers that are here include the bicycle and pedestrian crashes
35 with vehicles also?
36

37 Siddiqui: Yes, that is correct.
38

39 Altamirano: Other questions?
40

41 Herrera: I don't have a question but I do have a comment, this type of data is going to be
42 really useful especially in the upcoming probably a year or so. Our safety
43 division is trying to figure out right now if there is a way to allocate certain funds
44 to each of the MPO's and maybe even some of the RPO's and data like this is
45 exactly what we're kind of looking for to qualify you for safety funding. One
46 suggestion that I would have maybe is that you take the data that you have

1 collected and how you are analyzing it and compare it to our Transparency
2 Report that comes out once a year and it also has crash data for some
3 intersections and kind of see how they compare because I just did a quick visual
4 comparison and quite a few of the intersections that you have on your report are
5 also on our report and so that is just more justification for safety funding.
6

7 Siddiqui: Sure, thank you.
8

9 Altamirano: Any more questions from the Committee? What is the pleasure of the
10 Committee regarding this presentation?
11

12 Grijalva: Mr. Chair, I have one more question. So did you guys combine the intersection
13 of Spruce and Telshor, and Triviz and Spruce?
14

15 Siddiqui: We did not. They were two separate ones, yes. You said Spruce and Telshor,
16 and.....
17

18 Grijalva: Spruce and Triviz.
19

20 Siddiqui: They are very close so on this map there might not be visually different. The
21 other reason you may not see that is as I said the red circles are the ones that
22 had at least 10 crashes per year and those were calculated for a crash rate.
23 Now the other possibility, now I'm sure that particular intersection was present in
24 my shape file, the highlighted red circle might overlap that circle since they are so
25 close, just a possibility, but that was
26

27 Altamirano: I have a question and maybe you mentioned it and I didn't catch it. Was there a
28 graph or is there something that you have showing the increase or decrease of
29 traffic volume at these intersections? Is there I guess my question would be is
30 there an increase in the amount of traffic at any particular intersection that may
31 have also created because of the increase in volume possibly more accidents.
32

33 Siddiqui: Mr. Chair that is a very good point. We did not particularly look at the number of
34 volumes but now that you say that, that correlates to one of my thinking why two
35 intersections that were not present before, meaning 2004-2011, but they
36 appeared in the top 20 in the list in three years and those were again South Main
37 and Lohman, Telshor and Hillrise, and that might be one reason why, I'm just
38 saying that might be one reason why these two intersections experiencing more
39 traffic is creating more crash hazards.
40

41 Altamirano: Anymore questions from the Committee? Tom, do you have anything that you
42 would like the Committee to consider? I mean that we cannot look at all these so
43 are you looking for the Committee to come up with some type recommendation
44 regarding the intersections?
45

1 Murphy: Mr. Chair, we're presenting this as a discussion for your information and certainly
2 for your feedback to staff. One of the things we intend to do with it in addition to
3 developing a Safety Management Plan which would come before this Committee,
4 we're also looking to kind of get some format to put these numbers out in our
5 public involvement process for the MTP update, but at this point the information
6 is informational for the Committee.
7

8 Altamirano: Thank you Tom. I think that one of the things of course was the recommendation
9 to compare the two data that were presented; you said yearly, when does the
10 next one come out.
11

12 Herrera: Mr. Chair, it should be coming out probably here in the next few months. Our
13 Safety Division normally does it after the end of the physical year, so hopefully
14 here in the next few months we should have a new report out.
15

16 Altamirano: Okay, any other recommendations?
17

18 Gwynne: One thing that I would recommend because as we've seen, we've heard here a
19 couple of times volume is I think a pretty key important number in all this, so it
20 would seem pertinent that you include at least two data points for the volume,
21 averages of some kind whether it's the beginning of the reporting period or
22 beginning and end, however you can get two data points in there, at least get
23 some kind of average and maybe decreasing or increasing volume loads as part
24 of your graphics.
25

26 Murphy: Mr. Chair, Mr. Gwynne, just kind of a further note on the ADT numbers. ADT
27 stands for Average Annualized Daily Traffic. Just to describe a process to
28 everyone, when MPO goes out and counts a segment of roadway we go out
29 there for 48 hours, count the segment and we take the 48 hours into a 24 hour
30 average. We then submit that to the NMDOT in Santa Fe and they have
31 permanent counters at various locations throughout the State of which they use
32 to develop seasonal adjustment factors so that the raw count that we take can be
33 adjusted to the day and time of year that we have taken it so it's averaged and
34 then annualized so it really is the data point that we're looking at is going through
35 a statistical process to make sure that it's a little more rigorous than just the
36 random data that we happen to put the tubes out on that roadway.
37

38 Gwynne: Tom, I do understand that. The reason that I'm saying is that you are going to
39 get an annualized difference in 2009 versus 2011 and that really is going to be
40 what we're looking at because if you're looking at 1,000 more cars in 2011 going
41 through the intersection than you did in 2009 there could be the reason why there
42 are more accidents. It could be something else but without knowing that the data
43 is since you're looking at the data points for the number of crashes per year it
44 seems you need to have something to compare it to.
45

46 Murphy: I think we certainly do want to add that level to it so we'll look into doing that.

1
2 Altamirano: Any other questions? In looking at the intersections that have come up I'm
3 surprised that Valley, the big intersection at Valley and South Main, right there at
4 that, there haven't been more accidents, maybe because it's a bigger intersection
5 and there is more room to avoid the collisions but I would have thought there
6 would have been at that particular intersection....did I miss it, is it on there, I
7 didn't see it.

8
9 Herrera: Mr. Chair, it's on the second page of the ranking by crash rate 2009-2011.

10
11 Murphy: It is number 12 on the (interrupted).

12
13 Altamirano: I'm sorry I was on the previous page so okay, thank you, anything else. Is there
14 anything else from staff?

15
16 **6. COMMITTEE AND STAFF COMMENTS** – No comments

17
18 **7. PUBLIC COMMENT** – No public comments

19
20 **8. ADJOURNMENT**

21
22 Meeting adjourned at 4:46 p.m.

23
24 Harold Love motioned to adjourn.
25 Jolene Herrera seconded the motion.

26
27
28
29 _____
30 Chair

31



METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004
PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155
<http://lcmpoweb.las-cruces.org>

MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACTION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF November 7, 2013

AGENDA ITEM:

5.1 2012-2017 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments

ACTION REQUESTED:

Review and recommendation for approval to the MPO Policy Committee

SUPPORT INFORMATION:

FFY 2012 Transportation Improvement Program Amendment Reports
Email from Mike Bartholomew, RoadRUNNER Transit Administrator

DISCUSSION:

On May 11, 2011, the MPO Policy Committee approved the 2012-2017 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The following amendment(s) to the TIP have been requested:

CN	FY	Agency	Project & Termini	Scope	Change
TL00012	2013	RoadRUNNER Transit		RideShare Program	Deleted from the TIP to reflect the ending of this project

These amendments will not affect any other projects currently listed in the TIP.

CN: TL00012 **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** 19 **NMDOT Dist.:** 1 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: TL00012 **Lead Agency:** City of Las Cruces **Length:** 0 Miles
RT: Proj Rideshare **Est. Proj. Cost:**
Fr: **To:** **Est. Letting:**
Category: Transit TIP Amendment Pending?

Project Desc.: Rideshare Program

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other **Work Zone:** Reg. Sig.

Remarks: PROGRAM DELETED

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2013	2014	2015	2016	4 Yr. TOTALS	2017	2018
State Match	\$0				\$0		
Local Match	\$0				\$0		
CMAQ-Mand	\$0				\$0		
Totals	\$0				\$0		

From: Michael Bartholomew
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2013 11:14 AM
To: Andrew Wray
Subject: TIP Project TL00012 relating to the RideShare Program

Andrew -

The City of Las Cruces is has not run a Rideshare program since fiscal year 2011 and has no plans to operate one in the future. TIP project TL00012 relating to the Rideshare project needs to be removed from the TIP.

Please let me know if you have questions.

Mike Bartholomew, CCTM
RoadRUNNER Transit Administrator
City of Las Cruces, NM

*Phone: (575) 541-2500
Fax: (575) 541-2545
TTY: (575) 541-2541*

It is the mission of RoadRUNNER Transit to provide safe, dependable and convenient transportation services to the citizens of Las Cruces.



METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004
 PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155
<http://lcmpoweb.las-cruces.org>

MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACTION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF November 7, 2013

AGENDA ITEM:

5.2 2014-2019 Transportation Improvement Program Amendments

ACTION REQUESTED:

Review and recommendation for approval to the MPO Policy Committee

SUPPORT INFORMATION:

FFY 2014 Transportation Improvement Program Amendment Reports
 Initial Email from Jolene Herrera, NMDOT Urban and Regional Planner
 Update Email from Jolene Herrera, NMDOT Urban and Regional Planner
 Spreadsheet from Jolene Herrera, NMDOT Urban and Regional Planner detailing TIP amendment requests

DISCUSSION:

On May 8, 2013, the MPO Policy Committee approved the 2014-2019 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The following amendment(s) to the TIP have been requested:

CN	FY	Agency	Project & Termini	Scope	Change
W100032	2014	City of Las Cruces	Safe Routes to School Coordinator	SRTS Operations	Deleted from the TIP to reflect the ending of this project
1100830	2015	NMDOT	Ramp E of University Ave Bridge & Union Bridge	Bridge Rehabilitation & Addition of CCTV	Scope amended to include CCTV
1100620	2016	NMDOT	I-10 – Las Cruces to TX State Line	Pavement Preservation Project	Moved from FY 2015 to FY 2016
LC00100	2014	NMDOT	Missouri Bridge	Bridge Reconstruction/Widening	\$800K added for preliminary engineering,

					\$4M added for construction
LC00110	2014	Dona Ana County	El Camino Real and Dona Ana School Rd	Intersection Realignment	Moved from FY 2013 per DAC Engineering, Added \$275,015 for ROW and Utilities, \$42,750 left in FY 2013 for Design
LC00120	2016	US 70	Intersection of Spitz/Solano/Main	Intersection Realignment	Added \$1M per engineer's estimate
No CN	2014-2017	MVMPO		MPO Planning Funds-PL	Funding Amount Adjusted Slightly
LC00150	2016	NMDOT	I-10/MP 133-143.2	Pavement Preservation	New Project
LC00160	2014 & 2017	NMDOT	NM 188 (Valley Drive)/Ave de Mesilla to Picacho, including intersections	Roadway Reconstruction	New Project, \$800K in FY 2014 for preliminary engineering, Construction in FY2017
No CN	2014-2015	City of Las Cruces	City of Las Cruces Safe Routes to School TAP Project	SRTS Operations	New Project
No CN	2014-2015	Las Cruces Public Schools	Las Cruces Public Schools Safe Routes to School TAP Project	SRTS Operations	New Project
No CN	2015	City of Las Cruces	Las Cruces Dam Trail	Improvements to the Las Cruces Dam Trail	New Project
No CN	2014	City of Las Cruces	La Llorona Trail	Improvements to the La Llorona Trail	New Project
No CN	2014-2015	South Central Regional Transit District	Bus stop improvement project	Bus Signs, Shelters, and Benches	New Project
No CN	2014-2017	MVMPO	Transportation Alternative Program Placeholder Project	Financial placeholder project for TAP Funding	Deleted due to TAP Applications being submitted

These amendments will not affect any other projects currently listed in the TIP.

CN: W100032 **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** 7 **NMDOT Dist.:** 1 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** N/A not applicable
Fed ID: W100032 **Lead Agency:** Las Cruces MPO **Length:** 0 Miles
RT: Proj Safe Routes to Schools Coordinator **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$64,281
Fr: **To:** **Est. Letting:**
Category: Miscellaneous **TIP Amendment Pending?** ■

Project Desc.: SRTS Coordinator position at Las Cruces MPO

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other **Work Zone:** Routine

Remarks: (2-13-13) Add'l funding for FY 2013, 14 (10-08-13) All funding removed at DOT request to reflect the ending of this program

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2014	2015	2016	2017	4 Yr. TOTALS	2018	2019
State Match	\$0				\$0		
Local Match	\$0				\$0		
Safe Rts to Sch-Flex	\$0	44			\$0		
Totals	\$0				\$0		

CN: 1100830 **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** 26 **NMDOT Dist.:** 1 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: 1100830 **Lead Agency:** NMDOT D-1 **Length:** 2 Miles
RT: I00010 **Proj** Interstate 10 Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation & Addition of CCTV **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$7,000,000
Fr: MP 141 **To:** MP 143 **Est. Letting:**
Category: Highway & Bridge Preservation (4Rs) TIP Amendment Pending?

Project Desc.: Bridge Replacement & Rehabilitation Project on I-10 Bridges: University Ave. 7264, 7265 (Ramp E, MP 141.82) & Union Ave 7266, 7267 (MP 142.11)

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other **Work Zone:**

Remarks: CN Change from 3961; change in funding sources; additional funds: \$605,016; (9-12-12) New TIP Funding Sources; Moved from 2013 to 2014; (1-12-13) Admin Mod - Termini milepost change; added CCTV scope (10-31-13)

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2014	2015	2016	2017	4 Yr. TOTALS	2018	2019
State Match		\$1,107,290			\$1,107,290		
Local Match		\$0			\$0		
NHPP MAP-21		\$3,507,326	11		\$3,507,326		
STP-S		\$2,990,400	11		\$2,990,400		
Totals		\$7,605,016			\$7,605,016		

CN: 1100620 **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** 70 **NMDOT Dist.:** 3 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** Various Multiple Jurisd.
Fed ID: 1100620 **Lead Agency:** NMDOT D-1 **Length:** 0 Miles
RT: I00010 **Proj** I-10 Mill and Inlay **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$9,000,000
Fr: MP 164 **To:** MP 146 **Est. Letting:**
Category: Hwy & Brg Pres **TIP Amendment Pending?**

Project Desc.: Mill and Inlay

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other

Work Zone:

Remarks: New TIP Funding Sources

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2014	2015	2016	2017	4 Yr. TOTALS	2018	2019
State Match			\$1,310,400		\$1,310,400		
Local Match			\$0		\$0		
NHPP MAP-21			\$4,272,000	05	\$4,272,000		
STP-Flex			\$1,708,800	05	\$1,708,800		
STP-L			\$683,520	05	\$683,520		
STP-S			\$1,025,280	05	\$1,025,280		
Totals			\$9,000,000		\$9,000,000		

CN: LC00100 **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** 74 **NMDOT Dist.:** 1 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: LC00100 **Lead Agency:** NMDOT D-1 **Length:** 0 Miles
RT: 000I25 **Proj** I-25/Missouri Avenue Bridge Rehabilitation **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$9,000,000
Fr: Missouri Avenue **To:** **Est. Letting:**
Category: Hwy & Brg Pres **TIP Amendment Pending?**

Project Desc.: Bridge Rehabilitation (Structure # 6825, 6826)

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other

Work Zone:

Remarks: New TIP Funding Sources; Admin Adjust - \$900,000 to Design (12-12-12)

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2014	2015	2016	2017	4 Yr. TOTALS	2018	2019
State Match	\$2,009,280				\$2,009,280		
Local Match	\$0				\$0		
NHPP MAP-21	\$11,107,200	14			\$11,107,200		
STP-Flex	\$683,520	14			\$683,520		
Totals	\$13,800,000				\$13,800,000		

CN: LC00110 **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** 75 **NMDOT Dist.:** 1 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** N/A not applicable
Fed ID: LC00110 **Lead Agency:** Dona Ana County **Length:** 0 Miles
RT: Proj Intersection Realignment **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$0
Fr: El Camino Real Rd at Dona Ana School Rd **To:** **Est. Letting:**
Category: TIP Amendment Pending?

Project Desc.: Design and Construction for Intersection Realignment: El Camino Real Rd at Dona Ana School Rd

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other **Work Zone:**

Remarks: Added to the TIP; New TIP Funding Sources; Admin Adjust: 10-25-12; Admin Adjust 08-21-13; has \$42,750 obligated in FFY 2013

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2014	2015	2016	2017	4 Yr. TOTALS	2018	2019
State Match	\$34,924				\$34,924		
Local Match	\$0				\$0		
Safety (HSIP)	\$439,591	21			\$439,591		
Totals	\$474,515				\$474,515		

CN: LC00120 **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** 81 **NMDOT Dist.:** 1 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: LC00120 **Lead Agency:** NMDOT D-1 **Length:** 0 Miles
RT: 00US70 **Proj** US 70 Intersection w/Spitz/Solano/Three Crosses **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$5,200,000
Fr: **To:** **Est. Letting:**
Category: Hwy & Brg Pres TIP Amendment Pending?

Project Desc.: Redesigning the intersection of US 70 (Main Street), Spitz, Solano, and Three Crosses

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other

Work Zone:

Remarks:

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2014	2015	2016	2017	4 Yr. TOTALS	2018	2019
State Match			\$902,720		\$902,720		
Local Match			\$0		\$0		
NHPP MAP-21			\$5,297,280	04	\$5,297,280		
Totals			\$6,200,000		\$6,200,000		

CN: **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** **NMDOT Dist.:** 3 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** Various Multiple Jurisd.
Fed ID: **Lead Agency:** Las Cruces MPO **Length:** 0 Miles
RT: **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$0
 To: **Est. Letting:**
Category: TIP Amendment Pending?

Project Desc.: Ongoing MPO Planning funds

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other

Work Zone:

Remarks: Ongoing distribution of Planning Funds

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2014	2015	2016	2017	4 Yr. TOTALS	2018	2019
State Match	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Local Match	\$37,062	\$37,062	\$37,062	\$37,062	\$148,249		
PL	\$217,481 18	\$217,481 18	\$217,481 18	\$217,481 18	\$869,924		
Totals	\$254,543	\$254,543	\$254,543	\$254,543	\$1,018,173		

CN: **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** **NMDOT Dist.:** 1 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** Various Multiple Jurisd.
Fed ID: **Lead Agency:** Las Cruces MPO **Length:** 0 Miles
RT: **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$0
 To: **Est. Letting:**
Category: TIP Amendment Pending?

Project Desc.: Ongoing distribution of MPO TAP funds

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other

Work Zone:

Remarks: Ongoing distribution of MPO TAP funds

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category										TIP Informational Years			
FUND SOURCE	2014		2015		2016		2017		4 Yr. TOTALS	2018		2019	
State Match	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0				
Local Match	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0				
TAP	\$0	01	\$0	01	\$0	01	\$0	01	\$0				
Totals	\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0				

CN: **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** **NMDOT Dist.:** 3 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: **Lead Agency:** City of Las Cruces **Length:** 0 Miles
RT: **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$0
Fr: **To:** **Est. Letting:**
Category: TIP Amendment Pending?

Project Desc.: Transportation Alternatives Program funding for a City of Las Cruces Safe Routes to School Position

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other **Work Zone:**

Remarks: City of Las Cruces Part Time Safe Routes to School Position

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2014	2015	2016	2017	4 Yr. TOTALS	2018	2019
State Match	\$0	\$0			\$0		
Local Match	\$5,777	\$5,777			\$11,553		
TAP	\$33,898 18	\$33,898 18			\$67,796		
Totals	\$39,675	\$39,675			\$79,349		

CN: **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** **NMDOT Dist.:** 3 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: **Lead Agency:** Las Cruces Public Schools **Length:** 0 Miles
RT: **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$0
Fr: **To:** **Est. Letting:**
Category: TIP Amendment Pending?

Project Desc.: Transportation Alternatives Program funding for a Las Cruces Public Schools Safe Routes to School Position

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other

Work Zone:

Remarks: City of Las Cruces Part Time Safe Routes to School Position

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2014	2015	2016	2017	4 Yr. TOTALS	2018	2019
State Match	\$0	\$0			\$0		
Local Match	\$5,112	\$5,112			\$10,225		
TAP	\$30,000	\$30,000			\$60,000		
Totals	\$35,112	\$35,112			\$70,225		

CN: **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** **NMDOT Dist.:** 3 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: **Lead Agency:** City of Las Cruces **Length:** 0 Miles
RT: **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$0
Fr: **To:** **Est. Letting:**
Category: TIP Amendment Pending?

Project Desc.: Transportation Alternative Program funding for improvements to the Las Cruces Dam Trail

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other **Work Zone:**

Remarks:

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2014	2015	2016	2017	4 Yr. TOTALS	2018	2019
State Match		\$0			\$0		
Local Match		\$56,577			\$56,577		
TAP		\$332,002	28		\$332,002		
Totals		\$388,579			\$388,579		

CN: **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** **NMDOT Dist.:** 3 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: **Lead Agency:** City of Las Cruces **Length:** 0 Miles
RT: **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$0
Fr: **To:** **Est. Letting:**
Category: TIP Amendment Pending?

Project Desc.: Transportation Alternative Program funding for improvements to the Las Cruces La Llorona Trail

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other

Work Zone:

Remarks:

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2014	2015	2016	2017	4 Yr. TOTALS	2018	2019
State Match	\$0				\$0		
Local Match	\$56,577				\$56,577		
TAP	\$332,002	28			\$332,002		
Totals	\$388,579				\$388,579		

CN: LC00160 **Las Cruces MPO - PIN:** 96 **NMDOT Dist.:** 1 **County:** Dona Ana **Municipality:** City of Las Cruces
Fed ID: LC00160 **Lead Agency:** NMDOT **Length:** 1.5 Miles
RT: Proj NM 188 (Valley Drive) Roadway Reconstruction **Est. Proj. Cost:** \$7,800,000
 Fr: Ave de Mesilla To: Picacho **Est. Letting:**
 Category: Hwy & Brg Pres TIP Amendment Pending?

Project Desc.: Valley Drive Reconstruction from Ave de Mesilla north to Picacho including the intersections

Project Phases: Environ. Document Prel. Engr. Design Right-of-way Construction Other **Work Zone:**

Remarks:

PROGRAMMED FUNDS - Four Year Federal TIP by Funding Category						TIP Informational Years	
FUND SOURCE	2014	2015	2016	2017	4 Yr. TOTALS	2018	2019
State Match	\$116,480			\$1,601,600	\$1,718,080		
Local Match	\$0			\$0	\$0		
NHPP MAP-21				\$6,408,000 04	\$6,408,000		
STP-Flex	\$683,520 04				\$683,520		
STP-Sm Urb				\$2,990,400 04	\$2,990,400		
Totals	\$800,000			\$11,000,000	\$11,800,000		

From: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT <JoleneM.Herrera@state.nm.us>
Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 9:16 AM
To: Andrew Wray
Subject: FW: TIP Follow Up
Attachments: FY2014 TIP Amendment 1.xls

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 4:11 PM
To: 'Andrew Wray'
Subject: RE: TIP Follow Up

Hi Andrew,

Please see the attached spreadsheet with the TIP Amendments that need to be made. Can you please put this on the BPAC, TAC, and PC agendas as an action item for October?

Thanks,

Jolene Herrera
Urban & Regional Planner D1 & D2
NMDOT South Region Design
750 N Solano Dr
Las Cruces, NM 88001
O: (575) 525-7358
C: (575) 202-4698

From: Andrew Wray [<mailto:awray@las-cruces.org>]
Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 10:18 AM
To: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT
Subject: TIP Follow Up

Hi Jolene,

I just wanted to see if you had any feedback yet on the TIP bundle I sent last week.

Thanks.

Andrew Wray
Transportation Planner
Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization
P.O. Box 20000
Las Cruces, NM 88004
(575) 528-3070
(575) 528-3155 (fax)

From: Herrera, Jolene M, NMDOT <JoleneM.Herrera@state.nm.us>
Sent: Tuesday, October 29, 2013 3:11 PM
To: Andrew Wray
Subject: Updates to TIP Amendment
Attachments: FY2014 TIP Amendment 2.xls

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Andrew,

Please see the attached excel spreadsheet that contains all the updates to the TIP Amendment I submitted for BPAC approval. Since BPAC met, D1 increased the scope and funding of a majority of the TIP projects in the MVMPO. Can you please include this email, the attached spreadsheet, and the updated TIP pages in the TAC and PC packets? Also, please add an action item to the agenda asking for approval of the TIP Amendments.

Please let me know if you have any questions, and I will be at both meetings to answer any questions the committees may have regarding the changes.

Thanks,

Jolene Herrera
Urban & Regional Planner D1 & D2
NMDOT South Region Design
750 N Solano Dr
Las Cruces, NM 88001
O: (575) 525-7358
C: (575) 202-4698

CN	FY	Route	Termini	Scope	Funds listed on TIP	Project total	Change
W100032	2014	N/A	N/A	Safe Routes to School Coordinator	\$64,281	\$0	Project deleted in new STIP
1100830	2015	I-10	Ramp E of University Ave Bridge & Union Ave Bridge	Bridge Rehabilitation & Addition of CCTV	\$7,605,016	\$7,605,016	Scope amended to include CCTV
1100620	2016	I-10	Las Cruces to TX state line	Pavement Preservation	\$9,000,000	\$9,000,000	Moved from FY2015 to FY2016
LC00100	2014	I-25	Missouri Bridge	Bridge Reconstruction/Widening & Addition of Auxiliary Lane	\$9,000,000	\$13,800,000	\$4M added to construction, \$800K for Preliminary Engineering
LC00110	2014	El Camino Real Rd	at Dona Ana School Rd	Intersection Realignment	\$285,000	\$517,265	\$42,750 in FY2013 for Design, Added \$275,015 for ROW & Utilities
LC00120	2016	US 70	Intersection of Spitz/Solano/Main	Intersection Realignment	\$5,200,000	\$6,200,000	Added \$1M per Engineer's Estimate
P114030	2014-2017	N/A	N/A	MPO Planning Funds-PL	\$250,486/year	\$254,543/year	Funding Amount per year slightly changed
LC00130	2014/2015	El Paseo Rd	University to Main St	Safety Project	\$335,000	\$335,000	No Change, CLC project
1100930	2014	US 70	I-25 Interchange to Rinconada	Concrete Wall Barrier & ITS installation	\$3,010,000	\$3,010,000	New HSIP project
LC00150	2016	I-10	MP 133-143.2	Pavement Preservation	\$0	\$5,000,000	New project
LC00160	2014/2017	NM 188 (Valley Drive)	City Limits to Avenida De Mesilla	Roadway Reconstruction	\$0	\$11,800,000	New project, \$800K in FY2014 for Preliminary Engineering, Construction in FY2017



METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

SERVING LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, AND MESILLA

P.O. BOX 20000 | LAS CRUCES NM | 88004

PHONE (575) 528-3222 | FAX (575) 528-3155

<http://lcmpoweb.las-cruces.org>

**MESILLA VALLEY METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
DISCUSSION FORM FOR THE MEETING OF November 7, 2013**

AGENDA ITEM:

6.1 Transportation Asset Management Presentation

SUPPORT INFORMATION:

None

DISCUSSION:

The TAC will host a presentation regarding Asset Management by Denise Weston of Bohannan-Huston.